

From,

Gyanendra Kumar-II
Civil Judge (S.D.)/ ACJM
Balrampur

To,

The Registrar General
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at
Allahabad

Through,

The District Judge
Balrampur

Subject- Representation against the adverse remarks recorded by the then District Judge
Balrampur in ACR of the applicant for the assessment year 2022-23.

Respected Sir,

I most respectfully beg to submit my most humble representation before the Hon'ble Court against the adverse Annual Confidential Remarks recorded by the then District Judge with regard to my work and conduct during the year 2022-23 as follows :

1. During the assessment year 2022-23, I have been posted as Secretary DLSA Balrampur from 01.04.2022 to 02.12.2023 and from 03.12.2023 to 31.03.2023 as Civil Judge (S.D.)FTC / ACJM, Balrampur.

2. The then District Judge Balrampur has mentioned the following adverse remarks in the "remarks given by the District Judge" columns and my representation against those remarks are as under:

3. In the column 1 (f) regarding "Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language" the then District Judge has mentioned that the "Judgments are sound, average reasoned and expressed in general language."

On the above remark, it is humbly submitted that judgments passed by me are well sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language. For this purposes my judgments are already attached in the judgment column of self assessment for kind consideration of the Hon'ble court.

4. In the column 01 (f) (i) regarding "Marshalling of facts" the then District Judge has mentioned that Marshalling of facts is Chronological.

On the above remark, it is humbly submitted that I passed judgments as per the provisions of law and the marshaling of facts is up-to the mark in given facts of the case

9

and best possible endeavor has been made by me for systematic marshalling of facts in judgments passed by me.

5. In the column 01 (f)(ii) regarding "appreciation of evidences" the then District Judge has mentioned that Efforts have been made to appreciate the evidence but requires improvement.

On the above remark, it is most humbly submitted that the then District Judge has not specifically mentioned in what respect the improvement in appreciation of evidence is required. It is further most humbly submitted that appreciation of evidence in each and every case was done applying the law of evidence and law declared by Hon'ble Apex Court and Hon'ble Allahabad High Court on appreciation of evidence in best possible way as per my understanding of law. The Judgments are attached with the Self Assessment in Judgment column for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Court.

6. In the column 01 (f)(iii) regarding "application of law" the then District Judge has mentioned that "efforts have been made to apply the law properly but requires improvement."

On the above remark, it is most humbly submitted that the then District Judge has not specifically mentioned in what respect the application of law requires improvement and how the proper application of law is not up to the mark and where I need to improve my skill of applying law. I have applied the law according to demand of the peculiar facts of each and every case in which I passed Judgments on substantive and procedural law. I applied statutory law and law declared on specific points by Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble Allahabad High Court which have been cited at appropriate places in judgment for arriving at proper conclusion. For this purpose my Judgments are attached with self assessment for year 2022-23 before the Hon'ble Court for kind consideration.

7. In the column 01 (g) regarding Whether disposal of work is adequate, the then District Judge has mentioned only the following-

Judicial Work - 370.92 Unit

Administrative Work - 253.32

Required Unit - 268.65

Percentage - 269.70 %

(With Stenographer)

On the above remark I would like to humbly submit that perhaps the then District Judge did not peruse the annexures regarding work done that I have attached with the self assessment. I would like to submit further most humbly that I held the court of Civil Judge (S.D.)FTC / ACJM Balrampur only from 03.12.2023 to 31.03.2023 in which civil work started from

03.12.2022 and criminal work started from 08.12.2022. I was having both civil and criminal work in my court. Total working days were 80, during those 80 working days I had been the in-charge of the courts i.e. CJM Court, Civil Judge Senior Division Court, Junior Division Court for 46 days that is more than 50% working days of my total working days. Out of these 46 days I was in charge of CJM Court and Civil Judge Senior Division Court for 44 days and for 2 days Junior Division Court. Respected CJM was on leave and Respected Civil Judge (SD) used to preside over JJB on Tuesday and Wednesday. I was overburdened with the work as being in-charge of CJM Court, Civil Judge Senior Division Court for 46 days out of total 80 working days. It is specifically submitted that I was also in charge of DLSA.

I would like to submit my total work done as I have submitted in my self assessment for the year 2022-23 under consideration which is as under-

Judicial Work – (Civil – 110.35 + Criminal - 362.90)= 473.25 Units

Administrative Work – 253.32 (that also includes miscellaneous judicial work 82.32 i.e. Misc work for remand, warrant cancellation, statement u/s 164 Cr.P.C., Bail and Remand and other criminal miscellaneous work and it is to be included in judicial work) I did not add this work earlier in judicial work as I had more than required units.

Total Required Units – 268.65

Total achieved units- 726.57 (Misc/ administrative – 253.32 + Judicial – 473.25)

I gave out turn of 726.57 units against 268.65 units which is 270.45%

In this column the then District Judge has mentioned only as with stenographer. I would like to submit humbly before the Hon'ble Court that my steno had been on leave for one month i.e. 23.01.2023 to 23.02.2023. I did not mention this fact in my self assessment as I had achieved more than required units. I had also written letter to the then District Judge to make the steno available as I was having both civil and criminal work in my court and also being in-charge of CJM and Civil Judge Senior Division Court for some of days during that period. I had only been provided steno for few days and for most of the days no steno was provided and I had to work without steno for some days. Even in these circumstances I had done work of my court, CJM and Civil Judge Senior Division Court. In spite of above mentioned circumstances I as Civil Judge (S.D.)/ FTC / ACJM, gave out turn of 726.57 units against 268.65 units which is 270.45% which is adequate. (ANNEXURE-1)

8. In the column 01 (j) regarding "Behavior in relation to brother Officers" the then District Judge has mentioned "Not good. The Officer used to invite only selective brother and sister officers in his general functions such as birthday of children or his anniversary celebrations. The Officer has ignored the senior most Judicial Officer residing adjacent to his house while inviting other Officers, who are residing away from his residence."

9

In this regard, I would like to submit most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that the incidents/ occasions that the then Respected District Judge has mentioned had never occurred. I would like to submit further most humbly that during self assessment period of 2022-23 there was only one of such programme i.e. on 23.01.2023. My daughter is only two years old and it was the programme of small children/kids in which I had invited only children/kids. This was a small and personal programme and only children/kids had been invited. There has been no such incident where any of my respected Senior Officers, Fellow Officers or Junior Officers have mentioned any deviated behavior on my part so far in my small career of six years as Judicial Officer. This remark/incident has been mentioned by the then Respected District Judge just to create additional ground to justify the overall assessment of mine.

9. In the column 01 (I) regarding "punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours." the then Respected District Judge has mentioned "Generally Punctual."

I would like to submit humbly before the Hon'ble Court that I am always punctual and regular in sitting on the dais and coming to court. This is clear from my working units during the self assessment year 2022-23. I was always found present even in surprise inspections of the Respected District Judge. No specific incidents or occasions have been mentioned where I have been found impunctual. Thus this entry too has been recorded without there being any basis and this has been mentioned in order to justify the overall assessment of mine.

10. In other remarks, if any, the then Respected District Judge has mentioned five following remark/points :

(I) The first remark the then Respected District Judge has mentioned is that "While officiating as Secretary, DLSA, Balrampur the Officer has given conflicting and false reports to me which resulted in mismanagement of working of DLSA (Administrative Order No. 1090/2022 Dated 20.08.2022 passed and D.O. No. 874/2022 Dated 29.08.2022 issued in this regard)."

I would like to submit most humbly before the Hon'ble Court regarding this remark that both Administrative Order No. 1090/2022 Dated 20.08.2022 passed and D.O. No. 874/2022 Dated 29.08.2022 are related to same incident and the real facts are to be submitted before the Hon'ble Court to know the true incident. I would like to submit humbly before the Hon'ble Court regarding this remark/incident that on 16.08.2022 and 17.08.2022 the steno of CJM court was on leave and there was no steno available on that day and the work of CJM court was getting hampered. The then Respected District Judge had given me oral direction that the clerk of ADR centre be sent to CJM court for urgent work of smooth functioning of CJM Court. On oral direction of the then Respected District Judge I asked the concerned clerk to go to CJM Court for urgent work as the clerk knows the typing. But he had not come in the office after

finishing urgent work and the office clerk of DLSA reported to the then Respected District Judge that the concerned clerk comes in the office and signs in the attendance register and goes somewhere as DLSA clerk was not aware of the fact that the concerned clerk had been directed to work in CJM court. I had forwarded this report of the DLSA clerk. Then Respected District Judge inquired about whereabouts of the clerk and I had forwarded the explanation of the clerk that he had been working in CJM court. I had forwarded this explanation also. I would like to submit further most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that the clerks can not send any report, explanation or any other work directly to the Respected District Judge. Any report, explanation or any other work to be sent to the Respected District Judge is to be forwarded by the Secretary, DLSA. Here I would like to submit further most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that I had not done any such act by which the working of DLSA got mismanaged. I had not given any conflicting and false reports to the then Respected District Judge. The explanation of the concerned clerk I had simply forwarded and in simple forwarding the explanation, no work of DLSA got mismanaged.

(II) The second remark the then Respected District Judge has mentioned is that "The Officer has not disposed any matter in Mini Lok Adalat organized on 08.02.2023 neither made any effort to do so. (D.O no. 142/2023 dated 09.02.2023 issued in this regard)"

I would like to submit humbly before the Hon'ble Court that the Mini Lok Adalt was held on 08.02.2023, 09.02.2023 and 10.02.2023. I would like to submit further most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that the then Respected District Judge has mentioned that The Officer has not disposed any matter in Mini Lok Adalat organized on 08.02.2023 neither made any effort to do so, is not sustainable on the following grounds. I had directed the concerned clerks to prepare list of cases that may be disposed of in Mini Lok Adalat. I had been in-charge of CJM and Civil Judge Senior Division court on 08.02.2023 and I had a lot of work to do in the court. Nobody turned up on 08.02.2023 therefore no case could be disposed of on that day. I would like to submit further most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that I had disposed of 15 cases on 09.02.2023 and 18 cases on 10.02.2023 in Mini Lok Adalat. It is also worth mentioning here that during short period of holding Court by me i.e. from 03.12.2022 to 31.03.2023 only one Lok Adalats was organised on 11.02.2023 and I had disposed of 250 cases as Civil Judge (SD)FTC/ACJM.

I had held the charge of Secretary DLSA from 01.04.2022 to 02.12.2022 during Self Assessment period 2022-23. During this period three National Lok Adalats were organised in which I had properly discharged my duties as Secretary DLSA. In these three National Lok Adalats the disposal of cases increased i.e. National Lok Adalat dated 14.05.2022- Total

Q

disposed cases 18475, National Lok Adalat dated 13.08.2022- Total disposed cases 26849 and National Lok Adalat dated 12.11.2022 – Total disposed cases 28429.

It is specifically submitted most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that D.O.s dated 09.02.2023 had also been issued to other officers regarding not disposing of cases in Mini Lok Adalat but the then Respected District Judge did not mention in other Remarks columns in ACR of Some Officers who have been assessed as Outstanding but has been mentioned in other Remarks columns of my ACR. This remark has been mentioned only to create additional ground and justify the overall assessment of mine.

(III) That third remark the then Respected District Judge has mentioned is that "While officiating as Secretary, DLSA, Balrampur the Officer has organized awareness camps only in urban areas despite being orally directed many times to organize such camps in remote and tribal areas which shows his lack of commitment towards performance of his duties."

On this remark, I would like to submit most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that I had organised camps as per the directions of NALSA and UPSLSA.

The camps that I have organized in remote and tribal areas are following-

- (i) (a) Camp at Utraula Tehsil this is about 25 km from District Headquarter.
- (b) Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp for Girl Students in Rajkiya Balika Inter College, Pachpedwa, Balrampur on the Topic Cervical Cancer and Rights Of Women. This inter college is about 65 km from Balrampur District Headquarter. This is tribal and remote area of District Balrampur.
- (c) Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp For Students In Kasturba Balika Inter College, Tulsipur, Balrampur on the Topic Cervical Cancer And Rights Of Women. This inter college is about 30 km from Balrampur District Headquarter. This area also has tribal population.
- (d) Three Old Age Home Inspections and camps at village Aabar, Gaura Road had been done. This old age home is 22 km from Balrampur District Headquarter.
- (e) Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp on the topic of Deaddiction from Tobacco and Liquor at Village Kudri. This village is about 12 km from District Headquarter.
- (f) Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp at Village Motipur, Tulsipur. This village is about 40 km from District Headquarter.
- (g) Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp on the topic of Cervical Cancer And Rights Of Women at Village Shekharapur. This village is about 06 km from District Headquarter.

9

(ii) That the remark of the then District Judge that "the Officer has organized awareness camps only in urban areas" is not sustainable as I had organised camps in rural and tribal areas whereas some of the villages are very far from the District Headquarter. I had organised camps in urban areas on the direction of NALSA and UPSLSA i.e. directions to organise camps in Jail, at ADR Centre/Headquarter, in the hospitals, in the colleges etc. These were major camps and apart from these major camps small camps were also organised time to time. I would like to submit most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that here is no facility of vehicle to go to camps in remote areas and even despite of non availability of vehicle to go far I had organised camps in remote and tribal areas. I had requested orally to the then District Judge for expenses including fuel to go and organise camps in remote villages but no expenses had been provided. NALSA and UPSLSA gave oral directions in Vcs or through letters at the last moment to organise camps at particular place. I had not been provided any facility to go and organise camps in remote areas even after repeated requests yet I organised those camps. It is worth mentioning that I had been Secretary DLSA Balrampur from 14.04.2021 to 31.03.2022 also during the assessment year 2021-22 and the then District Judge had not mentioned any adverse remark in my ACR during Self Assessment of 2021-22 while working as Secretary DLSA. (ANNEXURE-2 for other camps and other work done as Secretary, DLSA)

(IV) that on fourth point the then Respected District Judge has mentioned is that "While working as Civil Judge (Senior Division)/FTC from 03.12.2022 to 31.03.2023, the Officer has disposed only one Execution Case and only few Civil Cases that is inadequate. The Officer is advised to dispose sufficient number of contested Civil Cases and Execution Cases.

On this remark I would like to submit further most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that during the assessment year 2022-23 I have been posted as Secretary DLSA Balrampur from 01.04.2022 to 02.12.2023 and From 03.12.2023 to 31.03.2023 as Civil Judge (S.D.)/ FTC / ACJM Balrampur. Thus I had started judicial work from 03.12.2023 to 31.03.2023 as Civil Judge (S.D.)/ FTC / ACJM Balrampur. As I have already stated above that I was having both civil and criminal work in my court. Total working days were 80, during those 80 working days I had been the in-charge of the courts i.e. CJM Court, Civil Judge Senior Division Court, Junior Division Court for 46 days that is more than 50% working days of my total working days. Out of these 46 days I was in charge of CJM Court and Civil Judge Senior Division Court for 44 days and 2 days for Junior Division Court. Respected CJM was on leave and Respected Civil Judge (SD) used to preside over JJB on Tuesday and Wednesday. I was overburdened with the work as being in-charge of CJM Court, Civil Judge Senior Division Court and Junior Division Court for 46 days out of total 80 working days. It is worth mentioning here that I was also in charge of DLSA. I could not get sufficient time for civil work as urgent criminal work of my

court in which there is huge pendency, urgent work of CJM Court and other miscellaneous work of CJM Court, urgent work of Civil Judge Senior Division Court was there to be finished. Even in this short period i.e. from 03.12.2023 to 31.03.2023 as Civil Judge (S.D.)/ FTC / ACJM Balrampur Court I disposed 9 civil cases and 186 criminal cases. I had also decided 4 Succession cases. Thus total disposed of cases were 199. (ANNEXURE-3)

It is specifically submitted that the Court of Civil Judge(S.D.)/FTC had been vacant since 2019 and non functional and no case was pending in the court and files started coming date wise in which parties and Ld. Advocates were not appearing. Notices under Rule 89A G.R. Civil were given in civil cases. I would like to submit before the Hon'ble Court that the readers in my court had been transferred 2 times in this short period by the then District Judge and as it was becoming very difficult to work with new reader because I had to tell reader about court and files that are to be fixed for dates on day to day basis.

It is specifically submitted before the Hon'ble Court that 4 SCC suits and 4 SCC Execution were transferred into my court while I had no jurisdiction to decide the SCC suit and SCC Executions. The total Execution were pending in my court were 8 and out of 8 Executions 4 were SCC Executions. I had disposed of 1 out of 4 remaining Executions even in circumstances mentioned above. (ANNEXURE - 4)

(V) On fifth point the then Respected District Judge has mentioned is that "The Officer is also advised to use proper language and avoid common mistakes in his orders/judgments."

On this remark I would like to submit before the Hon'ble Court that I have passed all the orders and judgments as per available facts and circumstances of each case. The then Respected District Judge has not specified any case where I have not used proper language and what mistakes I have made in my orders or judgments. This remark has been added just to make additional ground to justify the overall assessment of mine for the year 2022-23.

11. I would like to submit specifically before the Hon'ble court that the then Respected District Judge had asked me to pass a judgment in a file i.e. Case no.- 2029/23/07 Maita v. Shobharam / s 504, 506, 494 IPC P.S.- Kotwali Dehat, Balrampur. On 13.03.2023 the then Respected District Judge called me in his chamber and inquired about this file and about the date fixed in the file. I expressed my ignorance as I did not know about this file. The then Respected District Judge had taken the same file to his residence on 13.03.2023 from my office and called me next day again and asked me to pass the judgment as soon as possible in particular way. I replied that I would do whatever is available on the record. In the file the next date was fixed 16.03.2023 and I had fixed 01.04.2023 for statement u/s 313 Cr.P.C. It is pertinent to mention here that when I fixed next date as 01.04.2023 the accused and his advocate had gone to the then Respected District

Judge to get the date changed and the then Respected District Judge called me in his chamber and asked me to change the date but I humbly requested that the other party had gone after noting the date and it would not be just to change the date in absence of other party and I came out of the chamber. I kept the date intact because the complainant and her advocate had taken the date and complainant's advocate had also made signature on the order sheet noting 01.04.2023 as fixed date. When the date was not changed by me then accused and his advocate had gone to the then Respected District Judge to inform about date fixed. When I did not change the date the then Respected District Judge had got the date changed as 17.03.2023 by the Reader of the court of Civil Judge (S.D.) FTC even after signing the order sheet by me. It is clear from the order sheet copies that I am attaching with the representation.(ANNEXURE-5) I make initial signature whenever I change the date. Even concerned readers or clerks also get initial signature in order to avoid further problems if P.O.'s signature are not on the overwriting. On 17.03.2023 I had fixed the same date i.e. 01.04.2023 for argument as statement u/s 313 Cr.P.C had been made on 17.03.2023. On 01.04.2023 the exemption had been moved form accused's side. The next date was fixed 10.04.2023 and there was strike by the Advocates. The then District Judge had called me in his chamber and asked me to reserve the file for judgment and I expressed my inability to do so as there was strike. It is pertinent to mention here that on the day of strike the then District Judge used to get the proposal/ information of the Bars regarding strike circulated and oral direction used to be given that no adverse order be passed in the files. If I had reserved the file for judgment on the day of strike then perhaps the consequences would have been different and I would have faced unnecessary complaint and that would have also been the violation of the then District Judge's oral direction. That would have also been the lack of tact on my part dealing with the practical situation. Next date was fixed for 13.04.2023 and there was strike again by the Advocates regarding Kanpur incident. That day the advocates were raising slogans in court corridors regarding Kanpur incident. The then District Judge had called me in his chamber and asked me again to reserve the file for judgment and I again expressed my inability to do so because of strike. I would like to submit here again that on 13.04.2023 the same oral direction was issued because of strike. The next date fixed was 21.04.2023. The Complainant/victim and her Ld. advocate were observing all the activities of accused and accused's Ld. Advocate, then the Complainant through her Ld. Advocate filed Transfer Application on the ground that there is adherence between the accused and the P.O. and on the next date fixed the complainant had moved application regarding the stay of proceedings on the file as the Transfer Application related to the file was pending. I would like to submit most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that I am not aware what interest the then Respected District Judge had got in the matter that he was vehemently asking me over and over again to reserve the file for judgment even on the day of strike and pass the judgment as soon as possible. When I

did not pass the judgment as per the oral desire and direction of the then Respected District Judge then the unnecessary remark have been mentioned in my ACR for the period of Self Assessment 2022-23.

12. I would like to submit most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that the then Respected District Judge in the column "Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking" has written Beyond doubt. In the column "If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar" he has written Yes. In the column "If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court he has written Yes." In the column "His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties" He has written No. In the column "Proper fixation of cause list he has written Cases have been fixed properly." In the column "Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court hours?" He has written Yes. In the column "Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments" he has written Avoided unnecessary Adjournments. In the column "Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact" he has written "The Officer has good control over the office and administrative capacity and tact." In the column "Relations with members of the Bar he has written "Cordial." In the column "Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?" He has written "The Officer has made regular inspections and inspection notes are full and effective. In the column "Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?" He has written "Yes." In the column "Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)" he has written "Respectful and sensitive towards women." In the column "State of Health" he has written "Good & Sound." I would like to submit most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that if the Hon'ble court peruses all the remarks of the then Respected District Judge then the Hon'ble Court would find that the adverse remarks have been recorded just to create different and additional grounds to justify the overall assessment of mine during the year 2022-23.

13. I would like to submit further most humbly before the Hon'ble Court that I have been assessed as "Very Good" during the period of Self Assessment 2018-19 while working as Civil Judge(J.D.)/FTC. I have been assessed as "Very Good" during the period of Self Assessment 2019-20 and 2020-21 while working as Civil Judge(J.D.)/JM. It is specifically submitted that I have been assessed as "Very Good" during the period of Self Assessment 2021-22 while working as Secretary, DLSA, Balrampur. It is for the very first time in my career so far as Judicial Officer that adverse remarks have been recorded in the ACR. The remarks by the then

Respected District Judge in my ACR during Self Assessment period 2022-23 have been mentioned only to affect my career as Judicial Officer.

14. As stated by me in the preceding paragraphs, the adverse remarks recorded against me in my ACR by the then Respected District Judge Balrampur are not sustainable and liable to be expunged.

15. I therefore request your good self to place this representation of mine before the Hon'ble Court for kind consideration. I humbly request the Hon'ble court to kindly expunge the adverse remarks recorded in my ACR by the then District Judge, Balrampur for the assessment year 2022-23 and upgrade my Overall assessment in my ACR for the year 2022-23 considering my hard and honest judicial and administrative performance as the then Secretary DLSA, Balrampur and Civil Judge (S.D.)/ FTC / ACJM Balrampur. I shall be grateful to the Hon'ble court for its grace all through my life.

With profound regards.

Yours Sincerely



30.06.2023

Gyanendra Kumar-II
Civil Judge (S.D.) /ACJM
Balrampur.

JO Code-UP2378

ANNEXURES-

1. regarding work done $\frac{1}{2}$ 5 pages
2. work done as Secretary, DLSA – 2 pages
3. Disposal of cases yearwise – 4 pages
4. Request letter of SCC Executions- 1 page
5. Bar Strike proposal/infromation and order sheet- 4 pages

Gyanendra Kumar-II, A. C.J.M /Civil Judge (Sr.Div) F.T.C Balrampur, Criminal Work Done (From 08.12.2022 to 31.03.2023)

Leaves of Month	Days	Quantity of Work	Type of Works	Work Done (Criminal)					Total Units
				Case Number	Unit/Case	Units	Incessive Units	Total Units	
Holiday	5		Warrant Trial Cases decided contested (More than 05 years old-2)	05	06.00	30	02	32	
Sunday	17		Case decided U/s 203 Crpc	130	01.00	130	-	130	
2 nd Saturday	4		Cases Committed to Session	15	00.20	3.00	-	03.00	
4 th Saturday	3		156(3) Cr.P.C.	29	01.00	29.00	-	29.00	
Local Holiday	2		FR decided	00	0.33	00	00	00	
Casual Leave	1		Case decided through summary procedure	00	00.06	00	00	00	
Spl. Casual Leave	-		Bail application disposed	71	01 Unit per 10 application	7.1	00	7.1	
Earned Leave	-		Statement Charge framed	21	00.50	10.50	-	10.50	
Compensetory Leave	1		Statement Recorded U/s 313 Cr.P.C.	14	00.50	07.00	-	7.00	
Medical Leave	-		Case decided U/s 320 Crpc after sum Evidence	-	02	-	-	-	
Roseses	-		Cases Referred to Medation	1	0.50	50	-	50	
Winter Holidays	6		Case Decided U/s 257 Crpc after sum Evidence	-	2.00	-	-	-	
Total	39		Case Decided U/s 249 Crpc	-	1.00	-	-	-	
			Case decided U/s 299 Crpc	-	01.00	-	-	-	
Total No. of Working Days	119-39=80		Statement recorded w/s 200/202 Cr.P.C.	271	0.50	135.50	-	135.50	
Actual Working Days	80		Statement recorded	63	01 unit per 10 page	6.30	-	6.30	
			Confession	04	0.50	2.00	-	2.00	
Actual required Units	268.65		Cases Decided in Lok Adalat	218	No Credit	-	-	-	
Total Achieved Units	726.57								
Total Criminal Work Done in Units									362.9

2

ANNEXURE-01

Gyanendra Kumar-II, A. C.J.M /Civil Judge (Sr.Div) F.T.C Balrampur, Criminal Work Done (From 08.12.2022 to 31.03.2023)

Leaves of Month		Days	Work Done (Criminal)				
Total Days	Quantity of Work	Type of Works	Cases/Number	Units/Case	Units	Incentive Units	Total Units
119							
Holiday	5	Warrant Trial Cases decided contested (More than 05 years old-2)	05	06.00	30	02	32
Sunday	17	Case decided U/s 203 Crpc	130	01.00	130	-	130
2 nd Saturday	4	Cases Committed to Session	15	00.20	3.00	-	03.00
4 th Saturday	3	156(3) Cr.P.C.	29	01.00	29.00	-	29.00
Local Holiday	2	FR decided	00	0.33	00	00	00
Casual Leave	1	Case decided through summary procedure	00	00.06	00	00	00
Spl. Casual Leave	-	Bail application disposed	71	01 Unit per 10 application	7.1	00	7.1
Earmd Leave	-	Statement Charge framed	21	00.50	10.50	-	10.50
Compensatory Leave	1	Statement Recorded U/s 313 Cr.P.C.	14	00.50	07.00	-	7.00
Medical Leave	-	Case decided U/s 320 Crpc after sum Evidence	-	02	-	-	-
Resces	-	Cases Referred to Mediation	1	0.50	.50	-	.50
Winter Holidays	6	Case Decided U/s 257 Crpc after sum Evidence	-	2.00	-	-	-
Total	39	Case Decided U/s 249 Crpc	-	1.00	-	-	-
		Case decided U/s 299 Crpc	-	01.00	-	-	-
Total No. of Working Days	119-39=80	Statement recorded u/s 200/202 Cr.P.C.	271	0.50	135.50	-	135.50
Actual Working Days	80	Statement recorded	63	01 unit per 10 page	6.30	-	6.30
		Confession	04	0.50	2.00	-	2.00
Actual required Units	268.65	Cases Decided in Lok Adalat	218	No Credit	-	-	-
Total Achieved Units	726.57						
		Total Criminal Work Done in Units					362.9

9

ANNEXURE-01

Working units (Administrative/Miscellaneous Work)		Type of Works		Units/Case		Total Units	
Quantity of Work							
80 Days	Accurate and complete data entry and also for uploading the update data on N.G.D.C.	80	0.25	20		20	
46	Incharge of C.J.M.C.J (Sr.Div) C.J (Jr.Div)/Add.C.J (Jr.Div) JM	46	03 Units	138		138	
02	Quarterly Inspection Court and Office (A.C.J.M. Civil Judge (Sr.Div) F.T.C)	2	10Units	20		20	
03 Month 23 Days	Misc. Work for Remand Application for supardary, cancellation of warrant, Statement U/s164 Cr.P.C	10	10 Units per Month	37.42		37.42	
03 Month 23 Days	Misc. Work for Bail And Remand	10	10 Units per Month	37.42		37.42	
03 Month 23 Days	Misc. Work Criminal	10	02 Unit per Month	7.48		7.48	
	Preliminary Enquiry	03	03	09		09	
		Total Units (26932-16 units for undated) =		25332			


Prepared By:- *PSI/ML*
 Checked By:- *Yad*

PSI
 20.04.2023
 Gyanendra Kumar-II
 A.C.J.M /Civil Judge (Sr.Div) F.T.C
 Balrampur
 J.O Code-U.P.2378

Gyanendra Kumar-II, Civil Judge (Sr.Div) F.T.C, Balrampur. Civil Work Done (From 03.12.2022 To 31.03.2023)

Type of Case	No of Case	Prescribe of Unit	Unit	Incentive
OS Decided as Contesed	1	8	8	0
OS Decided Ex Party	0	1	0	0
OS Decided Compromise	1	2	2	0
Application 6c (T.I)	0	2	0	0
Succession Decided	4	8	8	0
Substitution Application Decided	2	2	4	0
Amendment	12	2	24	0
O.S Withdrawal	3	2	6	0
O.S Dismissed in Default	1	0	0	0
Misc. Dismissed in Default	2	0	0	0
Ex. Dismissed in Default	1	0	0	0
Issue Decided	9	1	9	0
Issues Framed	4	0.5	2	0
Order 1 Rule 10	2	2	4	0
Application Order 7 Rule 11	1	2	2	0
Civil Units	3 Months 29 Days	10	39.35	0
Total			108.35	0



Prepared By- A. S. J. M.
Checked By- A. S. J. M.



 20.04.2023
 Gyanendra Kumar-II
 A.C.J.M/Civil Judge
 (Sr.Div) F.T.C, Balrampur
 J.O Code-U.P.2378

Gyanendra Kumar-II, Civil Judge (Sr.Div) F.T.C, Balrampur: Civil & Criminal Work Done (From 03.12.2022 To 31.03.2023)

ANNEXURE-01

Total Required Units		268.65
50% From final disposal of total required Units		134.33
Total units from final disposal		
	U/S 203	130
	U/S 156(3)	29
	U/S 320	00
	U/S 299	00
	Committal	3
	Judgment	40
Total units from final disposal		202
Total Achieved Units		
Administrative/Misc Work in Units		253.32
	Criminal work	362.9
	Civil work	110.35
Total Achieved Units		726.57

Prepared By- 
Checked By- 


20.04.2023
Gyanendra Kumar-II
A.C.J.M/Civil Judge
(Sr.Div) F.T.C, Balrampur
J.O Code-U.P.2378

WORK DONE AS SECRETARY, DLSA, BALRAMPUR


(FROM 01.04.2022 TO 02.12.2022)

1. Jail Visits/Inspections - 31
2. Legal Awareness/Literacy Camps -
In Jail Total Camps – 20 (For Women- 11, For Men- 9)
3. Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camps At Headquarter/ ADR Center – 45 Camps
(Litigants were imparted Legal Knowledge about Matrimonial Disputes and were informed about regular Pre Litigation Lok Adalat For Matrimonial Disputes and National Lok Adalat and other Special Lok Adalats.
4. Training Programme For PLVs – 2
- One Refresher Training For PLVs
- One Three Days Training For Newly Appointed PLVs
5. Description of Special Camps/Programmes/Campaigns :-
 - Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp for Transgenders At Headquarter
 - Awareness Camp and Public Hearing With Member UP State Women Commission.
 - Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp for Women on Women's Rights At Block Balrampur.
 - Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp for Students At Deen Dayal Upadhyay Gramin Kaushal Vikas Kendra
 - HAQ KI BAAT ZILAADHIKARI KE SAATH Programme With DM Balrampur at Collectorate in Which Students of Different Schools Interacted with the DM Balrampur and Secretary, DLSA.
 - Camp at Utraula Tehsil.
 - Two Tiranga Rallies (11.08.2022 And 15.08.2022)
 - Negotiable Instruments Act Lok Adalat
 - Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp for Girl Students In Rajkiya Balika Inter College, Pachpedwa, Balrampur on the Topic Cervical Cancer and Rights Of Women.
 - Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp For Students In Kasturba Balika Inter College, Tulsipur, Balrampur on the Topic Cervical Cancer And Rights Of Women.
 - HAQ HAMARA BHI HAI CAMPAIGN For Jail Inmates Of District Jail Balrampur.
 - Camp at Vikas Bhavan Balrampur for Women on Rights Of Women.
 - Plantation at World Environment Day.
 - Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp For Girl Students In Kasturba School on the Topic Cervical Cancer And Rights Of Women.

AB

(2)

- > Legal Awareness/ Literacy Camp with CMO, Doctors and Medical Staff for the public on the topic of Mental Health.
6. Old Age Home Inspections – 3 (One Camp in June With DJ, DM, CJM, CMO)
7. As per the Directions Of NALSA And UPSLSA HAQ HAMARA BHI HAI CAMPAIGN was organised in District Jail Balrampur
8. Apart from this the Special Programmes have been Organised at Headquarter, Tehsil Level, Block Level and in Different Gram Panchayats/ Specific Places as Directed from time to time by NALSA and UPSLSA.
9. Regular Pre Litigation Lok Adalat For Matrimonial Disputes have been held in which regular Mediation/ Counselling have been provided to the Couples/ Spouses.



20.04.2023

Gyanendra Kumar-II
ACJM/CJ SD FTC
Balrampur

Annexure-03

Gyanendra Kumar-II Civil Judge (Sr. Div)/F.T.C./A.C.J.M Balrampur Yearwise Breakup of Institution and Disposal of Criminal Cases
From 08.12.2022 to 31.03.2023

Year	80	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	2k	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Total						
Pending as on 08.12.2022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Institution by transfer	01	01	01	04	10	12	20	26	21	31	32	48	19	28	38	39	64	43	50	51	68	40	44	58	48	76	82	88	99	96	95	97	96	98	98	12	10	47	80	53	97	96	-	5701						
Institution by Actual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	60			
Total	01	01	01	04	10	12	20	26	21	31	32	48	19	28	38	39	64	43	50	51	68	40	44	58	48	76	82	88	99	96	95	97	96	98	98	12	10	47	80	53	97	96	60	5761						
Disposal Contested	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Disposal Otherwise	-	-	-	01	-	01	-	-	-	01	-	01	01	-	01	-	01	-	01	-	-	-	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	01	-	28	14	14	23	24	45	13	-	-	-	-	05
Disposal by Transfer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	01	01	01	03	10	11	20	26	21	30	32	47	18	27	37	39	63	43	49	51	68	40	43	58	48	75	81	88	99	96	95	96	95	97	97	11	75	45	79	51	94	91	47	5574						

Note- Criminal work start from 08.12.2022

Prepared by- *[Signature]*

Checked by- *[Signature]*

[Signature]
20.04.2023
Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/F.T.C./A.C.J.M
Balrampur

**Gyanendra Kumar-II Civil Judge (Sr. Div)/F.T.C./A.C.J.M Balrampur Yearwise Breakup of Institution and Disposal of Regular Suit
From 03.12.2022 to 31.03.2023**

Year	98	99	2k	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Total		
Pending as on 03.12.2022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Institution by transfer	1	4	2	2	3	6	3	3	5	6	1	4	6	8	28	28	20	24	19	18	23	18	27	39	25	01	324	-	
Institution by Restoration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	-	-	-	-	01	-	
Actual Institution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	1	4	2	2	3	6	3	3	5	6	1	4	6	8	28	28	20	24	19	18	23	19	27	39	25	01	325	-	
Disposal Contested	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	-
Disposal Otherwise	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	08
Total	01	04	02	02	03	06	03	02	05	06	01	04	05	08	27	28	20	24	19	18	23	18	26	36	24	01	316	-	

Note- Civil work start from 03.12.2022

Prepared by- *[Signature]*

Checked by- *[Signature]*

[Signature]
20.09.2023
Civil Judge (Sr.Div.) F.T.C. A.C.J.M
Balrampur

Gyanendra Kumar-II Civil Judge (Sr. Div)/F.T.C./A.C.J.M Balrampur Yearwise Breakup of Institution and Disposal of Regular Execution/SCC Execution From 03.12.2022 to 31.03.2023

Year	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Total
Pending as on 03.12.2022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institution by transfer	01 (SCC)	01	-	01	-	01	02+02 SCC Total=04	-	08
Actual Institution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	01 (SCC)	01	-	01	-	01	02+02 SCC Total=04	-	08
Disposal Contested	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Disposal Otherwise	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	-	01
Total	01 (SCC)	01	-	01	-	01	01+02 SCC Total=03	-	07

Note- Civil work start from 03.12.2022

Prepared by- *[Signature]*

Checked by- *[Signature]*

[Signature]
20.04.2023
Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/F.T.C./A.C.J.M
Balrampur

**Gyanendra Kumar-II Civil Judge (Sr. Div)/F.T.C./A.C.J.M Balrampur Yearwise Breakup of Institution and Disposal of Succession
From 03.12.2022 to 31.03.2023**

Year	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Total
Pending as on 03.12.2022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institution by transfer	-	02	01	-	01	-	01	01	-	05	01	14	13	-	39
Actual Institution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	02	01	-	01	-	01	01	-	05	01	14	13	-	39
Disposal Contested	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Disposal Otherwise	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	03	01	-	04
Total	-	02	01	-	01	-	01	01	-	05	01	11	12	-	35

Note- Civil work start from 03.12.2022

Prepared by- *[Signature]*

Checked by- *[Signature]*

[Signature]

20.09.2023
Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/F.T.C./A.C.J.M
Balrampur

ज्ञानेन्द्र कुमार-II
 ए०सी०जे०एम०
 सिविल जज (सी०डि०)
 एफ०टी०सी० बलरामपुर।

सेवा में,

माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश महोदय
 बलरामपुर।

विषय:- लघुवाद इजराय की पत्रावलियों के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,


सादर अवगत कराना है कि माननीय महोदय के आदेश दिनांक 30.01.2023 के अनुपालन में न्यायालय सिविल जज (सी०डि०) एफ०टी०सी० बलरामपुर में नियत प्राधिकारी वाद एवं लघुवाद इजराय/संबंधित प्रकीर्ण की पत्रावलियाँ अन्तरित कर दी गयीं हैं। माननीय महोदय को सादर अवगत कराना है कि उक्त वादों की पत्रावलियों को सुनने का क्षेत्राधिकार इस न्यायालय को नहीं है।

अतः माननीय महोदय के आदेश दिनांक 30.01.2023 में पारित आदेश के साथ संलग्न लिस्ट (क्रम०सं० 76, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 88) में से नियत प्राधिकारी वाद एवं लघुवाद इजराय/संबंधित प्रकीर्ण पत्रावलियों को सक्षम न्यायालय में अन्तरित करने की कृपा करें।

सादर।


भवदीय,

दिनांक-31.03.2023


 31.03.2023
 (ज्ञानेन्द्र कुमार-II)
 ए०सी०जे०एम०
 सिविल जज (सी०डि०)
 एफ०टी०सी० बलरामपुर।

आदेश

उक्त पत्र के साथ संलग्न सूची में
 क्रम सं० 76, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84 व 88
 पर वापिस लघुवाद इजराय/संबंधित प्रकीर्ण
 पत्रावलियाँ न्यायालय सिविल जज (अवकाश) /
 दुतगाभी न्यायालय, बलरामपुर से दिनांक करके
 हुए न्यायालय सिविल जज (अवकाश) में विधि अनुसार
 निस्तारण हेतु अन्तरित की जाती हैं।


 01.04.23
 जनपद न्यायाधीश
 बलरामपुर
 01.04.2023

28/11/23

आज यह पत्रावली न्याय
सी०जे०एम० बलरामपुर से
अंतरण द्वारा प्राप्त हुआ।
दर्ज रजिस्टर से।

CSD/FC / Aem

पेअ डका / अकि० बोगाराक हाकी।
पल० दिनांक 23/1/23 को वा
313/सकई मा० पर हा।

23
02
23

Aem/PR

23/02/2023
23/2/23

23.2.23

पेअ डका श्रीमती वैराग हाकी।
अकिपुकर बजाकलन हाकी।
पडावली रि० 16.3.23 से
बाते कथाम 313/क/सकई हाक्य
पेअ हा। अकिपुकराग से कलिम ककर
रिया गता है।

Aem/PR



16/3

16/3/23

16/03/2023

16.03.23
B-B-23

आगत
246 CRPE क
अलाए परको हाक्य
गही देना है।
01-4-23

पेअ डका अकिपुकरा पाएलादिनी हाकी।
अकिपुकर बाआक हाकी। परिशरी का
द्वारा 246/क/से कक सीडी हाक्य
अक्य कक्य गही कना है कनी अकना
की गयी।

17.3.23
पडावली रि० 17.3.23 से
बाते कथाम 313/क/पेअ हा।
अकिपुकर व्याकिगत हा से अकिपुकरा

Aem/PR

01/4/23

28/11/23

आज यह पत्रावली न्याय
सी०जे०एम० बलरामपुर से
अंतरण द्वारा प्राप्त हुआ।
दर्ज रजिस्टर से।

CSD/FC / Acem

23
02
23

पत्रावली अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
पत्रावली दि० 23/11/23 को वर
313/सफाई का पत्र है।

Acem/PC

23/02/2023
23/2/23

23.2.23

पत्रावली अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
पत्रावली दि० 16.3.23 को
अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
पत्रावली अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
दिनांक 16/3/23

Acem/PC

16/3

16/03/2023

16.03.23
16-3-23

पत्रावली अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
पत्रावली दि० 17.3.23 को
अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
पत्रावली अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
दिनांक 17/3/2023

Acem/PC

246 CRPE के
अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
पत्रावली अति० अयोग्यता दर्शाते।
दिनांक 17/3/2023

17/3/23

211 मा० 211

जिला बार एसोसिएशन बलरामपुर



रामानन्द मिश्र

अध्यक्ष एडवोकेट

Mob. 6387072403

अजय बहादुर सिंह

महामंत्री एडवोकेट

Mob. 6392439100, 9415664458

Mail : singhajay48935@gmail.com

उपाध्यक्ष

हरीकान्त मिश्र
सत्यदेव तिवारी

संयुक्त सचिव

दुर्गेन्द्र कुमार पाठक
कमाल अहमद शाह
नियामत उल्लाह

कोषाध्यक्ष

पंकज कुमार श्रीवास्तव

सदस्य कार्यकारिणी
द्वारिष्ठ 15 वर्ष से ऊपर
जमील अहमद
अजय प्रताप सिंह
जय प्रकाश शुक्ल
धर्मदेव मिश्र
शशि प्रकाश मिश्र
मुरतजा हुसैन

सदस्य कार्यकारिणी
द्वारिष्ठ 15 वर्ष से कम
शशांक कुमार त्रिपाठी
दिलीप कुमार शुक्ल
राघवेंद्र कुमार शुक्ल
राजीव कुमार मिश्र
अरविन्द कुमार सिंह
देवेन्द्र कुमार पाण्डेय

पत्रांक.....

दिनांक...10/4/23

स्वाभे,

श्रीमान जनपद न्यायाधीश महोदय

बलरामपुर

महोदय,

मैंने तुम्हें के लाभ खूबित कल्पा पड रहा है कि हमारे बीच के तीरियर अधिवक्ता श्री कीर्ति उज्ज्वल श्री जी का निधन हो गया है व मुझ अधिवक्ता श्री सुनिवासी जी के माना जी का निधन हो गया है। तदकारण ~~अधिकार~~ अधिवक्ताओं शामिल होगे कि फलस्वरूप हम अधिवक्ताओं आज-माधिक का से विलन रहेगे व सोपहर 12.30 बजे शौकस्य कोषो।

आप से सहयोग की अपेक्षा है

सुभाषित

सदस्य श्रीवानी न्यायालय
राजेश्वर - मायालय
पौवाट - मायालय
निशोत - मायालय, जोध
- मायालय व एम
एलसी - मायालय
बलरामपुर

अधिवक्ता
बलरामपुर

अध्यक्ष
जिला बार एसोसिएशन
जनपद-बलरामपुर

भवदीय
[Signature]

जिला बार एसोसिएशन
जनपद-बलरामपुर

जिला बार एसोसिएशन बलरामपुर



रामानन्द मिश्र
अध्यक्ष एडवोकेट
Mob 6387072403

अजय बहादुर सिंह
गहामंत्री एडवोकेट
Mob: 6392439109, 9415664458
Mail: singhraj46935@gmail.com

उपाध्यक्ष
हरिकान्त मिश्र
साचिव व डिप्टी

संगुप्त राधिव
बुधेन्द्र कुमार पाठक
कमाल अहमद बहादुर
निगमन उत्तारा

उपाध्यक्ष
पंकज कुमार शीवालय

सदस्य कार्यकारिणी
कारिण्ड 13 वॉ से ऊपर
जमील अहमद
अजय प्रताप सिंह
जय ब्रजेश शुक्ल
धर्मदेव मिश्र
शशि प्रकाश मिश्र
मुर्लीजा हरीन

सदस्य कार्यकारिणी
कारिण्ड 13 वॉ से कम
शशांक कुमार शिवाली
दिनेश कुमार शुक्ल
राधेन्द्र कुमार शुक्ल
राजीव कुमार मिश्र
अनिल कुमार सिंह
बंसेन्द्र कुमार पाठेय

तारीख... 13/2/23

दिनांक... 18/4/2023

सेवा में
माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश महोदय, बलरामपुर
जनपद - बलरामपुर।

महोदय,
सादर विचार है कि जनपद बलरामपुर नगर उद्योग के जनपद न्यायाधीश द्वारा अधिवक्ताओं के विरुद्ध प्रस्तावित शैली तथा विधि विरुद्ध तरीके से न्यायिक सिद्धांतों के प्रतिबन्धन न्यायिक कार्य निष्पादित किए जाने के सम्बन्ध में कानपुर बार एसोसिएशन के अधिवक्तागण अत्यन्त दुःख एवं असह्य है और जनपद न्यायाधीश के प्रस्तावों को स्वीकार करी हुए न्यायिक कार्य से विरत हो कानपुर नगर बार एसोसिएशन द्वारा पूरे प्रदेश के बार एसोसिएशन से समर्थन मांगा गया है। इसी क्रम में जनपद बलरामपुर से भी समर्थन का अनुरोध आया है। हम अधिवक्तागण कानपुर बार एसोसिएशन के न्यायसंगत बलों का समर्थन करते हैं और कानपुर बार एसोसिएशन द्वारा 12 व 13 अप्रैल 2023 को प्रादेशिक सम्मेलन का आयोजन किया है जिसमें प्रदेश भर के अधिवक्तागण भाग लेंगे और अधिवक्ता व अधिवक्ता सुरुवात अधिनियम आदि विन्दुओं पर चर्चा करेंगे। और इसी क्रम में हम जनपद बलरामपुर के अधिवक्तागण दिनांक 12.04.2023 व 13.04.2023 को पूर्ण रूप से न्यायिक कार्य से विरत हुए कानपुर बार एसोसिएशन के समर्थन में कार्य निष्पादन तथा माननीय मुख्य न्यायाधीश महोदय उद्योग तथा महोदय न्यायाधीश महोदय को सम्बोधित आपन देंगे। साथ ही साथ यह भी अनुरोध है कि बलरामपुर जनपद में स्थित जज जजिठ महोदय (किस सं. - 9) सुधी वरिष्ठी न्हि के विरुद्ध चल रहे दिनांक 15.03.2023 से चल रहे कार्य बहिष्कार के सम्बन्ध में दिनांक 13.04.2023 का आग्रह की प्रेरक करेंगे।

सहयोग हेतु सादर सूचना दी जाती है -

- प्रतिलिपि सूचनाएँ:-**
- स्व.का. शीवाली न्यायालय, बलरामपुर
 - एम.एस.सी.टी. न्यायालय, बलरामपुर
 - परिवार न्यायालय, बलरामपुर
 - किशोर न्यायालय, बलरामपुर
 - रामरत राजस्व एवं धन्यवन्दी न्यायालय, बलरामपुर
 - अधिवक्ता फोरम न्यायालय, बलरामपुर

भवदीय

अध्यक्ष
जिला बार एसोसिएशन
जनपद-बलरामपुर

अध्यक्ष
रामानन्द मिश्र
एडवोकेट
जिला बलरामपुर

अमित कुमार पाठेय
(अध्यक्ष)
जिला बलरामपुर

अध्यक्ष
जिला बलरामपुर