

From,

Ashish Kumar Singh
Civil Judge (Junior Div.)
Kanpur Nagar

To,

The Registrar General
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature, Allahabad

Through,

The District Judge,
Kanpur Nagar

Sub: Representation against Annual Character role, recorded by District & Sessions Judge, Kanpur Nagar for the year 2022 – 2023

Respected Sir,

With regard to Hon'ble Court, my representation against ACR 2022 – 2023, is as below –

1. Hon'ble District Judge, Kanpur Nagar, in his remark, given in above ACR 2022 – 2023, has written nothing adverse against my work, conduct and integrity. My control over the files, disposal of execution cases, rating of quality of Judgment, my control over office and administrative capacity, relationship with Bar, Judicial fraternity, amenability with Senior Officers advice, respect to woman has been rated to be '**Good and satisfactory**'. Overall assessment is of a '**Good officer**'.
2. My representation is limited to the **Column No - 4** i.e. "Other remarks if any", wherein, it has been mentioned –

“As per the Action Plan the officer was expected to decide 30 oldest Original Suits & 10 oldest execution cases, but the officer has decided- 29/30 oldest

Original Suits & 4/10 oldest execution case . As per the Action Plan, the officer has decided less Original Suits and Execution Cases. The officer was presiding over a parent Civil Court with plenty of work, but still the officer has not decided the desired number of cases under the Action Plan. With greater experience and effort the officer will certainly decide more cases in future”.

3. My disposal of old cases with number and year of its pendency was given in **Column No. – 01(e)(iii)** “No of 5 year old cases disposed- 271, No of 10 year old cases disposed- 281, Original Suit- 1/1993, 1/2003, 1/2010, 2/2011, 1/2012, Misc. Civil Case- 3/2011, 1/2014, 2/2016, 5/2017, Execution Case- 1/1987, 1/2007,1/2017”. But, this was not taken under consideration. As per unit system, my achievement was 2790 units as against 1200 targeted. It was more than double of the prescribed standard. Even in remark column disposal of 29 old year cases against expected 30 has been written, i.e. 01 less in number. 26 execution cases were decided and it was written in **Column – 01(e) (iv)** by learned DJ itself. Even then, this remark was made.
4. With all pertinency to Hon’ble District Judge and profound regard, respect to Hon’ble Court it is being mentioned that the working scenario of Kanpur Nagar Judgeship was perturbed after joining of Shri Sandeep Jain, District Judge, Kanpur Nagar, because of frequent resistance, abstinence from work, strike and boycott by Civil Court Staff, Members of Bar as well as other stake holders. Hon’ble DJ, used to ill behave very oftenly. I worked with all my devotion, capacity and sincerity, even then got this remark, which is factually not substantiated. Many Judicial Officers (more than half) have been given alike adverse remark, which may damage Judicial carrier.
5. Hon’ble Court is being prayed for deleting above adverse remark. Hon’ble Court is further being solicited to rate me in Upper Degree than a **‘Good officer’**. I shall be indebted forever.

6. Your Honour is being requested to place my representation before Hon'ble Court for kind and sympathetic consideration, prayed as above.

Sincerely Yours

(Ashish Kumar Singh)
Civil Judge (Junior Div.)
Kanpur Nagar

Id: A00056562023