APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

		3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
heth	ner 3 Months are complete for remarks of DIS	TRICT JUDGE? Yes	
		SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I	
S	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
1	Name of the Officer	SUSHRI MRINALINI SRIVASTAVA	
. 1	Designation	Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
. 1	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	17/01/2020 (3 Years, 3 Months and 9 days)	
.]	Place of Posting	Sitapur	
		Posting Details During Self Assessment Period	
		1. Sitapur-Judicial Magistrate	
	Any other charge held during the financial year	WORKED AS JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FROM 01-04-2022 TO 04-07-2022	
		WORKED AS ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE (JR.DIV.) FROM 04-07-2022 TO 31-03-2023.	
7.	Year wisc break up of cases	DROFORMA ATTACHED	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	DUCKORMA ATTALEED	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	05 CASES ISSUES WERE FRAMED DURING THE YEAR	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	78 CASES CHARGES WERE FRAMED DURING THE YEAR	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NONE	
13	. Inspections	PROFROMA ATTACHED QUARTERLY &SURPRISE INSPECTION	Attachment Available
14	l. Remarks if any	TOTAL UNITS ACHIEVED - 2013.29 UNITS	
		TOTAL FINAL DISPOSAL FROM 01-09-2022 TO 31-03-2023 - 698.83 UNITS	
		COMPLETED ACTION PLAN OF 35 OLDEST CRIMINAL CASES & DISPOSED CASES- 41 CASES	
1	5. Details of the works by the officer	PROFORMA ATTACHED	Attachmer Available
	6. Performance in Lok Adalat	TOTAL 1088 CASES DISPOSED ION NATIONAL LOK ADALATS	S
D	alidity anknown igitally signed by said. RIVASTAVA		

HELD DURING THE YEAR;

- 1. 14TH MAY 2022 448 CASES
- 2. 13TH AUGUST 2022 67 CASES
- 3. 12TH NOVMEBER 2022 151 CASES
- 4. 11TH FEBRUARY 2023 421 CASES

APART FROM THIS , TOTAL 06 CASES WERE DISPOSED IN JAIL LOK ADALAT HELD FROM 19-12-2022 TO 21-12-2022 & 21-01-2023.

• 1	Brief description of duties	WORKED AS JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FROM 01-04- 2022 TO 04-07-2022	
		WORKED AS ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE (JR. DIV.) FROM 04-07-2022 TO 31-03-2023	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	MAXIMUM CASES AS PER LAW	TOTAL 1970 CASES DURING THE YEAR. OUT OF WHICH 177 CASES WERE 05 YEAR OLD & 70 CASES WERE 10 YEARS OLD CASES.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more th	an 5 years old.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	FIXED SHORT DATES	DISPOSED 170 CASES IN THIS CATEGORY	
	ISSUED SUMMON & WARRANTS TO PARTIES & WITNESSES		
	DISXPOSED CASE AS PER LAW		
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the	year.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	MAXIMUM CASES AS PER LAW	DISPOSED 170 CASES IN THIS CATEGORY	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
	MAXIMUM CASES AS PER LAW	TOTAL 170 CASES WERE DISPOSED DURING THE YEAR.	

Target

Achievements

FIXED SHORTER DATES

TOTAL 70 CASES WERE DISPOSED IN THIS

CATEGORY

ISSUED SUMMON & WARRANTS TO WITNESSES & PARTIES

Target

DISPOSED CASE AS PER LAW

	DISTOSED CROENS LEVEL 2.1.		Attachment
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the	year.	Available
	Target	Achievements	
	MAXIMUM CASES AS PER LAW	DISPOSD 70 CASES IN THIS CATEGORY	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year,		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	MAXIMUM CASES AS PER LAW	TOTAL 70 CASES WERE DISPOSED DURING THE YEAR IN THIS CATEGORY.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	AS PER RECORD OF OFFICE NIL	NIL	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the	e year.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	AS PER RECORD OF OFFICE NIL	NIL	
2C(ii)	. Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL AS PER RECORD OF OFFICE	NIL	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	NONE	NONE	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NO	NO	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programm organization during the period in question? If so, gi	ne, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other ve details.	
	or Sampanon during the bettoo in dageners at any B.	A 11	

Achievements

ATTENDED ONLINE WORKSHOP ON MODIFIED CLAIMS TRIBUNAL AGREED PROCEDURE HELD ON 23-04-2022.

ATTENDED TWO DAYS JTRI CONFERENCE ON SENSITIZATION OF DISTRICT COURT JUDGES ON GENDER JUSTICE & DIFFERENTLY ABLED VICTIMS/SURVIVORS OF SEXUAL ABUSE HELD ON 23-07-2022 & 24-07-2022

ATTENDED FIVE DAYS IIPA TRAINING PROGRAMME SCHEDULED FROM 29-08-2022 TO 02-09-2022 THESE TRAININGS WERE VERY HELPFUL IN OVERALL DEVELOPMENT OF THE OFFICER & BENEFICIAL FOR PROPER WORKING OF COURTS.

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target

Achievements

NO

NO

	Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
KRISHNAPAL VS SHIVKUMAR (CIVIL CONTESTED)		25/04/2023
DAYARAM VS JALLI	25/04/2023	
TATE VS GOPAL NA	25/04/2023	
STATE VS UTTAM&(25/04/2023	
	OTHERS (CRIMINAL CONTESTED)	25/04/2023
	Applying Date	
Date	25/04/2023	
01-Remarks gi	ven by the District Judge regarding:	
	of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, Beyond Doubt	

doubtful or positively lacking
Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting
material
and the state of the state of the state and Don

01 (b). If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Yes, he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar.

Bar?

01 (c). If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court. Yes, he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.

O1 (d). His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?

No, His private character is not such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties.

1 (e). CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:

01 (e)(i)(a). Proper fixation of cause list:

Yes

01 (e)(i)(b). Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to

Yes, sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged

keep him engaged during full court hours? during full court hours.

O1 (e)(ii). Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:

Yes

01 (e)(iii). Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):

The Officer has decided 41 old criminal cases among the oldest cases

01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposar of excounter and	The Officer has decided 03 Execution Cases
01 (e)(v).		Yes, interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons by the officer.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Yes
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes, Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in f	filling up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts:	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	The Officer has achieved total 2013.29 (167.77%) units against the targetted 1200 units which is adequate and ACTION PLAN 2022-2023 is successfully achieved.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	The Officer has decided 20 cases(18 Criminal and 02 Civil) after actual full contest.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered	: Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	s Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Good
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Exhibit respect and sensitivity towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor	VERY GOOD'
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Her health is Sound and Good.

of her court which are more than 20 years old.

5.

Name of the District Judge:

KULDEEP KUMAR-II (UP1916) 04.08.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Saral Srivastava(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Perused the Annual Confidential Remarks recorded by the District Judge and the representation moved by the Officer.

According to the District Judge, the out turn of the Officer is 2013.29 (167.77%) Units and her integrity has also been certified by the District Judge. Her Judgments are sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language. She has made regular inspections of her Court. She has been punctual and her relations with members of the Bar as well as the fraternity members is cordial.

Over all assessment of the Officer is upgraded from 'Good' to 'Very Good'.

Overall assessment

' Very Good

Integrity

HIGH COURT OF JUDICAL

Certified

Vide court remarks dated 23.02.2024 read with order dated nil passed on the representation of the officer by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Saral Srivastava, the then Administrative Judge, Sitapur, the overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded from 'Good' to 'Very Good'.

Registrar General