APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

| Case | e Id: A00064952023 | | Employee Id: 2445 |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|
| | 3 MONTH | S COMPLETION | |
| Whe | ther 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE | ? Yes | |
| | SELF ASSESSI | MENT FORM PART I | |
| 1. | Self Assessment Period | 01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023 | ENDING SOLUTION |
| 2. | Name of the Officer | SUSHRI NAGMA KHAN | |
| 3. | Designation | Civil Judge (Junior Div.) | |
| 4. | Date of Joining Service / Length of Service | 05/07/2018 (4 Years, 10 Months and 0 days) | |
| 5. Place of Posting Gorakhpur Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Bisauli-Budaun-Civil Judge (Junior Div.) 2. Gorakhpur-Civil Judge (Junior Div.) | | | |
| 6. | Any other charge held during the financial year | NONE | |
| 7. | Year wise break up of cases | list attached | Attachment Available |
| 8. | Courts held during the financial year | list attached | Attachment Available |
| 9. | In how many cases have you framed the issues | 73 | |
| 10. | In how many cases have you framed the charge | 32 | |
| 11. | Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument | none | |
| 12. | Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer | NONE | |
| 13. | Inspections | List attached | Attachment Available |
| 14. | Remarks if any | NONE | |
| 15. | Details of the works by the officer | pdf attached | Attachment Available |
| 16. | Performance in Lok Adalat | In National Lok Adalat held on 14.05.2022, 33 Cases were disposed of. In National Lok Adalat held on 13.08.2022, 21 Cases were disposed of. In National Lok Adalat held on 12.11.2022, 33 cases were disposed of. | |
| | | 4. In National Lok Adalat held on 11.02.2023, 13 | |

Digitally signed by SIDDHARTHA VARMA Date: 2023.09.06 16/36:54 IST Reason: Document Owner Location: Allahabat High Court Cases were disposed of.

| 1. | Brief description of duties | a.Dispensing justice so as to maintain peace and order in the society. |
|----|---|---|
| | | b.Decide civil and criminal cases of various nature pending in the courts presided with a special attention being paid towards disposal of old and action plan targetted files |
| | Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases. | |
| | Target | Achievements |
| | Targets -Aim to achieve the required units and to give greater output in the given settings. -To comply with the directions of Honourable Allahabad High Court passed in various cases -Prioritizing old and action plan targetted cases as well as those in which directions have been passed by Honourable High Court concerning disposal -Target to decide pending enquiries and reconstruct the record -fixing dates of no more than 2 weeks minimizing adjournment attempts and quickening disposal of pending applications in such cases -Identifying the best way to decide the old cases without any affect on the disposal of new cases | AchievementsPercentage units achieved in 230 days /required units: 283.90% -Total units achieved: 2149.73 units -Required Units: 757.2 units -Required Units from final disposal on pro rata basis from 01 September 2022 till 31 March 2023 for 132 work days(600/365*132): 216.98 units -Achieved Units: 317 units Total 1325 cases of different nature decided and disposed as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Gorakhpur Total 396 cases of different nature decided and disposed as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Biauli, Budaun/Judicial |
| | | Magistrate Bisauli, Budaun In more than 50 cases various directions passed by Honurable High Court have been complied with concerning the disposal of injunction application, restoration application and final disposal of the suit and efforts were made to quicken the trial of all the files containing direction by Honourable High Court for expeditious disposal. One reconstruction of the record done and inquiries though not completed have progressed |
| | | Total Action Plan cases decided: 25 -11(4 Civil and 7 Criminal cases) while working as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Bisauli, Budaun and -14 cases while working as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Gorakhpur |

Reasons for non disposal of remaining action plan files: Sincere efforts were done towards the disposal of action plan cases. However a number of these cases are extremely old and hence disposal of substitution applications as well as other miscellaneous applications took time. In some files disposal of applications for Amin Commission/Survey Commission consumed time Every possible effort was done to bring the suit to trial by fixing short dates and disposing of the miscellaneous applications as per procedure. In some files record was with respected revisional Court and as soon as the record was sent back the proceedings were expedited, 4 cases were decided by the previous presiding officer in the court of Civil Judge(Junior Division) Gorakhpur and 2 cases were transferred to other courts. Remaining Action plan files though not decided have vertainly progressed. However these files shall be decided expeditiously by giving them priority

Targets -Aim to achieve the required units and to give greater output in the given settings.

- -To comply with the directions of Honourable Allahabad High Court passed in various cases
- -Prioritizing old and action plan targetted cases as well as those in which directions have been passed by Honourable High Court—concerning disposal
- -Target to decide pending enquiries and reconstruct the record
- -fixing dates of no more than 2 weeks

minimizing adjournment attempts

and quickening disposal of pending applications in such cases

-Identifying the best way to decide the old cases without any affect on the disposal of new cases

Achievements-

-Percentage units achieved in 230 days /required units : 283.90%

-Total units achieved: 2149.73 units

-Required Units: 757.2 units

-Required Units from final disposal on pro rata basis from 01 September 2022 till 31 March 2023 for 132 work days(600/365*132): 216.98 units

-Achieved Units: 317 units

Total 1325 cases of different nature decided and disposed as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Gorakhpur

Total 396 cases of different nature decided and disposed as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Biauli, Budaun/Judicial Magistrate Bisauli, Budaun

In more than 50 cases various directions passed by Honurable High Court have been complied with concerning the disposal of injunction application, restoration application and final disposal of the suit and efforts were made to quicken the trial of all the files containing direction by Honourable High Court for expeditious disposal.

One reconstruction of the record done and inquiries though not completed have progressed

Total Action Plan cases decided: 25

-11(4 Civil and 7 Criminal cases) while working as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Bisauli, Budaun and

-14 cases while working as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Gorakhpur

Reasons for non disposal of remaining action plan files: Sincere efforts were done towards the disposal of action plan cases. However a number of these cases are extremely old and hence disposal of substitution applications as well as other miscellaneous applications took time. In some files disposal of applications for Amin Commission/Survey Commission consumed time Every possible effort was done to bring the suit to trial by fixing short dates and disposing of the miscellaneous applications as per procedure. In some files record was with respected revisional Court and as soon as the record was sent back the proceedings were expedited, 4 cases were decided by the previous presiding officer in the court of Civil Judge(Junior Division) Gorakhpur and 2 cases were transferred to other courts. Remaining Action plan files though not decided have vertainly progressed. However these files shall be decided expeditiously by giving them priority in the current period 2023-2024 as well.

1

Besides the action plan disposed cases:

- -5 year old, 10 year old including pre 2000 cases Decided: 119 Cases decided as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Bisauli, Budaun
- -5 year old, 10 year old including pre 2000 cases Decided: 791 Cases(includes the oldest case bearing year 1946) decided as Civil Judge(Junior Division) Gorakhpur
- -Substantial output obtained as a result of checking of Stay

| | | status on the website of Honurable High Court in old stayed matters and a number of old cases decided. | | | |
|---------|--|--|------------|--|--|
| 2A. | Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 | years old. | | | |
| | Target | Achievements | | | |
| | Fixing short dates Prioritizing such matters Curtailing adjournments Disposal of miscellaneous applications and bringing the suit towards recording of evidence | List attached showing disposal 786 cases disposed contested 14 otherwise 772 cases disposed | | | |
| 2A(i). | Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year. | | | | |
| | Target | Achievements | | | |
| | maximum cases targetted | List attached showing disposal 786 cases disposed contested 14 otherwise 772 cases disposed | | | |
| 2A(ii). | Number of such matters disposed of during the year. | | | | |
| | Target | Achievements | | | |
| | maximum cases targetted | List attached showing disposal 786 cases disposed contested 14 otherwise 772 cases disposed |) A | | |
| 2B. | Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old. | | | | |
| | Target | Achievements | | | |
| | -Fixing short dates and conducting day to day hearing whenever required -Prioritizing such matters by taking them up in early court hours -Curtailing adjournments | List attached showing disposal 244 cases disposed contested 5 and otherwise 239 cases disposed | | | |
| | -Disposal of miscellaneous -applications and bringing the suit towards recording of evidence | | | | |
| 2B(i). | Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year | | | | |
| | Target | Achievements | | | |
| | maximum cases targetted | List attached showing disposal 244 cases disposed contested 5 and otherwise 239 cases disposed | | | |
| 2B(ii). | Number of such matters disposed of during the year. | | | | |
| | Target | Achievements | | | |
| | maximum targetted | List attached showing disposal 244 cases disposed contested 5 and otherwise 239 cases disposed | | | |
| 2C. | Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 6 | 5 years of age. | | | |
| | Target | Achievements | | | |

| | Fixing short dates | list attached showing pendency and disposal | |
|---------|--|---|--|
| | -Prioritizing such matters by taking them up in early court hours | | |
| | maximum targetted | | |
| 2C(i). | Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | maximum targetted | list attached showing pendency and disposal | |
| 2C(ii). | Number of such matters disposed of during the year. | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | maximum targetted | list attached showing pendency and disposal | |
| 3. | Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targe constraints, if any, in achieving the targets. | ts / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | -There are limitations with respect to the resources and there are as well as the infrastructural issues. The intention has been to maintain the positive frame of mind. The aim was to utlize the given resources to the maximum and decided old cases. | The sincere advise tendered by respected seniors also proved fruitful in deciding old cases. I am on a continuous path of learning and unlearning to render my best to the services with the assistance of recent judgment, directions and orders of Honourable Apex Court and High Courts, guidance of respected seniors, discussions with my colleagues, knowledge of members of the bar and experience of my court staff. | |
| 4. | Academic and professional achievements during the year, i | ncluding degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published. | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | To get published few good quality research papers in reputed journals and write and publish a book on International Criminal Law. | Coauthored Book titled 'International Criminal Law: Texts and Materials: Substantive and Procedural Law. Globalisation of Rule of Law and Search for common rules for Legal Justice and Indian Jurisprudence' with Honourable Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu, Judge Punjab and Haryana High Court and Gurdhyan Singh, founder Executive Director, Global Justice Academy, International Lawyer and Consultant. Research Paper titled 'Protection of Indian Coastal Ecosystem through Coastal Regulation Zone Notifications: An Analysis' included as book chapter in Professor Purushottama Bilimoria's Handbook of Indian Ethics to be published soon by Routledge Research Paper titled 'Protection of Indian Coastal Ecosystem through CRZ Notifications: An Analysis' with Dr M. Sakthivel, Assistant Professor, USLLS, GGSIPU published with LUMS Law Journal, a peer reviewed SCOPUS indexed journal, belonging to Shaikh Ahmad Hassan School of Law, LUMS, Lahore, Pakistan. | |
| 5. | Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organization during the period in question? If so, give deta | - | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | To participate in workshops and conferences for self growth | a. Attended 3rd International Conference on Mediation | |

| | and learning | through Virtual Mode on 09th & 10th April, 2022. | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | | b. Attended One day Conference on sensitisation of District Cout Judges on Gender Justice and Differently abled victims/surviviors of secual abuse on 28 August 2022. | |
| | | c. Attended 5 days Refresher Training Course organised by JTRI, Lucknow from 11.10.2022 to 15.10.2022 | |
| | | d. Attended online training e-Courts Project held on 23.03.2023 & 24.03.2023 and 28/29.03.2023 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 6. | Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member, If s discussed. | o, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | none | none | |
| | . 1 | I | |

| | Attachments |
|--|----------------|
| Attachment | Uploading Date |
| certificate | 30/04/2023 |
| *Red background attachments are uploaded in return | of objection. |

| Judgment Attachments | | |
|--------------------------|----|----------------|
| Judgment Attachment | | Uploading Date |
| binda v maya | es | 30/04/2023 |
| parmadevi v lalchand | 1 | 30/04/2023 |
| radheshyam v nagar nigam | | 30/04/2023 |
| radheshyam v jamirul | | 30/04/2023 |

| Applying Date | | |
|---------------|------------|--|
| Date | 30/04/2023 | |

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

| 01 (a). | Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking | Beyond Doubt |
|------------------|---|---|
| | Note- If the officer's | integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material. |
| 01 (b). | If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar? | Yes |
| 01 (c). | If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court. | Yes |
| 01 (d). | His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties? | No |
| 1 (e). | CONTROL OVER T | THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF: |
| 01 (e)(i)(a). | Proper fixation of cause list: | Proper |
| 01 (e)(i)(b). | Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours? | Yes |
| 01 (e)(ii). | Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments: | Yes |
| 01 (e)(iii). | Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided): | Civil Judge Jr. Div. Badaun Civil Cases 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 1 |
| 01 (e)(iv). | Progress and disposal of execution cases: | 37 |
| 01 (e)(v). | Whether interim order, injunction | Yes |

| | being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons? | |
|-----------------|--|---|
| 01 (e)(vi). | Are cases remanded on substantial grounds? | N.A. |
| 01 (e)(vii). | Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury | N.A. |
| 01 (f). | Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well- reasoned and expressed in good language?: | Yes |
| | Note:- The following | factors should also be indicated in filling up this column: |
| 01 (f)(i). | Marshalling of facts; | Good |
| 01 (f)(ii). | Appreciation of evidences; | Good |
| 01 (f)(iii). | Application of law. | Good |
| 01 (g). | Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any) | Adequate |
| | Note:- The following | g factors should also be indicated in filling up this column: |
| 01 (g)(i). | Number of cases decided after actual full contest; | 27 |
| 01 (g)(ii). | Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal. | NIL |
| 01 (g)(iii). | Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution. | 47 |
| 01 (g)(iv). | Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered. | NIL |
| 01 (h). | Control over the Office and Administrative | Officer has effective control over his office. Her Administrative capacity is good. |

| | capacity and tact: | |
|---------|---|---|
| 01 (i). | Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any): | Cordial |
| 01 (j). | Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any): | Good |
| 01 (k). | Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective? | Yes |
| 01 (l). | His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours? | She is punctual and regular in sitting on dais in the court during court hours. |
| 01 (m). | Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers? | Yes |
| 01 (n). | Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them) | Behaviour towards women is good. |
| 2. | Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor) | UUISTANDING'V |
| 3. | State of Health, with remarks, if any? | Sound |
| 4. | Other remarks, if any: | The Officer has decided 37% of the cases of Action Plan. |
| 5. | Name of the District Judge: | Tej Pratap Tiwari (UP6524) 01.06.2023 |

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Siddhartha Varma (HON'BLE JUDGE)

Sushri Nagma Khan Civil Judge (Junior Div.) Gorakhpur (2022-23)

Perused the Annual Remarks recorded by the then District Judge, Gorakhpur in respect of the officer for the year 2022-23 alongwith the self-assessment form of the officer.

As per Annual Remarks recorded by the District Judge, Gorakhpur, disposal of the officer is 2149.73 units against the target 1200 units, thus, I find it was adequate. Her control over the office was proper and tactful and relations with member of the Bar as well as brother officers were cordial. Her Judgments were sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language. She disposed of sufficient number of old cases which included Civil and Criminal both. The District Judge has assessed her as 'Good' officer and he has certified the integrity of the officer.

However, in view of the disposal that the officer has done and in view of her over all reputation in the Bar, grade the officer as Outstanding. Her integrity is Certified.

Justice Siddhartha Varma the then A.J., Gorakhpur

| Overall assessment | Outstanding |
|--------------------|-------------|
| Integrity | Certified |