

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : 5843

Employee Id : 1695

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2.	Name of the Officer	MANOJ PANDEY	
3.	Designation	Judge, Spl Court, Anti Corr CBI (C)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	15/05/2009 (12 Years 1 Months and 12 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Lucknow Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Lucknow-Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Officer Incharge Record Room (Criminal). 2. Member, Selection Committee for the recruitment of seven Sweepers of District Court, Lucknow	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	03 (Three)	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	Statement Attachment	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	No	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	The Court presently presided by me exclusively related to the disposal of the Criminal cases filed by C.B.I. and S.I.T. (U.P.). The matters pending before this court are of such nature that these can not be fixed for the disposal in the Lok Adalats.	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by Jyoti Vivek Agarwal
Date: 2021.10.08 11:10:44 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	Presently, I am presiding over the Court of Special Judge, Anti Corruption C.B.I.[Central], Lucknow. The Court has also exclusive jurisdiction to try the cases filed by Special Investigation Team (S.I.T.) of U.P. In addition to above, I am also holding the charge of Officer-in-Charge, Record Room (Criminal) and is also a member of Selection Committee Constituted for the recruitment of seven Sweepers for the District Court, Lucknow.	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	474.80 Units	376.40 Units. Applicant has during the period between 05-january-2021(after the resumption of regular court work) to 31-03-2021 has decided two criminal cases on contested basis and passed the Judgement. Further during the period mentioned aforesaid 195 pages of evidences were recorded in the Court. In addition to above 13 Bails and miscellaneous applications were also decided by this Court.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Fixing short dates for old cases 2. Hearing of old cases on priorities. 3. Issued necessary processes for appearance of witnesses and accused & letters to concerned authorities for compliance. 4. Avoid unnecessary adjournment.	1. Fixing short dates for old cases 2. Hearing of old cases on priorities. 3. Issued necessary processes for appearance of witnesses and accused & letters to concerned authorities for compliance. 4. Avoid unnecessary adjournment.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	6 pending cases.	No such cases were disposed off. However statement of witnesses in Crl. case no. 2/15 C.B.I. vs Pradeep Kumar Jaiswal and others, Crl. case no. 135/16 C.B.I. vs Anil Kumar Mishra and Crl. case no. 2/2013 C.B.I. vs R.k. Katiyar and others were recorded.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL.	Nil	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		

	Target	Achievements	
	1. Fixing short dates for old cases 2. Hearing of old cases on priorities. 3. Issued necessary processes for appearance of witnesses and accused & letters to concerned authorities for compliance. 4. Avoid unnecessary adjournment.	1. Fixing short dates for old cases 2. Hearing of old cases on priorities. 3. Issued necessary processes for appearance of witnesses and accused & letters to concerned authorities for compliance. 4. Avoid unnecessary adjournment.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	There are 19 pending cases which are more than 10 years old.	Miscellaneous application(B-41) in Criminal case no. 11/2002 C.B.I. Vs V.K. Nagar and others and application (B-154) in criminal case 10/2006 C.B.I. Vs Uma Shankar Singh and others were disposed off. Further 07 oral evidences of witnesses in the cases which are more than 10 years were recorded in the court following Covid-19 Guideliness.	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL.	NIL.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Fixing short dates for old cases 2. Hearing of old cases on priorities. 3. Issued necessary processes for appearance of witnesses and accused & letters to concerned authorities for compliance. 4. Avoid unnecessary adjournment.	1. Fixing short dates for old cases 2. Hearing of old cases on priorities. 3. Issued necessary processes for appearance of witnesses and accused & letters to concerned authorities for compliance. 4. Avoid unnecessary adjournment.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	7 cases	02 cases were disposed.	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	TWO cases were disposed.	02 cases were disposed.	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	

<p>Constraints and Shortfalls.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is respectfully submitted that Covid-19 Pandemic had adverse impact on the working of the Court. Lockdown, Closure of Courts, non-availability of transport facilities and fear of Corona Virus due to its highly contagious characteristics has resulted in the poor turnout of the litigants, Advocates and other stakeholders before the courts. The working in the court were mostly confined to the hearing of the urgent matters following strict Covid-19 Guidelines. Advocates appearing before the court were reluctant to argue their cases due to physical non appearance of the litigants in the courts. 2. Regular works in the court started after 05th January, 2021 and thereafter the recording of the evidences and other related activities in the Courts started. The effect of Covid Pandemic has served as biggest constraints in the working of the Court and for achieving the target set keeping in the view of the numbers and nature of pendency in this Court. 3. It is most humbly submitted that present Court presided by the applicant has exclusive jurisdiction to deal with the cases filed by C.B.I. and S.I.T. (U.P.). The Total Pendency before this Court is only 59 Criminal Cases. It is pertinent to mention here that nature of the cases pending before this Court involves appreciation of voluminous documentary evidences and cases involves very large number of prosecution witnesses which are required to be examine before the Court. Moreover many of the witnesses in the cases filed by C.B.I. have to travel from far across the country for getting themselves examined by this Court. Since there was acute paucity of availability of public transport due to Covid, therefore, turn out of the witnesses before the court were not very encouraging. Therefore the target could not be achieved despite of best efforts. 	<p>376.40 Units</p>		
<p>4.</p>	<p>Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.</p>		
	<p style="text-align: center;">Target</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Achievements</p>	
	<p>Nil</p>	<p>Nil</p>	
<p>5.</p>	<p>Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.</p>		
	<p style="text-align: center;">Target</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Achievements</p>	
		<p>Participated in the online training programme for the newly promoted Additional District Judges conducted by JTRI, UP in month of July, 2020. Participated in third round of Cluster training programme.</p>	
<p>6.</p>	<p>Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s)</p>		

	given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements
	No	No.

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Annexure-1 YEAR WISE BREAKUP OF CASES	28/06/2021
Annexure 4 Details of work done	28/06/2021
ORDER ON DISCHARGE APPLICATION PASSED IN CASE NO RC 0062017A0018 C.B.I VS SASHI PANDEY AND ANOTHERS	28/06/2021
ORDER ON APPLICATION PASSED IN CASE NO 1977/2019. STATE VS PURRUSHOTTAM SINGH	28/06/2021
Annexure 2 Courts held during financial year	28/06/2021
Annexure 3 Inspection made by the Presiding officer.	28/06/2021
Annexure 5 Pendency of more than 5 years cases.	28/06/2021
Annexure 6 Pendency of more than 10 years old cases.	28/06/2021

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
JUDGEMENT NO 1. CBI VS ABHISHEK SRIVASTAVA ,RCNO 03(S)/2010. U/S 120B,419,420,468,471,406 IPC and 13(2) r/W 13(II) PC ACT.P.S C.B.I ACB LKO.	28/06/2021
JUDGEMENT NO 2 STATE VS VIJAY BHADUR AND OTHERS.CASE NO501/2018.U/S 120B R/W 409,420,466,468,471I.P.C AND 3/7 E.C ACT,468 AND 411 IPC. P.S SIT U.P	28/06/2021

Applying Date	
Date	27/06/2021

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond Doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes, does not loose temper.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Properly handles his Diary
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes

01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Efforts are made to avoid unnecessary adjournment
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Contested- Nil Non- Contested- Nil
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Nil
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	N.A.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Nil
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N.A
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	No, Working Days- 145 Days Required Units- 478.40 Units Work done- 376.40 Units 78.68 % Note- Explanation given by the officer for short quota is justified.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Criminal Case-02
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	N.A
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Claim Petition-1
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Effective
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Balanced
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes, Effective and regular inspections have been made
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes

01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Decent
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Very Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Dinesh Kumar Sharma-III (UP1917)

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority	
Vivek Agarwal(HON'BLE JUDGE)	
AVERAGE	
Overall assessment	'Good' <i>Narain</i> 18/10/2022
Integrity	Certified

Vide A.C. resolution dated 04-08-2022 read with the minutes dated 11-07-2022 of the Hon'ble Committee Headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Prakash Kesarwani, the overall assessment of the officer upgraded to 'Good' and the shortfall in quota shall not be treated as adverse against the officer.

Narain
18/10/2022
Registrar General