From,

Pratibha Narayan, Special Judge (EC Act) · Lakhimpur Kheri (UP)

To,

The Registrar General, Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad

## Through

The District Judge Lakhimpur Kheri

Subject: Prayer for expunging the remark 'near about soundness' in column no. – O1(f) and the remark 'satisfactory' in column no. O1(f)(I) and O1(f)(II) in the annual Confidential Remark for the year 2022-2023.

Sir,

It is most humbly submitted before your goodself this is my representation against the remark given by the respected District Judge as 'near about soundness' and 'satisfactory' in column no. O1(f), O1(f)(I) and O1(f)(II) respectively in the Annual Confidential Remark and also for the upgradation of Annual confidential remark for the year 2022-2023.

To substantiate my representation I submit as follows:

1. With respect to the judgements passed by me the Respected District Judge has observed as below in column no. O1(f), O1(f)(I) and O1(f)(II)respectively in the Annual Confidential Remark 2022-2023.

, , ,	Whether judgements in facts and law are on the whole sound, well established and expressed in good language?	The judgements of the officer on facts and law are near about soundness.
01(f)(i)	Marshalling of facts	satisfactory
01(f)(ii)	Appreciation of evidence .	satisfactory

From the remark mentioned in the last column the marshalling of facts and appreciation of evidence in my judgements is considered to be satisfactory by the Respected District Judge but still my judgements are remarked as 'near about soundness' where as the District Judge in column 01(f)(iii) has remarked that the application of law is good'. The two remarks appear contradictory to each other

() Malibha

as in a judgement where application of law is held to be good and it is held that the judgement is passed based on law and facts it cannot be possible that marshalling of facts and appreciation of evidence will be just satisfactory and the judgement will be near to soundness. No basis has been written in remarks.

- 2. It is also humbly submitted that I have always been a hardworking and dedicated officer. I have passed the decisions on the basis of full devotion and legal knowledge. I have interpreted the evidence properly and analyzed the facts properly in the light of law and facts, passed in rational manners. In my service till date no adverse remark or comment has ever been passed by any appellate or revisional court on my orders or judgements. My dedication towards my work can be assessed by the percentage of work done by me. My target for the year 2022–2023 was 760.48 units and the units achieved by me is 2041.10 which is much greater than targeted units.
- 3. I have always endeavoured to reduce the pendency of cases which are more than 5 years old cases (which include disposal of 51 Civil and 250 Criminal Cases), in despite my transfer I have decided total 122 cases marked under Action Plan. I have also decided 27 execution cases which include all 25 execution cases pending in POCSO and Gangster Court. I have also decided 76 criminal and 11 Civil contested cases.
- **4.** The respected District Judge has stated that I am the officer with integrity and is regular and punctual in sitting on dias. In the other remarks column the District Judge has mentioned that I have achieved more than required quantum of work over all as well as from final disposal but still my overall assessment is 'VERY GOOD'.

On the basis of above mentioned facts I most humbly pray that the Hon'ble Court consider my submission and expunge the remark given by the respected District Judge as 'near about soundness' and 'satisfactory' in column no. O1(f), O1(f)(I) and O1(f)(II) respectively in the Annual Confidential Remark and also to upgrade my overall assessment of the Annual confidential remark for the year 2022-2023. I will be highly obliged.

With regards.

Yours faithfully

(Pratibha Na<del>raya)</del> Special Judge (EC Act) . Lakhimpur Kheri (UP)