

From,

Ritish Sachdeva,  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge/  
Fast.Track.Court. 1<sup>st</sup>, Etah.

Through,

The District & Sessions Judge ,  
Etah.

To,

The Registrar General.  
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.

Sub : Representation against negative remark in coloumn no. 01 (e) (iv) of Annual confidential remarks for the year 2021-2022 by the Respected district Judge Etah on non disposal of execution cases against overall assessment as " Good officer ".

Respected Sir,

With utmost respect, I very humbly wish to submit that in my Annual assessment for the year 2021-2022 respected District Judge, Etah has remarked negatively in coloumn no. 01 (e)(iv) that deals with progress and disposal of execution cases as :-

" The officer has not decided any execution case. During the year 5 SCC Execution cases remained pending in the court of the officer, Out of which 3 were stayed by the Hon'ble High Court.

Regarding aforesaid observation of the respected District Judge, Etah. I am inclined to make the submission that the respected District Judge, Etah in his own observation himself admitted that out of the five SCC Executions pending in my court during the year 2021-2022, three were stayed by The Hon'ble High court of Judicature at Allahabad. Regarding the remaining two SCC Executions pending before me for the financial year 2021-2022. I beg to submit that SCC Execution no 01/2021 Sri Atikur Rahman khan vs Smt. Husnbano was registered on 11-08-2021 after ex parte disposal of its SCC Case no 05/2019 on 11-01-2021. Presently in SCC Execution 01/2021 opposite party Smt Husnbano has applied for stay of execution on account of the pendency of miscellianeous restoration Application. The date fixed for disposal is 02.07.2022.

As far as second execution case is concerned, it is pending in my court as SCC Execution no 01/2022 Rajeev Bansal v/s sachin kumar registered on 11.02.2022 after ex parte disposal of its SCC case

✓