

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Annex-1

Case Id: A00045352022

Employee Id: 1768

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF	ASSESSMENT	FORM	PARTI

Self Assessment
 Period

01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022

2. Name of the Officer

RAVI KANT YADAV

3. Designation

Chief Judicial Magistrate

 Date of Joining Service / Length of Service 17/12/2009 (12 Years 4 Months and 6 Days)

5. Place of Posting

Kushi Nagar

Posting Details During Self Assessment Period

- 1. Muzaffar Nagar-Chief Judicial Magistrate
- 2. Kushi Nagar at Padrauna-Chief Judicial Magistrate
- Any other charge held during the financial
- 1. ASSISTANT O/c NAJARAT AND TELEPHONE
- 2. MEMBER OF AUCTION AND ALLOTMENT AND BONUS COMMITTEE
- 3.MEMBER OF COURT COMPOUND SECURITY COMMITTEE
- 4.SECRETARY OF ACTION PLAN COMMITTEE DISTRICT KUSHINAGAR
- 5. ASSISTANT O/c LITIGATION
- Year wise break up of cases

YEAR WISE BREAK UP OF CASES FROM 01-04-2021 TO 31-03-2022

Attachment Available

8. Courts held during the financial year

FILE IS ATTACHED.

NOT APPLICABLE.

Attachment Available

 In how many cases have you framed the issues

 In how many cases have you framed the charge 102

 Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of

NIL.

12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer

argument

NOT APPLICABLE.

13. Inspections

FILE IS ATTACHED.

Attachment Available

14. Remarks if any

NO.

15. Details of the works by the officer

financial year 2021-2022 total achieved unit 3808.68 file attached.

Attachment Available

16.	Performance	in	Lok
	Adalat		

CASES WHICH ARE DISPOSED IN LOK ADALAT IN THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-2022.

- 1. 10 JULY 2021 TOTAL, 335 CASES DISPOSED 2. 11 SEPTEMBER 2021, 553 CASES DISPOSED
- 3. 11 DECEMBER 2021, 498 CASES DISPOSED 4. 12 MARCH 2022, 753 CASES DISPOSED

TO 2139 CASES DISPOSED IN FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-2022 IN THE LOK ADALAT.

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Brief description of duties

- 1. CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE MUZAFFARNAGAR
- 2. CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE KUSHINAGAR
- 2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.

Target

et Achie

- 1. To decide Maximum No. of Pending Cases which are more than 5 Years old at the beginning of financial Year 2021-2022.
- 2. To dispose of maximum pending at the earliest.
- 3. To dispose of all old cases on the priority basis.
- 4. Secured presence of witnesses and accused.
- 5. No unnecessary Adjournments.

Achievements

- 1. 574 Cases decided out of 18408 cases.
- 2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.
- Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest.
- 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments.
- 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications.
- 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.
- 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing.

2A. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.

Attachment Available

Target

1. To decide Maximum No. of Pending Cases which are more than 5 Years old at the beginning of financial Year 2021-2022.

- 2. To dispose of maximum pending at the earliest.
- 3. To dispose of all old cases on the priority basis.
- 4. Secured presence of witnesses and accused.
- 5 No unnecessary Adjournments.

Achievements

- 1. 574 Cases decided out of 18408 cases.
- 2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.
- Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest.
- 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments.
- 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications.
- 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.
- 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing.

2A(i).

Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Available

Target

Achievements

Attachment

1. 574 Cases decided out of 18408 cases.

2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.

3. Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest. 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments. 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications. 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes. 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing. Attachment Number of such matters disposed of during the year. 2A(ii). Available Achievements **Target** 1. 574 Cases decided out of 18408 cases. TOTAL 18408 CASES MORE THAN 5 YEAR OLD PENDENCY WHICH WERE PENDING AT THE 2. Part evidence recorded in many cases. BEGINING OF FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-2022 3. Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest. 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments. 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications. 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes. 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old. 2B. **Target** 1. To decide Maximum No. of Pending Cases 1.83 Cases decided out of 10550 cases. which are more than 10 Years old at the 2 Part evidence recorded in many cases. beginning of financial Year 2021-2022. 3. Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of 2. To dispose of maximum pending at the earliest. cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest. 3. To dispose of all old cases on the priority basis. 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of 4. Secured presence of witnesses and accused. statements of witnesses without giving 5 No unnecessary Adjournments given to parties unnecessary adjournments. 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications. 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes. 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing. Attachment Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year. 2B(i). Available

Achievements

TOTAL 18408 CASES MORE THAN 5 YEAR OLD

PENDENCY WHICH WERE PENDING AT THE

BEGINING OF FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-2022

Target

Print Application

https://dc.allahabadhighcourt.in/print-acr-view/Snp0R...

TOTAL10550 CASES MORE THAN 10 YEAR OLD PENDENCY WHICH WERE PENDING AT THE BEGINING OF FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-2022

- 1. 83 Cases decided out of 10550 cases.
- 2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.
- 3. Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest.
- 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments.
- 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications.
- 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.
- 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing.

Number of such matters disposed of during the year. 2B(ii).

Attachment Available

TOTAL 10550 CASES MORE THAN 10 YEAR OLD PENDENCY WHICH WERE PENDING AT THE BEGINING OF FINANCIAL YEAR

Achievements

- 1.83 Cases decided out of 10550 cases.
- 2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.
- 3. Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest.
- 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments.
- Prompt disposal of intervening applications.
- 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.
- 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing.

Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age. 2C.

Target

Target

2021-2022

Achievements

- 1. To decide Maximum No. of Pending Cases
- which are more than 65 Years old persons at the beginning of financial Year 2021-2022.
- 2. To dispose of maximum pending at the earliest.
- 3. To dispose of all old cases on the priority basis.
- 4. Secured presence of witnesses and accused.
- 5 No unnecessary Adjournments given to parties.
- 1.5 Cases decided out of 6 cases.
- 2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.
- 3. Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest.
- 4. Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments.
- 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications.
- 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.
- 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing.

2C(i).

Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

6 CASES AT THE BEGINING OF THE
FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-2022

- 1. 5 Cases decided out of 6 cases.
- 2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.
- 3. Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest.
- Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments.
- 5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications.
- 6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.
- 7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing.

2C(ii).	i). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	6 CASES AT THE BEGINING OF THE	1. 5 Cases decided out of 6 cases.	
	FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-2022	2. Part evidence recorded in many cases.	
		Cases were listed with short dates. Fixation of cases which are at the stage of prosecution evidence, earliest.	
		 Witnesses were summoned recording of statements of witnesses without giving unnecessary adjournments. 	
		5. Prompt disposal of intervening applications.	
		6. Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.	
		7. Date fixed with the convenience and consent respective lawyers of hearing.	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference above. Please specify constraints, if any, in ac	ee to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 hieving the targets.	
	Target	Achievements	
	CLOSURE OF COURT DUE TO COVID - 19, LOCKDOWN AND SANITISATION, COVID - 19 GUIDELINES.	CLOSURE OF COURT DUE TO COVID - 19, LOCKDOWN AND SANITISATION, COVID - 19 GUIDELINES.	
4.	Academic and professional achievements duri books/articles published.	ing the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or	
	Target	Achievements	
	NO	NO	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, prog and/or any other organization during the period	gramme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and in question? If so, give details.	
	Target	Achievements	
	Fourth Round of Cluster Training of Judicial Officers by way of Workshop, Refresher and Orientation Courses Dated: 14.08.2021	Helpful in day to day Court Work.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	Member. If so, give details about the nature of	
	Target	Achievements	æ.
	NO	NO	

Judgment Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
7788-2019 state of up vs divyansh rana and others (https://dc.allahabadhighcourt.in/assets/documents/acr/acr1650720392.pdf)	23/04/2022
1189-2000 musmat janki vs prayag (https://dc.allahabadhighcourt.in/assets/documents/acr/acr1650720410.pdf)	23/04/2022
906-2003 state of up vs jugunu and others (https://dc.allahabadhighcourt.in/assets/documents/acr/acr1650720425.pdf)	23/04/2022
44-2006 state of up vs chhabbi and others (https://dc.allahabadhighcourt.in/assets/documents/acr/acr1650720441.pdf)	23/04/2022

Applying Date	
Date	23/04/2022

01-Remarks	aiven	by the	District	Judae	regarding:
or memarks	give!!	by the	DISTILL	Judge	regarding.

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	beyond doubt.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positive supporting material.	ly lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) &
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Officer is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and bar members.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	The officer is cool minded and does not loose temper in the court.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse is reported against his private character during the assessment year
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF	F:
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes. He has fixed the cause list properly.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes. He has fixed sufficient number of cases to keep engaged himself during full court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Avoided unnecessary adjournments
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	492 Criminal Cases- 1986/01, 1996/03, 2000/05, 2002/01,2003/05, 2005/04, 2006/03, 2007/02, 2008/02, 2010/03, 2011/11, 2012/11, 2013/16, 2014/17, 2015/28, 2016/154, 2017/226
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	No execution Case was pending.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	N.A.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Any case has not been remanded
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N.A.

	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes		
//	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:			
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good		
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Properly appreciated		
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Supporting and appropriate laws has been properly applied.		
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	He has been provided stenographer and has given 317.39% disposal/out turn, which is adequate.		
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated	ed in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	05		
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	0		
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil		
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil		
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	He has proper and effective control over the office.		
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.		
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good and relation is cordial.		
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes, The officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices, which are full and effective.		
01 (I).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	He is Punctual and regular in sitting on dais in Court during Court hours		
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes		
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respectful and also shown sensitivity towards women.		
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer. (Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Very Good		
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound		
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil		
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Ashok Kumar Singh -VII (UP6528) , District Judge Kushinagar at Padrauna 13.07.2022		

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Pankaj Bhatia(HON'BLE JUDGE)

As per the self assessment, the officer was posted as Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kushi Nagar w.e.f. 15.04.2021 to 31.03.2022.

Perused the remark given to the officer by the District Judge. The officer has annexed yearwise break up of Institution and Disposal of cases during the assessment year which shows that the cases of the year 1991 to 2000 are still pending and no plausible reason has been shown for its non-disposal of cases having age of more than 20 years. The officer should have made earnest endevour to dispose of the very old cases.

On overall assessment, I do not agree with the remarks given by the District Judge in putting him in the category of 'Very Good' and accordingly, he is downgraded and put in the category of 'Good' Officer.

Overall assessment	Good		
Integrity	Certified		