

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00021932021 Old Case Id : 5092	Employee Id : 2553
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2.	Name of the Officer	RAHUL	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/06/2018 (2 Years 10 Months and 16 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Mirzapur Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Officer incharge of Copying section, information/inquiry section, Nazarat section at Chunar, Mirzapur.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Annexure A attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Annexure B attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	15	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	N/A	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	Annexure C attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The undersignee made all possible efforts for speedy disposal of cases . For the assessment year prescribed work in shape of units is 1200 against which the work done is 1022.31 units. During the period total 268 cases (Civil only) are disposed off as per the action plan. 01 execution case is disposed off with full satisfaction of the parties. The court deals with only civil matters in which old cases are tried on the priority basis. 	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Annexure D attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Total 3 cases (civil) are disposed off held on 12 dec 2020	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Digitally signed by RAJAN ROY
 Date: 2024.04.09 10:12:58 IST
 Reason: Document Owner
 Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

1.	Brief description of duties	Civil Judge(J.D.) Chunar, Mirzapur. (From 01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021)	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. To decide expeditiously maximum contested and old cases. 2. Court pendency - 3013 cases of civil nature only.	• Total Decided cases - 268 cases.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. To take up such cases on priority bases. 2. To fix short dates. 3. To make every efforts to ensure the presence of witnesses. 4. To decide and dispose maximum number of such cases.	1. Such cases were taken up on priority basis. 2. Short dates were fixed. 3. Keen interest was taken to ensure the presence of witnesses. 4. Keen interest was taken to decide maximum number of such cases.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Court Pendency - 740 cases.	The proceedings of such cases were expedited to large extent but progress of such cases hampered due to Covid-19.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	To decide maximum number of such cases.	Decided - 92 cases.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. To take up such cases on priority bases. 2. To fix short dates. 3. To make every efforts to ensure the presence of witnesses. 4. To decide and dispose maximum number of such cases.	1. Such cases were taken up on priority basis. 2. Short dates were fixed. 3. Keen interest was taken to ensure the presence of witnesses. 4. Keen interest was taken to decide maximum number of such cases.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Court pendency - 784 cass.	The proceedings of such cases were expedited to large extent but progress of such cases hampered due to Covid-19.	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available

	Target	Achievements	
	To decide maximum number of such cases.	Decided - 58 cases	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To take up such cases on priority bases. To fix short dates. To make every efforts to ensure the presence of witnesses. To decide and dispose maximum number of such cases. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Such cases were taken up on priority basis. Short dates were fixed. Keen interest was taken to ensure the presence of witnesses. Keen interest was taken to decide maximum number of such cases. 	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Court pendency - 1210	The proceedings of such cases were expedited to large extent but progress of such cases hampered due to Covid-19.	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	To decide maximum number of such cases.	Decided - 86 cases.	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total target was 1200 units against which 1022.31 units were achieved. The undersignee did not achieved the prescribed target because of non appearance of parties, strikes of advocates and non running of the court properly due to the pandemic of Covid - 19.		
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	N/A		
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attended webinar / cluster training on 04/10/2020. Attended webinar/ workshop on 138 NI Act on 13/12/2020. 		
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	

N/A

Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
Year wise break up	29/04/2021
Court held during financial year	29/04/2021
Quarterly Inspection	29/04/2021
Total work done	29/04/2021
Statement regarding senior citizens	29/04/2021

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Shivpoojan & ors. vs. Dangari & ors. OS no. 124/2003	29/04/2021
Deenanath vs. Dr. Mayashankar OS no. 159/2011	29/04/2021
Ramdhani vs. Sharda & ors. OS no. 271/2011	29/04/2021

Applying Date

Date 29/04/2021

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	'CERTIFIED' ✓
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	He is fair and impartial in his job.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper fixation
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Avoided unnecessary adjournments.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Officer has decided-
		O.S.- 1/03, 2/11, 1/20
		Execution- 1/12

HIGHER COURT OF JUDICIAL OFFICERS AT LAHORE

		More than five years old cases decided – 92
		More than ten years old cases decided – 58
✓ 01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	nil
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N/A
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgments on facts and law are good.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
✓ 01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Against 519.44 target units officer has achieved <u>335.25</u> units which is inadequate.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	5 cases
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
✓ 01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	3 cases
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes , Inspection notes are full and effective.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual and regular.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'VERY GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound health.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Sincere towards his work.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Lal Chandra Gupta (UP5635) 20.07.2021

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Rajan Roy(HON'BLE JUDGE)

I have already passed an order on representation of the officer against remarks of DJ and have upgraded his entry as very good in place of good and have also certified his integrity as I have not heard anything adverse in this regard. The said order will be read as my remark regarding the officer for the relevant year.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

The then Hon'ble Administrative Judge, Mirzapur, has passed the following order dated 06.04.2024 on the representation :-

“ Perused the representation of the officer and report/comments of district judge. Based there on it is found that details of old cases decided by the officer have incorrectly been mentioned in the ACR filled by DJ and the contention of the officer in this regard is accepted but this does not change the number of old cases decided by him which remain 3. The details of sole execution case decided by him have been incorrectly mentioned but the number of execution case decided by him remains the same. In place of 9 civil cases decided by the officer on the basis of compromise etc. only 3 have been mentioned by District judge. It is taken as 9 civil cases instead of 3. Number of units achieved by the officer as admitted by District judge is 441.75 and not 335.25 .The officer has requested for benefit of letter of the High court dt. 17.7.21 considering the irregular functioning of courts including its closure etc. during covid 19 pandemic. Considering the recitals in his representation and after taking into consideration his work and conduct and going through his judgments I am of the opinion that the assessment of overall work etc. of the officer is liable to be categorised as very good in place of good. His integrity is certified. His representation is disposed of accordingly. ”


Registrar General

The integrity of the officer 'Certified' in col. no. 1(a) & Overall assessment of merit upgraded to 'Very Good' vide order passed on the representation dated 06.04.2024 by the then Administrative Judge, Mirzapur.


Registrar General