

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00063662023

Employee Id : 3624

## 3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2. Name of the Officer	ARPITA SAHU	
3. Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	14/11/2019 (3 Years, 5 Months and 17 days)	
5. Place of Posting	Barabanki	
	<b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>	
	1. Sirouli Gauspur-Barabanki-Nyayadhikari, Gram Nyayalaya	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Gram Nyayalaya, Sirouli-gasupur, Barabanki 2. Civil Judge (JD) Ramsanchighat, Barabanki	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	163	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	20	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13. Inspections	Statement attached	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• During 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 officer has been allotted to two courts, Gram Nayalay, sirouli-gauspur, barabanki and Civil Judge (JD), ramsanchighat, Barabanki.</li><li>• During said period officer has disposed 30 contested civil judgements, 27 ex parte judgements, 3 regular execution and many others cases of different categories.</li><li>• During said period a <b>contempt proceedings</b> are initiated against few advocates.</li><li>• During said period representationa against Respected District Judge Mr. Ravindra Nath Dubey has been forwarded to Hon'ble Administrative Judge.</li><li>• During said period officer has disposed 10 action plan cases in first court and 26 cases in latter court.</li></ul>	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by ANEEL VARMA  
Date: 2024.03.17 17:55:14 IST  
Reason: Document Owner  
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

15. Details of the works by the officer

Statement attached

Attachment Available

16. Performance in Lok Adalat

Target- as many

Achieved - 262

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties

- Presiding officer of Gram Nyayalay, Sirouli-gauspur, Barabanki and Civil Judge (JD) Ramsanehighat, Barabanki to dispense justice according to Gram Nyayalay Act.
- Judicial as well as Administrative duties of Nyayadhikari, Gram Nyayalay, Sirouli-Gauspur, Barabanki and Civil Judge (JD) Ramsanehighat, Barabanki
- Judicial duties- Presiding and conducting court proceedings, including hearing on applications, arguments and taking evidence so that justice could be done.
- Administrative duties- Ensuring compliance with relevant circulars of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, orders issued by Respected District Judge Sir, sending data and report as per requisitions from various committees. Ensuing smooth functioning court as it is outline court. Maintaining relation with Tehsil Bar Association and Executive department for management of court at Tehsil. Compliance with all COVID related guidelines strictly in court. To dispose as many cases on merit.
- Not to grant unnecessary adjournments.
- To reduce the pendency as much as possible.
- To dispose maximum action plan cases on merit.
- To dispose as many as injunction applications.

2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.

#### Target

Dispose as many in order to reduce the pendency of court and deliver the justice for which the Act has been enacted. Targeted units are **718.32 units** (without stenographer).  
Steps taken-

- Ensure the presence of parties and advocates in a newly established Giving priorities to oldest and Hon'ble High Court directions files for speedy proceeding by putting up files frequently, if needed thrice a week.
- Giving priority to such matters
- Encouragement of disposal by way of mediation
- Fixing short dates.
- Not to allow unnecessary adjournments
- Speed up the process which are especially fixed for evidence
- Overall expedite the trial and disposal of such cases

#### Achievements

Units Achieved are **3120.679 units**.  
Disposed 30 contested civil regular suit, 27 ex parte regular civil suit, 22 regular civil suit withdrawan, 09 compromised of regular civil suit, 03 execution on full satisfaction and 262 regular suit disposal through Lok Adalat, 42 succession, 01 SCC, 20 misc cases, 32 injunction applications on merit, 664 injunction applications disposed otherwise.  
and 197 civil suits disposed otherwise.  
• Parties and their advocates started appearing in newly

2A. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.

#### Target

#### Achievements

TARGET- 1637

Disposed - 664

Steps taken-

- Ensure the presence of parties and advocates in a newly established Giving priorities to oldest and Hon'ble High Court directions files for speedy proceeding by putting up files frequently, if needed thrice a week.
- Giving priority to such matters
- Encouragement of disposal by way of mediation
- Fixing short dates.
- Not to allow unnecessary adjournments
- Speed up the process which are especially fixed for evidence
- Overall expedite the trial and disposal of such cases

2A(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Target

Achievements

Target - 1637

Disposed- 664

2A(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

Target -1637

Disposed 664

2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.

Target

Achievements

TARGET- 777

Achieved - 293

Steps taken-

- Ensure the presence of parties and advocates in a newly established Giving priorities to oldest and Hon'ble High Court directions files for speedy proceeding by putting up files frequently, if needed thrice a week.
- Giving priority to such matters
- Encouragement of disposal by way of mediation
- Fixing short dates.
- Not to allow unnecessary adjournments
- Speed up the process which are especially fixed for evidence
- Overall expedite the trial and disposal of such cases

2B(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

TARGET- 777

Achieved - 293

2B(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Target

Achievements

TARGET- 777

Achieved - 293

2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.

Target

Achievements

Steps taken-

- Ensure the presence of parties and advocates in a newly established Giving priorities to oldest and Hon'ble High Court directions files for speedy proceeding by putting up files frequently, if needed thrice a week.
- Giving priority to such matters
- Encouragement of disposal by way of mediation
- Fixing short dates.
- Not to allow unnecessary adjournments
- Speed up the process which are especially fixed for evidence
- Overall expedite the trial and disposal of such cases

Achieved - dispose cases as many of old age people

**2C(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.**

**Target**

**Achievements**

Target - 265

Achieved - 195

**2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.**

**Target**

**Achievements**

Target - 265

Achieved - 195

**3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.**

**Target**

**Achievements**

**UNNECESSARY BOYCOTTS**

**ADVOCATE DISINTERET IN CIVIL CASES**

Steps taken-

- Ensure the presence of parties and advocates in a newly established Giving priorities to oldest and Hon'ble High Court directions files for speedy proceeding by putting up files frequently, if needed thrice a week.
- Giving priority to such matters
- Encouragement of disposal by way of mediation
- Fixing short dates.
- Not to allow unnecessary adjournments
- Speed up the process which are especially fixed for evidence
- Overall expedite the trial and disposal of such cases

- Unnecessary adjournments were least given, if needed allowed on cost to ensure future non adjournment
- Priority were given to such matters
- Short dates were fixed
- Processes were issued on priority basis

**4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.**

**Target**

**Achievements**

NIL

NIL

**5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.**

**Target**

**Achievements**

IIPA TRAINIHNG

Attended all.

Computer training related to CIS, ESOFTWARE ETC

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target	Achievements
nil	nil

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Revise CN14 yearwise breakup	01/06/2023
Action plan disposed by Arpita Sahu	01/06/2023
Revise CN14 yearwise breakup	01/06/2023
Action plan disposal list by officer Arpita Sahu	01/06/2023
mailed sent	28/06/2023
Application in mail	28/06/2023
Reply of 2nd objection	28/06/2023
Updated work done	28/06/2023

\*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
SADHUSARAN VERSUS SHIV PRATAP	29/04/2023
RAMDAS VERSUS RAMU	29/04/2023
KANAHIYA VERSUS AIJAZ	29/04/2023
RAJARAM VERSUS SANTOSH	29/04/2023
Parasram versus Bhramadutt	29/04/2023
Shreeram versus Premlata	29/04/2023
Ramsunder versus jagdeesh	29/04/2023
Ramdas versus Yogendra	29/04/2023
Dhaniram versus JASKARAN	29/04/2023
mAHESH VERSUS HARIHAR	29/04/2023
SAYERA VERSUS NIYAZ	29/04/2023
RAMSUNDER VERSUS JAGDISH	29/04/2023
TASDOOK VERSUS RAMSHANKAR	29/04/2023
RAMNATH VERSUS TULSA DEVI	29/04/2023
SURESH VERSUS RAJENDRA	29/04/2023

Applying Date	
Date	
	29/04/2023

### 01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a). Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond ; Beyond Doubt.  
doubt, doubtful or positively lacking

Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.

01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	She is not fair and impartial with the Public and Bar.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	She is not cool minded and loose temper in the court.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No such complaint was received during the period.

1 (e). CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:

01 (e)(i)(a). Proper fixation of cause list: Cases are properly fixed.

01 (e)(i)(b). Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours? She has fixed sufficient number of cases.

01 (e)(ii). Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments: She avoid unnecessary adjournments.

01 (e)(iii). Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):

**Critically Old Cases Pre 2000**

<b>Nature of CAse</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>73</b>
Regular Suit (Full Trial)-	1	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	2	1	3	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regular Suit (O.W.)	3	3	1	1	1	2	1	5	2	-	-	2	2	-	-	1	1	1	-
M.N.C.	-	-	1*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*	-	-	-
Regular Execution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*	-	-	1*	2*	1*	1*	-	-	-	-	1*
M.C.C.	-	2*	1*	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*	1*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Very Old Case Pre 2010**

<b>Nature of Case</b>	<b>09</b>	<b>08</b>	<b>07</b>	<b>06</b>	<b>05</b>	<b>04</b>	<b>03</b>	<b>02</b>	<b>01</b>	<b>2K</b>
Regular Suit (Full Trial)4	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Regular Suit (O.W.)	12	31	21	11	1	4	11	1	5	4
M.N.C.	-	2*	1*	1+1*	1*	-	2*	-	-	-
Regular Execution	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*	-	-	-
M.C.C.	2+5*	8*	1+1*	3*	5*	5	5	3*	-	-
S.C.C. Suit	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Old Cases Pre 2015**

<b>Nature of Case</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>
Regular Suit (Full Trial)-	2	1	5	2	-
Regular Suit (O.W.)	64	31	28	29	4
M.N.C.	2+8*	5+4*	4*	1*	1*
Regular Execution	1	-	-	1+2*	-
M.C.C.	6+5*	1+6*	1+8*	3*	4*
CrI. Case	1*	-	-	-	-
S.C.C. Suit	-	-	-	1*	1*

Note- \* Disposal otherwise.

**Disposal of Action Plan Cases-**

Sl. No.	Name of Court Period	Nature of Case	Target fixed by the Hon'ble	Target on pro rata	Achived Target by the Officer	Other remark
---------	----------------------	----------------	-----------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------------	--------------

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

		High Court		basis	
		for One Year		for the Working Period	
01	Nyayadhikari, Gram Nyayalaya, Sirauli Gauspur, Barabanki	01.04.2022 to 04.07.2022	Original Civil Suit 30	08	11
			Execution Case 10	03	Nil
02	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Ramsanehighat, to Court No. 14, Barabanki	05.07.2022 to 31.03.2023	Original Civil Suit 30	22	28
			Execution Case 10	07	11

**Note.** In above decided cases 15 Original Civil Suit decided Contested and 24 Original Suit decided otherwise. 03 Execution Case decided on full satisfaction and 08 Execution Case Decided otherwise.

01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	03 Execution Cases decided after full trial and 14 cases decided otherwise.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Interim orders are granted on sufficient ground.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgements are not well reasoned, sound in law and on facts.
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Average.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Average.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	No short fall of Units. As per list of Action Plan Cases, the Officer concerned has achieved the target of Action Plan Cases including disposal of contested and non-contested.  As per the list of Action Plan Cases, the Officer has decided 15 Original Civil Suit after full trial & 24 Original Suit decided otherwise. 03 Execution Case decided on full satisfaction and 08 Execution Case Decided otherwise.

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Regular Suit-33, M.N.C.-11, S.C.C. Suit-01, Execution Cases-03, M.C.C.-40
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil.
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	262 case decided in Lok Adalat.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Officer has no control over her office. Several mistakes were found in the year wise break up for the period 02.07.2022 to 31.03.2023 attached with the Self Assessment. Officer has not corrected the mistakes found in the year wise break-up and send a letter dated 27.06.2023.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Poor. Umpteen Oral and Written Complaints regarding the work and conduct of the Presiding Officer were made by the Office bearers of District Bar Association as well as some senior advocates.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Not Good.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	She has made regular inspections.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	She is not punctual and regular in sitting on dais.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	No, Presiding Officer is not amenable to the advice of District Judge. She was working arbitrarily and insubordination.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Not Good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'AVERAGE' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Nil.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	The officer is very short temper. Several Applications regarding the work and conduct of the Judicial Officer namely Sushri Arpita Sahu made by the Office bearers of District Bar Association. She never attend the full court reference on the sad demise of senior Advocates. She form the group alongwith Sri Khan Zishan Masood and try to vitiate the condusive atmosphere of the Civil Court. Employees of the concerned court also made oral complaints regarding the conduct of Judicial Officer namely Sushri Arpita Sahu.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Ravindra Nath Dubey, District & Sessions Judge, Barabanki. (JO Code- UP6542) 06.07.2023

## Attachments By District Judge

Attachments	Uploading Date
Complaint/Report-01	06/07/2023
Complaint/Report-02	06/07/2023



Complaint/Report-03	06/07/2023
Complaint/Report-04	06/07/2023
Complaint/Report-05	06/07/2023
Complaint/Report-06	06/07/2023
Complaint/Report-07	06/07/2023

### Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Vivek Varma( HON'BLE JUDGE )

The representation of the officer against the adverse remarks given by the District Judge has been disposed of by order dated 12.03.2024.

In view of the order passed on the representation, the integrity of the officer is 'certified'.

On overall assessment, the officer is rated as 'Average' officer.

Overall assessment	Average
Integrity	Certified

The overall assessment of merit of the officer modified as 'Average' vide Court remarks dated 12.03.2024 read with order dated 12.03.2024 passed on the representation by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Varma, the then Administrative Judge, Barabanki.

  
**Registrar General**