

From,
Vikas Kumar Verma
Civil Judge (Senior Division) FTC
Chandauli

To,
The Registrar General
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad
Allahabad

Through,
The District Judge,
Chandauli

Subject- Submission of representation with respect to self assessment for the period of 2021-22 wherein certain adverse remarks were recorded by Respected District Judge, Gautam Buddh Nagar and Hon'ble Administrative Judge.


Respected Sir,

It is humbly submitted that the undersigned is an officer of Civil Judge (Senior Division) cadre and having J.O code UP 2225.

I was posted as Civil Judge (Senior Division) FTC from 01.04.2021 to 12-04-2021, Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Gautam buddha Nagar from 12-04-2021 to 24-08-2021 and Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/Add. Chief Judicial Magistrate from 24-08-2021 to 31.03.2022 for the period of 2021-2022 at District Court, Gautam Buddh Nagar.

That during the aforesaid period I had obtained the 1473.94 units against the actual target of 546.19 units which is 270% work percentage. It shall be pertinent to mention some of the important work done by me as Presiding officer at different courts during the period of 2021-2022:-

1. As Civil Judge (Senior Division) FTC from 01.04.2021 to 12-04-2021 I had decided 4 original Civil suit where 3 cases were more than 10 years old.
2. As Secretary of District Legal Services Authority during Covid- 19 outbreak period I had done all the compliances as directed by Hon'ble High Court and State Legal Services Authority with respect to Under trial review committee, Interim bail to accused persons, inspecting Jail, organizing camps for spreading legal awareness via digital and physical mode.
3. As Secretary of District Legal Services Authority I had successfully conducted National lok adalat in the month of July, 2021 wherein the total disposal for the



District was 16,778/- comprising for Negotiable Act cases 465 and electricity cases 1,140/- which was highest in the State as per the data prepared by the State Legal Services Authority.

4. As Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM I had framed issues in 76 cases, framed Charges in 82 cases.
5. As Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM further I had committed 173 cases to the Court of Sessions from 24-08-2021 to 31.03.2022.
6. As Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM i had decided 15 original Suits fully contested wherein 5 suits were more than 10 years old, 4 cases were more than 5 years old.
7. As Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM i had decided 3 execution cases where one case pertains to year 1981 which is falls in the category of more than 30 years.
8. As Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM I had decided 272 cases under section 321 Cr.P.C.
9. As Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM I had also conducted jail lok adalat were 44 cases were decided.
10. As Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM i had disposed 6012 Cases in Lok Adalat (from 25.08.2021 to 31.03.2022) wherein 7 original Suits and 686 Negotiable Instruments Act cases were also decided.


Respected District Judge while giving me the remarks has certified by integrity as beyond doubt and also stated that officer is cool minded, doesn't lose temper and further has stated that officer is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and bar. Respected District Judge has also stated that officer fixes proper cause list and avoids unnecessary adjournments while dealing with the cases fixed before the Court.

Respected District Judge has also acknowledged the disposal of old civil cases for the Year 1991, 2007 and 2008, three execution cases, further none cases were remanded back to my Court by the respected District Judge or any appellate Court .

Respected District Judge has also acknowledged the disposal of work as adequate wherein the target to be achieved was 546.19 Units and the target achieved was 1473.94 Units which is 270% work percentage.

Respected District Judge has recorded the finding that total 23 cases were decided by me as fully contested. Further he has also recorded the fact that officer has controlled his office satisfactorily and is amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers. He has also recorded the finding that officers behavior towards women is good, officer is generally punctual and officer has a very sound health.

Respected District Judge has also recorded the finding that officers relations with members of the bar is cordial, his behavior in relation to brother officers is cordial and he



has made regular inspections of his Court and offices and such inspections were full and effective.

Respected District Judge has stated that in original suit no 139/21 and 42/21 the officer passed order on the same day. It is worthwhile to mention here that in both the cases on perusal of record it is found that the Application under section 151 C.P.C. was filed by the plaintiff along with affidavit before the Court and in light of facts and circumstances it was in interest of Justice to preserve the suit property Interim injunction was granted by the Court till the next date of hearing.

Further Respected District Judge while deciding appeal in Criminal case no.1958 of 2020, case crime no.106/2020 U/s.394,411 IPC ,PS- ECO III State vs. Rohit etc held that the officer in the said case failed to appreciate the core principle of identification of the accused as elucidated by himself. At this stage it shall be necessary to state that Courts in appeal can't pass strictures against the Presiding officers as is settled by Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court. It is also pertinent to mention that on perusal of record it is found that the Hon'ble High Court had issued directions for the disposal of present case in 6 months and I as Presiding officer had complied to the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court.

It is stated further submitted that the Hon'ble Administrative Judge in his finding has only recorded that the reputation of the officer during his visit to the judgeship has been reported not up to the mark.

Thus, I hereby request you to place my representation before the Hon'ble High Court for kind consideration. It is further prayed that the Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to kindly consider this representation of mine and make my proper assessment by expunging the said adverse remarks recorded by Respected District Judge, Shri Ashok kumar VII and by Hon'ble Administrative Judge in my Annual Confidential Report for the Assessment Year 2021-22.

I shall remain grateful to the Hon'ble High Court for this grace.


JUDGE SHIP, CHANDAULI
No. 939/I Dated 12-07-2022
Forwarded
District Judge
Chandauli
12.7.2022

Thanking You
Yours Faithfully
(Vikas Kumar Verma)
Civil Judge (Senior Division), FTC
Chandauli

Submission of representation with respect to self assessment for the period of 2021-2022 wherein
adverse remarks were recorded by respected District Judge Gautam Buddh Nagar and Hon'ble
Administrative judge
District Court Chandauli <dccha@allahabadhighcourt.in>
Date: Jul 12, 2022 4:07:34 PM
To: Registrar General <rg@allahabadhighcourt.in>

Respected Sir,
Please find attached File

Thanks & Regard
Computer Section
District Court Chandauli



Attachments:

939-1.pdf