

From,

**Ramakant Prasad,  
Additional District & Sessions Judge,  
Maharajganj.**

To,

**The Registrar General,  
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature,  
At Allahabad.**

**Through**

**The District Judge, Maharajganj.**

**Subject:** Representation against the adverse remarks recorded against the applicant by the Learned District Judge Lucknow for the Assessment Year 2022-23.

**Respected Sir,**

I, most respectfully, beg to submit my representation on the subject noted above, as under:-

I have been posted in the District Court Lucknow since 14.04.2021 as the Additional District & Sessions Judge, Lucknow. The adverse remark in Column Nos. 1(a), (d),(f),(k) and 3 have been recorded by Sri Sanjay Shankar Pandey, Ld. District Judge, Lucknow.

That the Learned District Judge while making my overall assessment as poor has observed in **Column No.1(a)** of my ACR that I positively lacked integrity. It is most humbly submitted to the Hon'ble Court that the aforesaid adverse remarks recorded by the Learned District Judge, Lucknow on my integrity and honesty are baseless and unfounded, highly prejudicial and malafide for the reason stated by me in this representation.

In substantiating his remarks, he further states about a Criminal Case No. 01/2012 State Vs. Rajendra Kumar Dwivedi in which as per the learned District Judge, the undersigned has discharged the accused without assigning any reason.

In this regard, undersigned wants to submit that while hearing the aforementioned case, the undersigned has followed all the due procedure of law and acted in a manner which was best suited in that condition. Further, it is to say that Appellate and Examining authority in the above stated case is Hon'ble High Court and not the Ld. District Judge.

That the Learned District Judge observed in **Column 1(d)** that the officer consumes liquor in his chamber during Court Hours. On this observation, it is submitted that the undersigned was undergoing treatment for some Eye related problem, fracture in femur bones and it is also humbly requested that I am sugar & B.P. patient since 2010. Being under treatment, the undersigned was having regular medication. However, the Learned District Judge while examining assumed that the undersigned was under alcoholic influence while it was due to the medicines that I have been taking for my treatment which included homeopathic medicine also for some ailments.

As far as observation in **Column no. 1(f)** by the Learned District Judge i.e, Delivering the mostly judgment cover the facts and evidences. It is submitted that the undersigned to the best of his abilities delivered the judgment which was just and proper.

In **Column no.1 (k)**, it was observed that inspection was not effective. In this regard, undersigned wants to submit that he has conducted regular inspection of his court and his office perform duties assigned to them in a proper manner. Observation of Learned District Judge as to effectiveness of inspection is prejudiced and malafide.

In **Column no. 3** State of Health, it was observed that the undersigned was absent in meetings citing his ill health. In this regard, it is submitted that I have already submitted the reasons for my absence in the meeting in response to DO letter issued to me for the same reason.

As far as adverse remarks is concerned, my immaculate records of service must also be seen. It is a matter of record that no adverse remarks have been recorded as such in any of my ACR other than this during my entire service period till date.

In view of the above facts contained in the above mentioned paragraphs, the adverse remarks recorded in my ACR by the Learned District Judge are absolutely baseless, without any proof, highly subjective and prejudicial and for that reason, the same are not sustainable and liable to be expunged.

I, therefore, request your goodself to place my representation before the Hon'ble Administrative Judge of the District Court Lucknow for His Lordship's kind consideration. I mostly respectfully pray to His Lordship to kindly expunge adverse remark in Column Nos. 1(a),(d),(f), (k) and 3 recorded by the Learned District Judge, Lucknow in my



ACR for the Assessment Year - 2022-23 and make my proper assessment for the said Assessment Year.

I shall remain grateful to His Lordship for this grace for ever.

With profound regards,



Dated: 16.09.2023  
Place: Lucknow

Ramakant Prasad,  
Additional District & Session Judge,  
Maharajganj

Annexure as above.

**Copy forwarded to :-**

Registrar(Confidential), High Court,Allahabad .

From,

**Ramakant Prasad,  
Additional District & Session Judge,  
Special Court No. 3(Prevention of Corruption Act),  
Lucknow.**

To,

**The Registrar General,  
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature,  
At Allahabad.**

**Through**

**The District Judge, Lucknow.**

**Subject:** Representation against the adverse remarks recorded against the applicant by the Learned District Judge Lucknow for the Assessment Year 2022-23.

**Respected Sir,**

I, most respectfully, beg to submit my representation on the subject noted above, as under:-

I have been posted in the District Court Lucknow since 14.04.2021 as the Additional District & Sessions Judge, Lucknow. The adverse remark in Column Nos. 1(a), (d),(f),(k) and 3 have been recorded by Sri Sanjay Shankar Pandey, Ld. District Judge, Lucknow.

That the Learned District Judge while making my overall assessment as poor has observed in **Column No.1(a)** of my ACR that I positively lacked integrity. It is most humbly submitted to the Hon'ble Court that the aforesaid adverse remarks recorded by the Learned District Judge, Lucknow on my integrity and honesty are baseless and unfounded, highly prejudicial and malafide for the reason stated by me in this representation.

In substantiating his remarks, he further states about a Criminal Case No. 01/2012 State Vs. Rajendra Kumar Dwivedi in which as per the learned District Judge, the undersigned has discharged the accused without assigning any reason.

In this regard, undersigned wants to submit that while hearing the aforementioned case, the undersigned has followed all the due procedure of law and acted in a manner which was best suited in that condition. Further, it is to say that Appellate and Examining authority in the above stated case is Hon'ble High Court and not the Ld. District Judge.

That the Learned District Judge observed in **Column 1(d)** that the officer consumes liquor in his chamber during Court Hours. On this observation, it is submitted that the undersigned was undergoing treatment for some Eye related problem, fracture in femur bones and it is also humbly requested that I am sugar & B.P. patient since 2010. Being under treatment, the undersigned was having regular medication. However, the Learned District Judge while examining assumed that the undersigned was under alcoholic influence while it was due to the medicines that I have been taking for my treatment which included homeopathic medicine also for some ailments.

As far as observation in **Column no. 1(f)** by the Learned District Judge i.e., Delivering the mostly judgment cover the facts and evidences. It is submitted that the undersigned to the best of his abilities delivered the judgment which was just and proper.

In **Column no.1 (k)**, it was observed that inspection was not effective. In this regard, undersigned wants to submit that he has conducted regular inspection of his court and his office perform duties assigned to them in a proper manner. Observation of Learned District Judge as to effectiveness of inspection is prejudiced and malafide.

In **Column no. 3** State of Health, it was observed that the undersigned was absent in meetings citing his ill health. In this regard, it is submitted that I have already submitted the reasons for my absence in the meeting in response to DO letter issued to me for the same reason.

As far as adverse remarks is concerned, my immaculate records of service must also be seen. It is a matter of record that no adverse remarks have been recorded as such in any of my ACR other than this during my entire service period till date.

In view of the above facts contained in the above mentioned paragraphs, the adverse remarks recorded in my ACR by the Learned District Judge are absolutely baseless, without any proof, highly subjective and prejudicial and for that reason, the same are not sustainable and liable to be expunged.

I, therefore, request your goodself to place my representation before the Hon'ble Administrative Judge of the District Court Lucknow for His Lordship's kind consideration. I mostly respectfully pray to His Lordship to kindly expunge adverse remark in Column Nos. 1(a),(d),(f), (k) and 3 recorded by the Learned District Judge, Lucknow in my

ACR for the Assessment Year - 2022-23 and make my proper assessment for the said Assessment Year.

I shall remain grateful to His Lordship for this grace for ever.

With profound regards,

Dated: 02.08.2023  
Place: Lucknow

Ramakant Prasad,  
Additional District & Session Judge,  
Special Court No. 3(Prev. of Corrup. Act),  
Lucknow.

Annexure as above.

Copy forwarded to :-

Registrar(Confidential), Allahabad High Court.

2687to कार्यालय जनपद न्यायाधीश, लखनऊ  
पत्रांक 2687/2023 दिनांक 02/8/23  
अग्रसारित किया गया।

जनपद न्यायाधीश, लखनऊ  
02/8/23

( CONFIDENTIAL )

**Sanjay Shanker Pandey H.J.S.,**  
District & Sessions Judge,  
Lucknow.

**In Chamber:**  
District Judge, Lucknow.  
**D.O. No.:** 06/P.A./2023.  
Date: February 09<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

Dear Shri

With reference to my demi-official letter Number 03-P.A./2023 dated: 07.02.2023, you have submitted your explanation that on 31.01.2023 due to sudden illness, you were feeling unwell and hence, you were unable to attend the Monthly Meeting. It is further stated by you that you had informed In-charge Administrative Officer of this judgeship through your peon with regard to your illness.


It is noteworthy to mention here that aforesaid Monthly Meeting was held on 30.01.2023. In my demi-official letter dated 07.02.2023, inadvertently, it was mentioned as 31.01.2023. So, now you please explain that whether you were ill on 30.01.2023 or on 31.01.2023?

With regard to your non-participation in the Meeting held with regard to Arbitration Matters on 03.02.2023, you have stated in your explanation that as your Office Clerk was on leave and the Reader of the Court could not provide status-report of the Arbitration cases, you were unable to attend the said meeting on 03.02.2023.

So far as your explanation with regard to non-participation in the Meeting held for Arbitration Matters in concerned, you are putting a lame excuse in that regard in your explanation.

Your explanation is not satisfactory and you have mentioned some things which are not warranted as being the Presiding Officer of the Court, you are expected to be aware about the progress of Arbitration Matters, which you are dealing with on regular basis.

You are advised that in future, you must observe your utmost sincerity in attending the Meeting(s).

  
( Sanjay Shanker Pandey )

To,

**Shri Rama Kant Prasad,**  
Additional District & Sessions Judge/  
Special Judge, P.C. Act, Court Number 03,  
Lucknow.

प्रेषक,

रमाकान्त प्रसाद,  
अपर जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश/  
विशेष न्यायाधीश (भ्र0नि0अधि0)  
न्यायालय सं0-3, लखनऊ।

सेवा में,

माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश,  
लखनऊ।

विषय: डी.ओ.नं0-03 पी.ए. /2023, दिनांकित फरवरी 07,2023 द्वारा आहूत आख्या के सम्बंध में।

महोदय,

ससम्मान निवेदन है कि दिनांक 31.01.2023 को प्रार्थी का स्वास्थ्य न्यायालय में खराब हो जाने के कारण तथा प्रार्थी को लगभग 4:00 बजे से विश्राम कक्ष में कई उल्टियां हो जाने के कारण प्रार्थी अपने को अत्यन्त अस्वस्थ महसूस कर रहा था। प्रार्थी को उल्टियां होने के समय न्यायालय में कार्यरत कर्मचारीगण के अतिरिक्त विशेष लोक अभियोजक श्री नीरज कुमार श्रीवास्तव भी उपस्थित थे। प्रार्थी द्वारा अपने अस्वस्थ होने के बावत् माननीय महोदय को अवगत कराये जाने हेतु न्यायालय में कार्यरत चतुर्थ श्रेणी कर्मचारी श्री बृज किशोर को भेज कर प्रशासनिक अधिकारी श्री ओम कुमार सोनी को अपने अस्वस्थ होने के सम्बंध में अवगत करा दिया गया था। प्रार्थी की तबियत लगातार खराब रहने के कारण वह मासिक बैठक में उपस्थित नहीं हो सका।

दिनांक 03.02.2023 को आर्बीट्रेशन सम्बंधी मीटिंग में प्रार्थी इसलिए उपस्थित नहीं हो सका क्योंकि प्रार्थी के कार्यालय में कार्यरत एकमात्र लिपिक श्रीमती किरन बाला दिनांक 01.02.2023 से अर्जित अवकाश पर हैं तथा प्रार्थी के न्यायालय में कार्यरत पेशकार श्री सुनील कुमार श्रीवास्तव को कुछ दिन पूर्व ब्रेन हेमरेज हो जाने के कारण वह भी आर्बीट्रेशन सम्बंधित पत्रावलियों के सम्बंध में कोई अद्यतन जानकारी नहीं दे सके। आर्बीट्रेशन सम्बंधी पत्रावलियों के सम्बंध में कोई भी अद्यतन जानकारी न हो पाने के कारण प्रार्थी दिनांक 03.02.2023 को आर्बीट्रेशन सम्बंधी मीटिंग में शामिल नहीं हो सका जिसके लिये प्रार्थी क्षमा प्रार्थी है।

आख्या माननीय महोदय के समक्ष सादर प्रेषित है।

भवदीय,

( रमाकान्त प्रसाद )  
अपर जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश/  
विशेष न्यायाधीश (भ्र0नि0अधि0)  
न्यायालय सं0-3, लखनऊ।

दिनांक: 09-02-2023

Attested  
[Signature]




**Sanjay Shanker Pandey, H.J.S.,  
District and Sessions Judge,  
Lucknow.**

**(CONFIDENTIAL)**  
**In Chamber:**  
**D.O. No.: 23 /P.A./2023**  
**Dated: June 15 , 2023.**

Dear

It is brought to my notice that you have uploaded your self-assessment on 28.04.2023 whereupon objections have been raised but still objections have not been removed at your end.

You are, therefore, requested to remove the objections immediately without any further delay and also explain the delay occasioned in removing the objections.

  
15.6.2023

**(Sanjay Shanker Pandey)**

To,

**Sri Ramakant Prasad,  
Spl. Judge P.C. Act,  
Court No. 3, Lucknow.**

प्रेषक,

रमाकान्त प्रसाद,  
अपर जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश/  
विशेष न्यायाधीश, पी0सी0-3,  
लखनऊ।

सेवा में,

माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश,  
लखनऊ।

विषय—माननीय महोदय के डी0ओ0 नं0 23/पी.ए./2023 दिनांकित 15.06.2023 के  
संबंध में स्पष्टीकरण:

महोदय,

विनम्र निवेदन है कि इस न्यायालय में कार्यरत पेशकार दिनांक 01.06.2023 से 10.06.2023 तक ग्रीष्मावकाश पर थे। प्रार्थी ग्रीष्मावकाश दिनांक 12.06.2023 को जाने से पहले सत्र लिपिक व पेशकार को प्रार्थी द्वारा निर्देशित किया गया था कि सेल्फ असेसमेंट में दर्शित आपत्तियों को दूर कर दिया जाय किन्तु संबंधित सत्र लिपिक व पेशकार द्वारा सेल्फ असेसमेंट में दर्शित आपत्तियों को दूर नहीं किया गया। ग्रीष्मावकाश के दौरान प्रार्थी मुख्यालय छोड़ने की अनुमति लेकर अपने गृह जनपद मऊ गया था। दिनांक 16.06.2023 को बाथरूम में फिसल जाने के कारण कुल्हे में फैक्चर हो गया है और दिनांक 17.06.2023 से श्यामा प्रसाद मुखर्जी सिविल अस्पताल, हजरतगंज, लखनऊ में भर्ती है। सत्र लिपिक दिनांक 11.06.2023 से 20.06.2023 तक ग्रीष्मावकाश पर थी। ग्रीष्मावकाश से वापस आने पर सत्र लिपिक द्वारा सेल्फ असेसमेंट में दर्शित आपत्तियों को दिनांक 21.06.2023 को दूर कर दिया गया है।

आख्या/स्पष्टीकरण माननीय महोदय के सेवा में सादर प्रेषित है।

भवदीय,

( रमाकान्त प्रसाद )

अपर जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश,  
विशेष न्यायाधीश, पी0सी0-3,  
लखनऊ।

दिनांक: 23.06.2023

प्रेषक,

रमाकान्त प्रसाद,  
अपर जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश/  
विशेष न्यायाधीश, पी0सी0-3,  
लखनऊ।

सेवा में,

माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश,  
लखनऊ।

महोदय,

विनम्र निवेदन है कि प्रार्थी दिनांक 12.06.2023 से 21.06.2023 तक ग्रीष्मावकाश पर था और मुख्यालय छोड़ने की अनुमति लेकर अपने गृह जनपद मऊ गया था। दिनांक 16.06.2023 को बाथरूम में फिसल जाने के कारण कुल्हे में फैंक्चर हो गया है और दिनांक 17.06.2023 से श्यामा प्रसाद मुखर्जी सिविल अस्पताल, हजरतगंज, लखनऊ में भर्ती है।

अतः माननीय महोदय को सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

सादर,

भवदीय,



( रमाकान्त प्रसाद )  
अपर जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश,  
विशेष न्यायाधीश, पी0सी0-3,  
लखनऊ।

दिनांक: 23.06.2023

Recd  
23/6/23  
at 4.40PM

Date/Time of Admission: 17.06.23 Date/Time of discharge: 08/07/23 IPD No.: 2023 0396  
 Dept./Ward: ER-2 MLC: Yes  No  Type: Discharge/LAMA (please tick appropriate option)  
 Patient Name: Romakant Prasad S/D/W/o: Jagdish Ram  
 Age/Sex: 56/M ICD Code (by MRD Staf)

Address: River bank Colony no  
 Treating Doctor: DR. Satyendra Kumar (MS)

Brief History and Clinical Notes: Pt admitted to our side as a case of # RT femur (M) as a result of fall on ground.  
 ALLERGIC TO:

Investigations done during hospitalization: CBC, LFT, UFT, ESR, Urinary P/Bs, WBC, CT Pelvis, X-ray Pelvis & hip

Final Diagnosis: Fracture Intertrochanteric femur (RT)

Treatment/Surgery/Procedure(s) done during hospitalization: Conservative m.p. cast, boot bar ABLE to (RT) & Non wt bearing.

Follow-up-medication:

Name of Medicine	Dose	Frequency	Route	Duration
Med - Calcium (500) 1BD	BD	X 1 month	oral	1 month
Unit D3 Gok X 1 week	Gok	weekly	oral	X 2 weeks
ph-Growth to 0.8 week				
Also 505				
Continue medication of Diabetes and Nuro O As Advise by Physician & Nurse				

Follow-up Advice: Non wt bearing for about 03 month & ~~to be~~ then use walker or crutch for support

To be reviewed on / after \_\_\_\_\_ at OPD No. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Name of the Doctor: DR. Satyendra Kumar (MS) (Dr. Satyendra Kumar) (Sign of the Doctor)