

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00050422023

Employee Id : 6486

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	VIPIN KUMAR-III	
3.	Designation	A.D.J. (POCSO)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	14/06/2006 (16 Years, 10 Months and 15 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Etah Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	01- Under Trial Review Committee. 02- Audit Compliance(Inspection and Recovery) Committee. 03- Munsif Advocate's Clerk Registration and Renewal Committee.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Special Judge(Exclusive Court, POCSO Act)/Additional Sessions Judge, Etah.	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil as no civil work is pending in this Court.	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	190 Cases.	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	First quarterly inspection of quarter ending on 30-06-2022, date of inspection 30-06-2022, Second quarterly inspection ending on 30-09-2022- date of inspection 30-09-2022, Third quarterly inspection dated 20-12-2022 of quarter ending on 31-12-2022 and Fourth quarterly inspection dated 28/29-03-2023 of quarter ending on 31-03-2023	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any		
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Total required unit 1200 , Achieved unit 2276.41 = 189.70 percent	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Criminal Misc. Cases = 22 F.R. =01 Total Decided Cases = 23	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by M. HAMMAD
FAIZALAM KHAN
Date: 2024.01.03 19:54:28 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	1- Special Judge (Exclusive Court POCSO Act)/Additional Sessions Judge, Etah (From 01-04-2022 to 31-03-2023).	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Target Units = 1200	Achieved Unit = 2276.41(189.70 %)	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	76 Cases (Statement Attached).	53 Cases (Statement Attached).	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	76 Cases (Statement Attached)	53 Cases (Statement Attached)	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Target 76 Cases	53 Cases	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	01 Criminal Misc. Case	Nil	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	01 Criminal Misc. Case	Nil	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	01 Criminal Misc. Case	Nil	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Issued Process against accused and witnesses. Short date fixed, Recorded Part Evidence of Complainant.	Nil	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

		Available
Target		Achievements
01 Case	Nil	
2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
Target		Achievements
01 Case	Nil	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	
Target		Achievements
1200 Units	Sufficient Units have been Achieved Against the Target.	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
Target		Achievements
	Nil	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	
Target		Achievements
1- One day special training programme on POCSO Act dated 02-04-2022.	1- One day special training programme on POCSO Act dated 02-04-2022.	
2- One day joint audit meeting at Allahabad High Court dated 19-04-2022.	2- One day joint audit meeting at Allahabad High Court dated 19-04-2022.	
3- Two days conference JTRI dated 10-09-2022/11-09-2022.	3- Two days conference JTRI dated 10-09-2022/11-09-2022.	
4- One day sensitization of Judges conference at Agra dated 18-09-2022.	4- One day sensitization of Judges conference at Agra dated 18-09-2022.	
5- One day zonal conference at Bareilly dated 30-10-2022	5- One day zonal conference at Bareilly dated 30-10-2022	
6- Five days training JTRI dated 01-11-2022 to 05-11-2022.	6- Five days training JTRI dated 01-11-2022 to 05-11-2022.	
7- One day conference JTRI at Lucknow dated 19-11-2022.	7- One day conference JTRI at Lucknow dated 19-11-2022.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
Target		Achievements
NO	Nil	

Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
Actual pendency deduction	21/04/2023

Calender working days and holidays	21/04/2023
Certificate	21/04/2023
List of convicted accused	21/04/2023
month wise criminal work done	21/04/2023
percentage of conviction	21/04/2023
Self Assessment form Part 1	21/04/2023
Self Assessment form Part 2	21/04/2023
Target Action Plan	21/04/2023

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Sessions Case No. 992/2021, State VS Amlesh Goswami	21/04/2023
PST No. 10/2015, State VS Dharmendra and others	21/04/2023
Sessions Case No. 932/2021, State VS Parvej	21/04/2023

Applying Date

Date	21/04/2023
------	------------

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Good
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Five year old decided-53
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	NA
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	NA
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Nil
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	NA
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the	Yes

whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?;

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal. if any)	Adequate 189.70%

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	70
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes, the officer is punctual and regular.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Overall assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	VERY GOOD ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Vijay Shanker Upadhyaya UP1892 26.08.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Perused the remarks given by the District Judge, Etah.

The Officer, namely, Shri Vipin Kumar-III remained posted as Special Judge (Exclusive Court, P.O.C.S.O. Act)/Additional Sessions Judge Etah w.e.f. 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023. The Officer has also remained posted as 1. Under Trial Review Committee, 2. Audit Compliance (Inspection and Recovery) Committee and 3. Munsif Advocate's Clerk Registration and Renewal Committee. Total units achieved by him in the assessment year in question were 2276.41 against targeted 1200 units. The Officer has disposed of 53 cases pertaining to five years old category. He is also stated to be fair and impartial in dealing with public and Members of Bar, cool minded and no incident has been reported to me with regard to losing his temper in court. His relation with the Officers and Members of Bar were cordial and judgement passed by him also appears to be sound on facts and law and have been expressed in good language. He also appears to be punctual and regular in sitting on dais in court and no complaint has been made to me about his work, conduct and integrity. He is also amenable to the advice of the District Judge.

Keeping in view the representation of the Officer, it is observed that the Officer appears to have disposed of sufficient number of old cases and his out turn of work is adequate.

His overall Assessment as assessed by the District Judge is 'Good', however, no reason has been given for assessment of the Officer as only 'Good' while similarly situated officers have been assessed by the District Judge as 'Very Good'.

Thus, keeping in view the performance of the Officer in the year under reporting, his overall assessment of merit is assessed as 'Very Good'.

Integrity of the Officer is also certified beyond doubt.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

The overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good' vide court remarks dated 03.01.2024 read with order dated nil passed on the representation by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan, the then Administrative Judge, Etah.


Registrar General