

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Employee Id : 6209

Case Id : A00060472023

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 04/02/2023	
2. Name of the Officer	MOHD. ASLAM SIDDIQUI	
3. Designation	Jt. Secretary (Law)	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	15/06/2006 (16 Years, 10 Months and 21 days)	
5. Place of Posting	U.P. Public Service Commission Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Agra-Addl. District & Sessions Judge 2. Hamirpur-Spl. J. (SC/ST, Pev.of Atroci Act)	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	1- Officer Incharge night view Taj Mahal, Agra under the direction of Hon'ble Supreme court of India. 2- Officer Incharge of Statements sent to Hon'ble High court, Agra 3- Nodal Officer Lok Adalat, Hamirpur 4- Officer Incharge litigation, Hamirpur 5- In charge grievance committee of Advocates, Hamirpur 6- In charge Selection Committee, Non Judicial Post, Hamirpur 7- In charge Selection Committee Nyay Mitra, Hamirpur	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	33	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13. Inspections	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	Nil	

Digitally signed by MANISH MATHUR
Date: 2024.08.10 14:52:53 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

15. Details of the works by the officer	Statement Attached	Attachment Available																																																												
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	<p>Participation in Lok Adalat by MOHD ASLAM SIDDIQUI as Addl. District & Session Judge, Court No. 18, Agra. From 01.04.2022 to 05.07.2022</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr. No.</th> <th>Case No.</th> <th>Date of Order</th> <th>Name Parties</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Cr misc no. 1100008/2010</td> <td>14.05.2022</td> <td>State vs Deen Mohd</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Cr misc no. 374/2022</td> <td>14.05.2022</td> <td>State vs Deepchand</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Cr misc no. 428/2022</td> <td>14.05.2022</td> <td>State vs Sony Ram</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Cr misc no. 260/2017</td> <td>14.05.2022</td> <td>State vs Ajab Singh</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Cr misc no. 665/2021</td> <td>14.05.2022</td> <td>State vs Ved Sharma</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Participation in Lok Adalat by MOHD ASLAM SIDDIQUI as Spl. Judge SC/ST, Hamirpur. From 06.07.2022 to 04.02.2023</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr. No.</th> <th>Case No.</th> <th>Date of Order</th> <th>Name Parties</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>cr misc no. 343/2022</td> <td>13.08.2022</td> <td>State vs Kallu</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>cr misc no. 344/2022</td> <td>13.08.2022</td> <td>State vs Dalchandra</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Final Report 203/2021</td> <td>12.11.2022</td> <td>State vs Laxmi Prasad</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Final Report 212/2021</td> <td>12.11.2022</td> <td>State vs Jiyoti Prakash</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Chamar Final Report 53/2022</td> <td>12.11.2022</td> <td>Vadi Shankar Lal Vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Ramesh Final Report 55/2022</td> <td>12.11.2022</td> <td>Vadi Lakshman Vs Jeetu</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>URF Jitendra Yadav Final Report 182/2022</td> <td>12.11.2022</td> <td>Wadni Chhanda Devi Vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Satish etc</td> <td>12.11.2022</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sr. No.	Case No.	Date of Order	Name Parties	1	Cr misc no. 1100008/2010	14.05.2022	State vs Deen Mohd	2	Cr misc no. 374/2022	14.05.2022	State vs Deepchand	3	Cr misc no. 428/2022	14.05.2022	State vs Sony Ram	4	Cr misc no. 260/2017	14.05.2022	State vs Ajab Singh	5	Cr misc no. 665/2021	14.05.2022	State vs Ved Sharma	Sr. No.	Case No.	Date of Order	Name Parties	1	cr misc no. 343/2022	13.08.2022	State vs Kallu	2	cr misc no. 344/2022	13.08.2022	State vs Dalchandra	3	Final Report 203/2021	12.11.2022	State vs Laxmi Prasad	4	Final Report 212/2021	12.11.2022	State vs Jiyoti Prakash	5	Chamar Final Report 53/2022	12.11.2022	Vadi Shankar Lal Vs	6	Ramesh Final Report 55/2022	12.11.2022	Vadi Lakshman Vs Jeetu	7	URF Jitendra Yadav Final Report 182/2022	12.11.2022	Wadni Chhanda Devi Vs		Satish etc	12.11.2022		
Sr. No.	Case No.	Date of Order	Name Parties																																																											
1	Cr misc no. 1100008/2010	14.05.2022	State vs Deen Mohd																																																											
2	Cr misc no. 374/2022	14.05.2022	State vs Deepchand																																																											
3	Cr misc no. 428/2022	14.05.2022	State vs Sony Ram																																																											
4	Cr misc no. 260/2017	14.05.2022	State vs Ajab Singh																																																											
5	Cr misc no. 665/2021	14.05.2022	State vs Ved Sharma																																																											
Sr. No.	Case No.	Date of Order	Name Parties																																																											
1	cr misc no. 343/2022	13.08.2022	State vs Kallu																																																											
2	cr misc no. 344/2022	13.08.2022	State vs Dalchandra																																																											
3	Final Report 203/2021	12.11.2022	State vs Laxmi Prasad																																																											
4	Final Report 212/2021	12.11.2022	State vs Jiyoti Prakash																																																											
5	Chamar Final Report 53/2022	12.11.2022	Vadi Shankar Lal Vs																																																											
6	Ramesh Final Report 55/2022	12.11.2022	Vadi Lakshman Vs Jeetu																																																											
7	URF Jitendra Yadav Final Report 182/2022	12.11.2022	Wadni Chhanda Devi Vs																																																											
	Satish etc	12.11.2022																																																												

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	<p>1- Add. District Judge, Court No. 18, Agra (from 01-04-2022 to 05-07-2022)</p> <p>2- Spl. Judge (SC/ST, Pev. Atroci. Act) Hamirpur (from 06-07-2022 to 04-02-2023)</p> <p>3- Joint Secretary Law, Uttar Pradesh Public Service Commission, Prayagraj (from 05-02-2023 to 31-03-2023)</p> <p>4- Officer Incharge of Statements sent to Hon'ble High court, Agra</p> <p>5- Officer Incharge night view Taj Mahal, Agra under the direction of Hon'ble Supreme court of India.</p> <p>6- Nodal Officer Lok Adalat, Hamirpur</p> <p>7- Officer Incharge litigation, Hamirpur</p>
--------------------------------	---

		8- In charge grievance committee of Advocates, Hamirpur	
		9- In charge Selection Committee, Non Judicial Post, Hamirpur	
		10- In charge Selection Committee Nyay Mitra, Hamirpur	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1200 units	2075.46 units	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Steps has been taken to dispose the case	1- Out of 35 targeted cases 31 decided and 04 could not be decided due to transfer done in mid term. In Agra 07 cases have been decided in 03 months which includes the case pertaining to year 1984, 1985, 1986 etc rest was left due to transfer.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Steps has been taken to dispose the case	List is attached 1- Out of 35 targeted cases 31 decided and 04 could not be decided due to transfer done in mid term. In Agra 07 cases have been decided in 03 months which includes the case pertaining to year 1984, 1985, 1986 etc rest was left due to transfer.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
		Statement Attached	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Effort has been taken on priority bases to dispose of the cases	Out of 35 targeted cases 31 decided and 04 could not be decided due to transfer done in mid term. In Agra 07 cases have been decided in 03 months which includes the case pertaining to year 1984, 1985, 1986 etc rest was left due to transfer and apart from it other cases have also been disposed off.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
		Out of 35 targeted cases 31 decided and 04 could not be decided due to transfer done in mid term. In Agra 07 cases	

	have been decided in 03 months which includes the case pertaining to year 1984, 1985, 1986 etc rest was left due to transfer and apart from it other cases have also been disposed off.	Statement Attached	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
		Out of 35 targeted cases 31 decided and 04 could not be decided due to transfer done in mid term. In Agra 07 cases have been decided in 03 months which includes the case pertaining to year 1984, 1985, 1986 etc rest was left due to transfer and apart from it other cases have also been disposed off.	
		Statement Attached	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
		Effort has been taken and 10 cases have been disposed off in Agra and Hamirpur pertaing to year 1985, 1986, 1994, 1996 etc	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
		List Attached	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1200 units	2075.46 units	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	NIL	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
		1- 2 days work shop on SC/ST in IJTR Lucknow	

		2- 5 days training in IIPM New Delhi	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target		Achievements
	NIL		NIL

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Court Held during Year	28/04/2023
Year wise break up Total	28/04/2023
Work done	28/04/2023
List of cases disposed more than 10 years	28/04/2023
Senior Citizen	28/04/2023
Inspection	28/04/2023
Lok Adalat	28/04/2023
Enquiry	28/04/2023
List of cases pertaining to women	28/04/2023
Action Plan	28/04/2023
Self Assessment	28/04/2023

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
State vs Bauvan	28/04/2023
State vs Deepak	28/04/2023
State vs Shishram	28/04/2023
Ramsewak vs State	28/04/2023
Gurmit kalra vs Cant board	28/04/2023
state vs kaka	28/04/2023
State vs Veersingh	28/04/2023
State vs Mansing Lodhi	28/04/2023
state vs virendra	28/04/2023
State vs Naraina	28/04/2023
State vs Lupenrea	28/04/2023
State vs Rahul	28/04/2023

Applying Date	
Date	28/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	He is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	The Officer is cool minded and does not lose temper in Court
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	His private character is good and does not lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	The Officer has fixed cases in cause list properly.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments were avoided.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	72 old criminal and 03 old civil cases total 75 old cases were decided during the year Criminal Civil 1984 = 1 2013 = 2 1985 = 1 2016 = 1 1986 = 1 1994 = 3 1996 = 1 1998 = 1 2002 = 4 2003 = 3 2004 = 4 2005 = 5 2006 = 15 2007 = 4 2008 = 2 2010 = 3 2011 = 3 2012 = 1 2013 = 1 2014 = 2 2015 = 5 2016 = 5 2017 = 7
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	10 case
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Yes
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	The Judgments of the Officer are based on facts and law. The appreciation of evidence is well reasoned and expressed in good language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Sound

01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Target = 1200 units Achieved = 2075.46 units The disposal of work is adequate. Percentage = 172.95 % Pendency reduction work done target = 404.84 units Achieved = 1032.47 units
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	130 Criminal Cases and 13 Civil Cases Total 143 cases decided after actual full contest during the year as per his declaration
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper and effective.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Balanced
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Officer has made regular inspections of the Court and office in his charge during the year in effective manner.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	He is Punctual and regular in sitting on dais during Court hours.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respectful
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'VERY GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	His health condition is sound.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	He has performed his duties in effective manner as - 1. Nodal Officer Lok Adalat 2. Officer Incharge Litigation 3. Incharge grievance committee of Advocates His Judgments are very sound based on facts and law. 7 action plan cases in Agra
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Dr. Anupam Goyal (UP6521) 04.07.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Manish Mathur(HON'BLE JUDGE)

It is reported that sufficient number of cases are fixed by the officer to keep him engaged while unnecessary adjournments are avoided. The report states that 72 old criminal cases and 3 old civil cases have been decided while marshalling of facts, appreciation of evidence and application of law is good and sound. It is also indicated that against the target units of 1200, he has achieved 2075.46 units and against pendency reduction target of 404.84 units, he has achieved 1032.47 units. His relation with Bar and brother Officers has also been indicated as good; behaviour towards women is respectful and he is punctual.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

ABAD

The overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Very Good' vide Court remarks dated 10.08.2024 read with order dated 10.08.2024 passed on representation by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Manish Mathur, the then Administrative Judge, Hamirpur.


24/9/24
Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE A