HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id: A00042012023		Employee Id: 1546
3 MONTHS COMPLETION		
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes	

	SE	LF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I	
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	ALOK KUMAR SRIVASTAVA	
3.	Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	17/04/2009 (13 Years, 11 Months and 28 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Allahabad Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	 Officer-in-charge Library - From 01.04.2022 to 20.09.2022 Officer-in-charge Civil Record Room - From 22.09.2022 to 31.03.2023 Officer-in-charge , Matters relating to Repayments - from 08-12-2022 to 31-03-2023 	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Profarma I attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Proforma II attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	99	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	Profarma III attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	nil	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Profarma IV	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Sr. No. Cases Registration Date Date of Decision 1. Execution/318/2014 05-12-2014	
Val	idity unknown	2. Execution/148/2017 13-04- 2017 03-07-2022	

Digitally signed by // LC \KUMAF BIRLA Date: 2024.01.1(=5.45.51 IST Reason: Documen Owner Location: Allahabad High Court

3. 2011	Execution/100006/2011 06-08-	04-03- 2022
4. 2011	Execution/100009/2011	08-03- -2022
5. 2007	Execution/100025/2007 29-05-	01-12- 2022
6. 2007	Execution/100026/2007 29-05-	01-12- 2022
7. 2008	Execution/100023/2008 29-05-	15-07- 2022
8. 2008	Execution/100050/2008 29-05	11-12- -2022
9. 2008	Execution/100054/2008 29-05	11-12- -2022
10. 2011	Execution/100108/2011 29-05	11-10- 5-2022
11. 2017	Execution/71/2017 29-05	01-03-
12. 2019	Execution/118/2019 29-05	04-05-
13. 2019	Execution/189/2019 29-05	07-11- i-2022

	SELF ASSESSM	IENT FORM PART II	
1.	Brief description of duties	 Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 Officer-in-charge Library, From 01.04.2022 to 20.09.2022 Officer-in-charge Civil Record Room, From 22.09.2022 to 31.03.2023 Officer-in-charge , Matters relating to Repayments - from 08-12-2022 to 31-03-2023 	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 = 1200 units	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 2188.66 units * Working as ADJ 08 I have decided 28 S.T., 19 Crim. Appeal, 32 Crim. Revision, 07 Crim. Misc., 03 G.W. Act cases, 12 Civil Appeal, 09 M.C.A., 03 R.C.A., 16 Civil Revision, 24 Execution, 02 Restoration Cases, 02 S.C.C. Suit and 08 Misc. Civil Cases. in which year 2000 and prior 2000 i have decided 13 cases (10 S.T., 1 Civil Appeal, 1 Civil Revision and 1 Execution).	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more th	an 5 years old.	Attachmen Available

	Toward	Aahiavamanta	
	Target	Achievements	
	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 256 cases	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 54 cases	
		Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old	
		To ensure the presence of witness process had been issued and in some cases letter had been issued to higher authorities. Unnecessary adjourments were rejected short dates was fixed. All necessary measures had been taken for disposal of such old cases.	
B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 256 cases	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 54 cases	
		Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old	
		To ensure the presence of witness process had been issued and in some cases letter had been issued to higher authorities. Unnecessary adjourments were rejected short dates was fixed. All necessary measures had been taken for disposal of such old cases.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more tha	n 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements	
	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 12 cases	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 05 cases	
		Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age	
		To ensure the presence of witness process had been issued and in some cases letter had been issued to higher authorities. Unnecessary adjournments were rejected short dates was fixed. All necessary measures had been taken for disposal of such old cases.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the y	vear.	Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 12 cases	Additional District Judge Court No.08, From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023= 05 cases	
		Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age	
		To ensure the presence of witness process had been issued and in some cases letter had been issued to higher authorities. Unnecessary adjournments were rejected short dates was fixed. All necessary measures had been taken for	

Attachments

Attachment

2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment	Uploading Date
Execution Cases	19/04/2023
Action Plan	19/04/2023
Health Certificate	20/04/2023
Correctness Certificate	20/04/2023

^{*}Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments		
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date	
Judgement 2	20/04/2023	
Judgement 1	20/04/2023	
Judgement 3	20/04/2023	
Judgement 4	20/04/2023	
Judgement 5	20/04/2023	

Applying Date

Date	07/04/2023	
01 Dame	arks given by the District Judge rega	rding:
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	CERTIFIED'
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lac material.	king, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Ycs.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	As per the statement submited by the Presiding Officer, he has decided 102 cases more than 5 years old, 54 cases more than 10 years old and 09 cases of before year 2000.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	24 cases (21 cases contested)
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the	Yes.

	whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in f	illing up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes. As per the statement submitted by the Presiding Officer, the Percentage of the work done by the Presiding Officer is 182.39 %.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	124 cases.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil. There is no mention in acquittal cases whether witnesses were turned hostile.
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	14 cases have been disposed of on the basis of compromise/Lok Adalat
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor	VERY GOOD L
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh Rai (UP 6523) 29.06.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting

Authority

Vivek Kumar Birla(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Perused the Annual Confidential remarks given by the District Judge.

I have consirdered the representation of the officer.

Though I agree with the view of the District Judge, but considering the overall performance of the officer concerned, he needs to be upgraded as 'Very Good' in place of 'Good'.

Accordingly, I rate his overall assessment of merit as 'Very Good'.

Integrity of the officer is certified.

his representation stands disposed of accordingly.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

The adverse remarks occurring in col. no. 1(a) expunged & integrity 'Certified' and the overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good' vide court remarks dated 10.01.2024 read with order dated nil passed on the representation by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Kumar Birla, the then Administrative Judge, Allahabad.

Registrar General