

SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

Case Id : A00001592022	Employee No.:- 3568
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SUMIT GUPTA	
3.	Designation	Judicial Magistrate	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/12/2019 (2 Years 3 Months and 24 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Mahoba Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Mahoba-Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	(1) Assitant Nodal Officer Computer From 28.06.2021 to 31.03.2022 (2) Incharge Inspection Section From 16.04.21 to 31.03.2022	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	ANNEXURE_A	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	ANNEXURE_B	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	36	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13.	Inspections	ANNEXURE_C	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	During financial Year 2021-22 due to covid-19 pandemic court remain unfunctional for considerable time period .	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	ANNEXTURE_D	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Total number of cases disposed at Lok Adalat held in 10.07.21 ,11.09.21 ,11.12.21 ,12.03.22 = 667 Cases	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	<p>JUDICIAL WORK: 1. Presiding officer in Court of Civil Judge (JD)-Crime Against Women from 01.04.2021 to 29.06.2021 2. Presiding officer in Court of Judicial Magistrate - second from 29.06.2021 to 31.03.2022</p> <p>ADMINISTRATIVE WORK : (1) Assitant Nodal Officer Computer From 28.06. 2021 to 31.03.2022 (2) Incharge Inspection Section From 16.04.21 to 31.03.2022</p>	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Units:-1000 (Without Steno) 2. Disposal of old cases 3. Reduction of pendency	1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Sucessfully decided 06 contested Cases.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	

	1. Prioritize hearing of such cases. 2. Avoid unnecessary Adjournments 3. Focusing on completion of Evidence in such matters	1. Successfully Achieved target by fixing short dates in old cases . 2. Successfully Achieved target by imposing costs. 3. Successfully Achieved this target by making due correspondence with higher authorities.	
2A(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Criminal cases in court of Civil Judge (JD)-Crime Against Women:- 062 Cases Criminal cases in court of JM-second ; - 188 Cases	Disposed criminal Cases in Court of JM-Second :- 04	
2A(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
i).	Target	Achievements	
	Disposed criminal Cases in Court of JM-Second :- 15	1. Disposed criminal Cases in Court of JM-Second :- 04 2. Undisposed cases of more than five years old have been matured and are likely to be disposed in commencing year .	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Prioritize hearing of such cases. 2. Avoid unnecessary Adjournments. 3. Focusing on completion of Evidence in such matters.	1. Successfully Achieved target by fixing short dates in old cases . 2. Successfully Achieved target by imposing costs. 3. Successfully Achieved this target by making due correspondence with higher authorities.	
2B(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
).	Target	Achievements	
	Criminal cases in court of Civil Judge (JD)-Crime Against Women:- 07Cases Criminal cases in court of JM-second ; - 37 Cases	Disposed criminal Cases in court of JM-second :- 02	
2B(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
i).	Target	Achievements	
	Disposed criminal Cases in court of JM-second :- 10	1. Disposed criminal Cases in court of JM-second :- 02 2. Undisposed cases of more than five years old have been matured and are likely to be disposed in commencing year .	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Speedy and timely disposal of such cases.	Every effort made to dispose such matters on priority basis.	
2C(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
).	Target	Achievements	
	29	03	
2C(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
i).	Target	Achievements	
	29	03	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Non appearance of accused and witnesses. 2. Huge pendency of cases in court. 3. Strike of advocates . 4. Court closed due to Covid -19.	1. Issued D.O. letters to higher authorities. 2. Priorities hearing of old cases. 3. Adjournments not accepted without due reasons.	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	NIL	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	

	1. Refresher E-Training by way of cluster workshop dated : 28.08.2021 2. Training for newly inducted Civil Judges (JD) ,At JTRI - Lucknow , dated 29.11.2021 to 03.12.2021.	1. Attended and participated successfully. 2. Attended and participated successfully.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NA	NA	

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
STATE V SANTOSH	06/04/2022
STATE V MUNNA PRAJAPATI	06/04/2022
STATE V PREMCHAND	06/04/2022
STATE V PRABHU DAYAL	06/04/2022
STATE V RAMRATAN	06/04/2022

Applying Date	
Date	06/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:		
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	As many as 6 cases of more than five years old disposed after full contest. Year-wise Breakup are- 2/2009, 1/2012, 1/2014, 1/2015, 1/2016 (As per C.L.-8)
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Not applicable as officer has worked purely in criminal side for whole of the year.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Not applicable as doing purely criminal work.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable as no appellate work done.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	The judgements on fact and law are sound and well reasoned.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	1382.69 units against prescribed 1000 units. 138.69% Adequate and above the norms.

	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	6 Cases
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil.
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Not applicable.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Normal.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	During the whole year, the office has decided only 6 cases as contested. It shows that as a new officer, he has not full commitment and devotion towards his work.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Devendra Singh-I (UP6554) 14.06.2022

Overall assessment	
Dinesh Pathak(HON'BLE JUDGE)	Considered the report submitted by Ld. District Judge coupled with the representation moved by self assessee and the record placed before me. Overall performance of the Officer concern except short disposal of the cases is appears to be quite satisfactory. Work disposal as per unit is adequate. Nothing found advers against the Officer concerned. Being a newly appointed Judicial Officer in the year 2019 he needs encouragement in his work. Offier is advised to be more cautious in future in discharging his Judicial duty. He is now being upgraded as very good . Representation is disposed of accordingly.
Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified