APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00058592023	Employee Id : 2571
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I			
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	MOHIT KUMAR PRASAD	
3.	Designation	Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	26/09/2018 (4 Years, 7 Months and 4 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Gorakhpur **Posting Details During Self Assessment Period** 1. Utraula- Balrampur-Civil Judge (Junior Div.) 2. Gorakhpur-Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	NO	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Annexure Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Annexure Attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	10	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	03	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	Annexure Attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any		
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Annexure Attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	1. Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur 14.05.2022 NIL 2. Addl. Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)-6, Gorakhpur 13.08.2022 2 3. Addl. Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)-6, Gorakhpur 12.11.2022 83 4. Addl. Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)-6,	

		Gorakhpur 11.02.2023	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II			
1.	**Brief description of duties**	1. Civil Judge (Jr. div) , Utraula, Balrampur (01.04.2022 to 30.06.2022) 2. Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur (05.07.2023 to 31.03.2023)	
2.	**Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.**		
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium contested and old cases against pendency and also to achieve more units than minimum target prescribed by the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court. Target - 1100 Units	1. Achieved- 1201.54 Units (Including 4 Execution Cases). 2. In light of the SLSA Letter No. 1244/SLSA Dated 12.4.2023 which emphasised on mediation, one of the Execution file (11/09) was decided through all possible efforts by mediation resulting in compromise.	
2A.	**Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases against pendency at the commencement of the year. Steps Undertaken- Short dates were fixed for expeditious hearing and all the matters which were 5 year old were heard on priority basis. 1. Civil-921 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3770 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal- 7987 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	1. Civil- 110 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur & 3 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur). 2. In light of the SLSA Letter No. 1244/SLSA Dated 12.4.2023 which emphasised on mediation, one of the oldest files (1262/1999) was decided through mediation and finally compromised was reached. 3. Criminal-22 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	
2A(i).	**Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases against pendency at the commencement of the year.	1. Civil- 110 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	

	1. Civil-921 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3770 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal- 7987 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	2. Criminal-22 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	
2A(ii).	**Number of such matters disposed of during the year.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases against pendency at the commencement of the year. 1. Civil-921 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3770 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal- 7987 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	1. Civil- 110 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal-22 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	
2B.	**Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.**		
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases against pendency at the commencement of the year. Steps Undertaken- Short dates were fixed for expeditious hearing and all the matters which were 10 year old were heard on priority basis. 1. Civil - 286 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 1581 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal - 4922 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	1. Civil - 34 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal - 18 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	
2B(i).	**Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases against pendency at the commencement of the year. 1. Civil - 286 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 1581 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal - 4922 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	1. Civil - 34 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal - 18 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	
2B(ii).	**Number of such matters disposed of during the year.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	

	To Decide maxmium possible cases against pendency at the commencement of the year. 1. Civil - 286 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 1581 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal - 4922 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	1. Civil - 34 (Addl. Civil Judge Court No. 6 (Jr. div) , Gorakhpur) & 3 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur) 2. Criminal - 18 (Civil Judge (Jr. Div), Utraula, Balrampur)	
2C.	**Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.**		
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases in which petitioners were more than 65 years of age against pendency at the commencement of the year. Steps Undertaken- Short dates were fixed for expeditious hearing and all the matters in which petitioners were more than 65 years of age were heard on priority basis. Target Suits- 177	22	
2C(i).	**Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases in which petitioners were more than 65 years of age against pendency at the commencement of the year. Target Suits-177	22	
2C(ii).	**Number of such matters disposed of during the year.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	
	To Decide maxmium possible cases in which petitioners were more than 65 years of age against pendency at the commencement of the year. Target Suits-177	22	
3.	**Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.**		Attachment Available
	Target	**Achievements**	
	There are no shortfalls with respect to units which are required to be obtained by presiding officer in the assessment calender year 2022-23. Units obtained by presiding officer is in surplus of required norms. However there are shortfall in disposal of targetted cases due to following reasons: 1. The undersigned was alloted a newly created court pursuant to transfer. Notices under Rule 89 A 4, General Rules Civil, 1957 were issued to the respective parties pursuant to transfer of files.	General Instructions: Computation of out turn of the officer shall exclude leaves, Holidays and training period. Total units achieved- 1201.54 Units. Target Specified by Hon'ble High Court in the General Letter No. 11/IV-h-14/2022: Allahabad: August 18, 2022 is 500 units per year which Came into force with effect form September 1, 2022. So, accordingly target for seven months is (7/12*500)= 291.66 units. Total work done=(Civil work done +	

	2. Due to Non appearance of parties to the cases on fixed date. Steps taken- In such cases, opportunities of respective parties were closed and file was proceeded for hearing. 2. Death of parties of the suit during its pendency causes procedural delay. Steps Taken-In such cases substitution proceedings were undertaken and in cases of no substitution application suits were abated. 3. Due to non appearance of witnesses in older cases. Steps Taken-In such cases, opportunities of witnesses were closed, however in justified cases of non appearences costs were imposed for adjudication of suit on merit. 4. Due to adjournments by parties to the suit. Steps Taken-In such cases, opportunities of defaulting parties were closed. However, in justified cases of adjournments costs were imposed for adjudication of suit on merit. Furthermore in vexatious adjournment applications compensatory costs were imposed.	General Instructions) = 308.44 unit Accordinlgy Target set is Achieved.	
4.	**Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.**		
	Target	**Achievements**	
	No	No	
5.	**Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.**		
	Target	**Achievements**	
		1. Two days International conference on mediation on the date of 9-10, April 2022. 2. One day conference on sensitisation of district court judges on gender justice and differently abled victims/survivors of sexual abuse on the date of August 28, 2022 in which the undersigned acted as a Co-Speaker for representing Gorakhpur judgeship. 3. NICFS Training, New Delhi (7.12.2022-9.12.2022). 4. Training for e-courts on the date of 23-24 March 2023. 5. Training for e-courts on the date of 28-29 March 2023.	
6.	**Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.**		
	Target	**Achievements**	

	No	No	

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	**Uploading Date**
Original Suit No. -3540/2017 Ghanshyam Das v. Shyam Das (Judgment Partition Final)	27/04/2023
Original Suit No. - 1300/2004 Anil Kumar v. Pramod Kumar	27/04/2023
Original Suit No. -1038/2004 Lalji v. Laxmi Narayan	27/04/2023

Applying Date	
Date	27/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond Doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	1986 1999 2004 2005 2006 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2014 2015 2016 2017 1 1 14 2 2 1 1 1 9 2 5 11 7 53
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	4
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	N.A.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N.A.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes. Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good

01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	3
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	NIL
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	3
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	NIL
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Officer has effective control over his office. His Administrative capacity is good.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	He is punctual and regular in sitting on dais in the court during court hours.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Behaviour towards women is good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'VERY GOOD'
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound
4.	Other remarks, if any:	The Officer has decided 54% of the cases of Action Plan.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Tej Pratap Tiwari (UP6524) 01.06.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Siddhartha Varma(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Sri Mohit Kumar Prasad
Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Gorakhpur
(2022-23)

Perused the Annual remarks recorded by the then District Judge, Gorakhpur in respect of the officer for the year 2022-23 alongwith the self-assessment form of the officer.

As per Annual remarks recorded by the District Judge, Gorakhpur, disposal of the officer is 1201.54 units, out of actual target 1100 units, thus, I find it was adequate. His control over the office was proper and tactful and relations with member of the Bar as well as brother officers were cordial. His Judgments were sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language. He disposed of sufficient number of old cases which

included Civil and Criminal both. The then District Judge has assessed, however, him as a 'Good' officer and he has certified the integrity of the officer.

The officer has represented against the entry given by the learned District Judge.

I have gone through the report of the District Judge by which he has given the entry "Good". I have also gone through the representation of the officer. From the record, read concurrently, I find that the officer deserves to get the entry of "Very Good".

I am, therefore, of the considered view that the representation made by the officer is to be allowed to the above extent only and his overall assessment of merit is to be upgraded to **"Very Good"**.

Integrity : **Certified.**

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

The overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Very Good' vide Court remarks dated 22.09.2023 read with order dated 23.05.2024 passed on representation by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Siddhartha Varma, the then Administrative Judge, Gorakhpur.

30/7/24

Registrar General