

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00047912023

Employee Id : 1992

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	DR. LUCKY	
3.	Designation	Special C.J.M.	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	31/05/2013 (9 Years, 10 Months and 25 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Allahabad Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Sadabad-Hathras-ACJM/ Civil Judge (Sr.Div.) 2. Prayagraj-Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM.	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Civil Judge Sr.Div Sadabad Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate Sadabad Principal Magistrate (JJB) Hathras Assistant Incharge Nazarat Sadabad Addl Civil Judge Sr.Div Allahabad 13 Incharge secy. DLSA Allahabad Special CJM Allahabad Member magh Mela Committee	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Proforma attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Proforma attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	34	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	63	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NA	
13.	Inspections	Proforma attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	Letter of appreciation Given by the then District Judge for successful Lokadalat	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by VINEET KUMAR
BIRLA
Date: 2024.01.10 15:45:41 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	Civil Judge (Sr.Div) Sadabad Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate Sadabad Principal Magistrate (JJB) Hathras Assistant Incharge Nazarat Sadabad Addl Civil Judge Sr.Div Allahabad 13 Incharge secy. DLSA Allahabad Special CJM Allahabad Member magh Mela Committee	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Cases taken on priority Basis No frabulus adjurnment Recording evidence on fix date Proper monitering of process to witness and accused Short dates were Given Cases taken on priority Basis No frivolous adjournment granted Proper monitering of files	Disposal of cases Short dates were Given Cases taken on priority Basis No frivolous adjournment granted Proper monitering of files	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NA	Short dates were Given Cases taken on priority Basis	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
		324	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	

	Short dates were Given Cases taken on priority Basis No frivolous adjournment granted Proper monitoring of files	Short dates were Given Cases taken on priority Basis No frivolous adjournment granted Proper monitoring of files	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
		186	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Short dates were Given Cases taken on priority Basis No frivolous adjournment granted Proper monitoring of files	Short dates were Given Cases taken on priority Basis No frivolous adjournment granted Proper monitoring of files	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
		71	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	No	No	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	no	no	

6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements
	No	No

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
OS 310/2011	19/04/2023
Girraj Vs Sundar pal u/ 138 N I Act	19/04/2023
O.S. 29/2008 Sneha Lata Vs Rajkumar	20/04/2023
P.A. 12/2017 Anuradha Vs Mohd. Nazim	20/04/2023
O.S. 444/1986 Gujrati Vs Rameshvar	20/04/2023

Applying Date	
Date	19/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	↓ 'CERTIFIED' ✓
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	As per the statement submitted by the Presiding Officer, he has decided 324 cases more than 5 years old, 186 cases more than 10 years old and 54 cases of before year 2000.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	The Presiding Officer has disposed of 5 Execution Cases contested.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Good.

	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes. As per the statement submitted by the Presiding Officer, the Percentage of the work done by the Presiding Officer is 279.54%.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	67 Cases.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil.
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	152 Cases disposed of on the basis of compromise/Lok Adalat.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact;	Good.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Average.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	VERY GOOD ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	No.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh Rai (UP 6523) 28.06.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Vivek Kumar Birla(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Perused the Annual Confidential remarks given by the District Judge.

I have considered the representation of the officer.

Though I agree with the view of the District Judge, but considering the overall performance of the officer concerned, he needs to be upgraded as 'Very Good' in place of 'Good'.

His overall assessment of merit is assessed as 'Very Good'.

Integrity of the officer is certified.

Representation of the officer stands disposed of accordingly.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

The adverse remarks occurring in col. nos. 1(a) expunged & 'Certified' and overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good' vide court remarks dated 10.01.2024 read with order dated 10.01.2024 passed on the representation by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Kumar Birla, the then Administrative Judge, Allahabad.


Registrar General