

From,

Ram Milan Singh, I.D. No. UP-5681
Presiding Officer,
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,
District Balrampur (U.P.)

To,

The Registrar General,
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at
Allahabad.

Subject : Representation against downgrading of the category in the Annual Confidential Remarks of the undersigned for the period 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023

Respected Sir,

With all humility and humbleness at command, the undersigned is submitting his **Representation dated 18.10.2023 (Copy enclosed)** against downgrading of his category in the Annual Confidential Remarks for the period 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023.

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that representation dated 18.10.2023 of the undersigned may kindly be placed before the Hon'ble Court for kind consideration.

For this act of kindness, the undersigned shall always remain grateful and obliged to the Hon'ble Court.

With utmost regards.

Yours faithfully,

R. M. Singh
18-10-2023
(Ram Milan Singh)

Date – 18.10.2023

Encl: As above.

Presiding Officer
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal
District Balrampur (U.P)

Presiding Officer
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal
Balrampur

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE**AT ALLAHABAD**

Subject : Representation against downgrading of the category of the undersigned from "Very Good" to "Average" in the Annual Confidential Remarks for the period 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023

May it please the Hon'ble Court,

The undersigned most humbly and respectfully begs to submit as under:-

1. That the undersigned was posted as Additional District and Sessions Judge Court No. - 01, District Etawah, during the period under assessment from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023; and presently posted as Presiding Officer Motor Accident Claims Tribunal District Balrampur in the rank of District Judge from 12.05.2023.
2. That it is most humbly submitted that the undersigned has rendered more than 30 years of service as Judicial Officer. There is nothing adverse against the undersigned in his entire service career. The work and conduct of the undersigned has always been above board and the same has been appreciated by all the District Judges under whom the undersigned had served and also the Hon'ble Administrative Judges who have supervised the work and conduct of the undersigned as Judicial Officer in last 30 years. The undersigned has maintained absolute integrity and complete devotion to his duties in his entire service career.
3. That it is significant to mention here that during the period under assessment, the undersigned was the Chairman of six Committees of Judgeship Etawah namely (1) Chairman Grievance Redressal Committee of Class III & IV employees, (2) Chairman Promotion Committee of Class III employees, (3) Chairman Court Security Committee, (4) Chairman 13 New Courts buildings Review



Committee, (5) Chairman District Court Computer Committee, (6) Chairman Purchase Committee. The Reporting Officer / Respected District Judge, Etawah, who was the immediate supervisory authority of the undersigned, has not indicated anything adverse in this regard in the ACR of the undersigned.

4. That it is submitted that regarding the quality of judgments given by the undersigned during the period under assessment, the Respected District Judge, Etawah has recorded following remarks in the ACR of the undersigned on 31.05.2023 :-

01(f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language?	Yes, Judgement of the Officer on facts and on law is sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language
01(f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper and Very Good
01(f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidence;	Proper and Very Good
01(f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper and Very Good

5. That in the Annual Confidential Remarks (hereinafter referred to as the 'ACR') of the undersigned for the period 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023, the Respected District Judge, Etawah, after evaluating the work and conduct of the undersigned, has awarded "Very Good" category but the Hon'ble Administrative Judge, Etawah has been pleased to down grade the same to "Average" for the following reason:-

" I have noticed that in spite of the order(s) passed by Supreme Court and this Court, the officer has rejected all anticipatory bail applications, barring a few (one or two), contrary to the governing principles for grant of bail, contributing increase in pendency of the cases, in this court which is already overburdened. I advised the officer to entertain bail in the light of various judgments of Supreme Court, however, the officer did not pay any heed.



The officer is temperamental. He is amenable to mood swings. He lacks working with others as team. Needs to improve his working. Keeping in view the quality of judgments and overall performance, the entry given by the District Judge as 'Very Good' is modified to 'Average'."

6. That it is submitted that Circular letter number 17/78 dated 02.02.1978, issued by the Hon'ble Court, lays down the criteria for recording entry/category in the ACR of an officer. The relevant portion of the said Circular is as follows:-

" In evaluating the judicial work of an officer, the number of his judgments, orders reversed or modified in appeal or revision will not be taken into account. The assessment of judicial work of an officer will be based on the quality of his judgment or orders and not on the result of the appeals or revisions. Henceforth, the work of an officer will be assessed on the basis of quality of his judgments or orders and not on the basis of the number of judgments or orders reversed or modified in appeal or revision. "

7. That in regard to total percentage of work done and the quality of judgments of the undersigned, nothing adverse has been recorded by the Hon'ble Administrative Judge rather the percentage of work and quality of judgments of the undersigned has been appreciated, which would be clearly evident from the following remarks recorded by the Hon'ble Administrative Judge, Etawah on 25.09.2023 :-

"The total percentage of work during the period under assessment is good. The quality of judgments enclosed, on facts and law both, seem to be good, sound and expressed in good language, with discussion of case laws of higher court(s)..... "

From the above quoted remarks of the Hon'ble Administrative Judge, it would be evident that the percentage of work and quality of judgments of the undersigned is not the reason for downgrading of the category of the undersigned from "Very Good" to



“Average”, which is two levels below the category awarded to the undersigned by the Reporting Officer / Respected District Judge, Etawah.

8. That a perusal of the remarks given by the Hon’ble Administrative Judge would reveal that the reason for downgrading of the category is that the undersigned has rejected the anticipatory bail applications despite advice.

In this regard it is humbly submitted that the undersigned has always followed the advise given by the Hon’ble Administrative Judge and has decided the anticipatory bail applications as per the facts and law applicable on each case and also keeping in view principles laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court for grant of bail. The undersigned has granted anticipatory bail in fit/appropriate cases. There is no remark in the ACR that the orders passed by the undersigned on the anticipatory bail applications were not in accordance with law or the principles laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. It appears that while recording the said remarks, the Hon’ble Administrative Judge has some how missed the provisions of the Circular letter No.17/1978 dated 02.02.1978 of the Hon’ble Court. In view of the provisions contained in the said Circular letter, granting or rejecting anticipatory bail application cannot form the basis/reason for downgrading of the category of the undersigned, more particularly when the Hon’ble Administrative Judge has found the judgments of the undersigned to be good, sound and expressed in good language with discussion of case laws of higher Hon’ble Courts.

9. That so far as the remarks that “The officer is temperamental. He is amenable to mood swings. He lacks working with others as team. Needs to improve his working,” is concerned, it is humbly



submitted that there is no complaint regarding the work and conduct of the undersigned from any quarters which could form the reason/ground for the aforesaid remarks in the ACR. During the period under assessment, the undersigned was the Chairman of six committees. The Reporting Officer / Respected District Judge, Etawah, who was the immediate supervisory authority of the undersigned, has not indicated anything adverse in this regard in the ACR of the undersigned. On the contrary, the Respected District Judge has appreciated the work, conduct and behaviour in relation to brother officers and towards women of the undersigned at serial numbers 01(h) to 01(n) of the ACR in no uncertain terms, which may kindly be perused. It is, thus, humbly submitted that the inference drawn by the Hon'ble Administrative Judge about the personality of the undersigned, which is the basis for downgrading of his category, appears to be general in nature and is not based on any evidence or objective material on record before His Lordship.

10. That it is most humbly submitted that it is for the first time in his service career that the undersigned has been categorised as an "Average" officer that too for the reasons / grounds which, it is humbly submitted, are firstly, not at all made out and secondly, are not in conformity with the provisions contained in the Circular letter number 17/1978 dated 02.02.1978.
11. That in view of the facts and circumstances stated hereinabove, it is most humbly and respectfully prayed that the category of the undersigned may be ordered to be upgraded from "Average" to "Very Good", otherwise the undersigned would suffer an irreparable loss and injury which cannot be made good in any event.



That in view of the aforesaid representation, it is most respectfully prayed that the Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased that the adverse remarks of the Hon'ble Administrative Judge and category awarded by His Lordship be expunged and the entry be treated and upgraded as "Very Good" and integrity as certified, in the Annual Confidential Remarks of the undersigned, for the period 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 as categorised by the Respected District Judge Etawah/Reporting Officer.

For this act of kindness the undersigned shall always be grateful to the Hon'ble Court.

The undersigned is submitting this representation for kind consideration of the Hon'ble Court with profound humbleness, reverence and humility at his command.

With utmost regards.

Yours faithfully,

R. M. Singh
18-10-2023
(**Ram Milan Singh**)

Date:- 18.10.2023

Presiding Officer,

Enclosure:

Motor Accident Claims Tribunal

1. Copy of the above ACR
of the year 2022-2023
(Pages 1 to 6)

District Balrampur (U.P.)

Presiding Officer
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal
Balrampur

SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

Case Id : A00057832023	Employee No.:- 5681
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	RAM MILAN SINGH	
3.	Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	06/09/1993 (29 Years, 8 Months and 0 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Etawah Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Officer incharge General Administration from 15.04.2021 to till now. 2. Chairman, Grievance Redressal Committee of Class III & IV employees, from 15.04.2021 to till now. 3. Chairman, Pramotion Committee of Class III employees, from 15.04.2021 to till now. 4. Chairman, Court Security Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 5. Chairman, 13 New Courts buildings Review Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 6. Chairman, District Court Computer Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 7. Chairman, Purchase Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 8. Central Public Information Officer from 13.04.2021 to till now.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Annexure 6	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Annexure 8	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NA	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	09	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	Annexure 7	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	Nil	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Annexure 2	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	PO Sri Ram Milan Singh actively participated in all National Lok Adalats & Special Lok Adalats which were held from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

*self Attested.
R. M. Singh*

1.	Brief description of duties	1. Additional District & Sessions Judge Court No.1, Etawah from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 2. Officer incharge General Administration from 15.04.2021 to till now. 3. Chairman, Grievance Redressal Committee of Class III & IV employees, from 15.04.2021 to till now. 4. Chairman, Pramotion Committee of Class III employees, from 15.04.2021 to till now. 5. Chairman, Court Security Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 6. Chairman, 13 New Courts buildings Review Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 7. Chairman, District Court Computer Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 8. Chairman, Purchase Committee. from 15.04.2021 to till now. 9. Central Public Information Officer from 13.04.2021 to till now.	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 = 1200 Units	II) Unit wise Achievements = 1146.40 Units Note:- (i). Excluded From out turn, on account of 131 days (Leave, Holidays and Training Period etc. @ 3.28 Units per day) = 429.68 Units (ii). Required out turn from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 as per G.L. No. 11/IV-h-14/2019; Dated: Allahabad: March 05, 2019 (1200 - 429.68) = 770.32 Units. (iii). Required out turn by final disposal of Criminal & Civil Cases from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 as per G.L. No. 11/IV-h-14/2022; Dated: Allahabad: August 18, 2022 = 50% of 770.32 Units = 385.16 Units. (iv) Unit wise Achievements by final disposal of Criminal & Civil Cases from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 = 644.50 Units	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Atta chment Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Disposal of at least 167 Cases more than 5 years old 2. Time bound disposal of cases.	114 Cases Disposed of that were more than 5 years old. Steps Taken - Disposal of old cases on priority basis, in addition to reducing overall pendency of cases. Fixing short dates, ensuring service of summons on Prosecution witnesses by writing letters to the Head of departments with positive results.	
2A(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Atta chment Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	119 cases	114 Cases Disposed of that were more than 5 years old. Steps Taken - Disposal of old cases on priority basis, in addition to reducing overall pendency of cases. Fixing short dates, ensuring service of summons on Prosecution witnesses by writing letters to the Head of departments with positive results.	
2A(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Atta chment Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Disposal of at least 167 cases more than 5 years old. 2. Time bound disposal of cases.	114 cases Disposed of that were more than 5 years old during the year 2022-2023. Disposal of old cases on priority basis, in addition to reducing overall pendency of cases. Fixing short dates, ensuring service of summons on Prosecution witnesses by writing letters to the Head of departments with positive results.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	

*Self Attested
R. M. Singh*

<p>1. Disposal of all 63 cases more than 10 years old. 2. Time bound disposal of cases.</p>	<p>29 cases Disposed of that were more than 10 years old. Steps Taken - Disposal of old cases on priority basis, in addition to reducing overall pendency of cases. Fixing short dates, ensuring service of summons on Prosecution witnesses by writing letters to the Head of departments with positive results.</p> <p>Note :- From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023, total 62 days, the learned Advocates of Bar Association of District Court Etawah, remained on strike/abstained from Judicial Work, on account of different issues/demands, therefore the regular working of the District Court Etawah was disturbed. Consequently, the Judicial work of the court was adversely affected on days of Advocate's strike. Hence, due to shortage of time, some cases remained pending for disposal.</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

2B(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	Attachment Available
--------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------

	Target	Achievements	
	<p>1. Disposal of all 63 cases more than 10 years old. 2. Time bound disposal of cases.</p>	<p>29 cases Disposed of that were more than 10 years old. Steps Taken - Disposal of old cases on priority basis, in addition to reducing overall pendency of cases. Fixing short dates, ensuring service of summons on Prosecution witnesses by writing letters to the Head of departments with positive results.</p> <p>Note :- From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023, total 62 days, the learned Advocates of Bar Association of District Court Etawah, remained on strike/abstained from Judicial Work, on account of different issues/demands, therefore the regular working of the District Court Etawah was disturbed. Consequently, the Judicial work of the court was adversely affected on days of Advocate's strike. Hence, due to shortage of time, some cases remained pending for disposal.</p>	

2B(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	Attachment Available
--------------	------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------

	Target	Achievements	
	<p>1. Disposal of all 63 cases more than 10 years old. 2. Time bound disposal of cases.</p>	<p>29 cases Disposed of that were more than 10 years old. Steps Taken - Disposal of old cases on priority basis, in addition to reducing overall pendency of cases. Fixing short dates, ensuring service of summons on Prosecution witnesses by writing letters to the Head of departments with positive results.</p> <p>Note :- From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023, total 62 days, the learned Advocates of Bar Association of District Court Etawah, remained on strike/abstained from Judicial Work, on account of different issues/demands, therefore the regular working of the District Court Etawah was disturbed. Consequently, the Judicial work of the court was adversely affected on days of Advocate's strike. Hence, due to shortage of time, some cases remained pending for disposal.</p>	

2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.	
------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

	Target	Achievements	
	on priority basis	37 cases	

2C(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
--------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	--

	Target	Achievements	
	No exact Record	37 cases	

2C(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
--------------	------------------------------------------------------------	--

	Target	Achievements	
	on priority basis	37 cases	

3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	
-----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

self Attested
R. M. Singh

4

Target	Achievements
<p>(i) From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023, total 62 days, the learned Advocates of Bar Association of District Court Etawah, remained on strike/abstained from Judicial Work, on account of different issues/demands, therefore the regular working of the District Court Etawah was disturbed. Consequently, the Judicial work of the court was adversely affected on days of Advocate's strike. Hence, due to shortage of time, some cases remained pending for disposal.</p> <p>(ii) At all level, short falls noticed. Bar and government agencies including police agency did not extend desired cooperation.</p> <p>Hence, due to shortage of time, many part heard and matured cases remained pending for disposal.</p>	<p>(i) From 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023, total 62 days, the learned Advocates of Bar Association of District Court Etawah, remained on strike/abstained from Judicial Work, on account of different issues/demands, therefore the regular working of the District Court Etawah was disturbed. Consequently, the Judicial work of the court was adversely affected on days of Advocate's strike. Hence, due to shortage of time, some cases remained pending for disposal.</p> <p>(ii) At all level, short falls noticed. Bar and government agencies including police agency did not extend desired cooperation.</p> <p>Hence, due to shortage of time, many part heard and matured cases remained pending for disposal.</p>

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target	Achievements
Nil	Nil

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target	Achievements
<p>(a) In Compliance of JTTRI's Letter No. Spl.Prog./JTTRI-669 dated 18.03.2023, eCourt Training Programme for Judicial Officers was attended by the P.O. Sri Ram Milan Singh on date 22.3.2023 and 23.03.2023.</p> <p>(b) In Compliance of JTTRI's Letter No. 424, Spl. Prog. Dated 25.03.2023, eCourt Programme of Judicial officers of District Court (ECT 13_22) Computer Skills Enhancement (Level 1 & Level 2) Programme online in Etawah Judgeship was attended by the P.O. Sri Ram Milan Singh on date 28.3.2023 and 29.03.2023.</p>	<p>(a) In Compliance of JTTRI's Letter No. Spl.Prog./JTTRI-669 dated 18.03.2023, eCourt Training Programme for Judicial Officers was attended by the P.O. Sri Ram Milan Singh on date 22.3.2023 and 23.03.2023.</p> <p>(b) In Compliance of JTTRI's Letter No. 424, Spl. Prog. Dated 25.03.2023, eCourt Programme of Judicial officers of District Court (ECT 13_22) Computer Skills Enhancement (Level 1 & Level 2) Programme online in Etawah Judgeship was attended by the P.O. Sri Ram Milan Singh on date 28.3.2023 and 29.03.2023.</p>

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target	Achievements
Nil	Nil

Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
Complete Self Attachment	27/04/2023
*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.	

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
ST No. 121/2017, State Vs Komal Singh & others	27/04/2023
ST No. 82/2012, State Vs Ved Prakash & others	27/04/2023
ST No. 95/2015, State Vs Pradeep Rathor	27/04/2023
Criminal Appeal No. 07/2019, Raghupat Singh Vs State	27/04/2023
Criminal Revision No. 48/2022, Sanat Jain Vs State & others	27/04/2023
Civil Appeal No. 04/2022, Rakesh Rathore Vs Gajanand	27/04/2023
Civil Revision No. 29/2022, Suresh Babu Vs Ram Singh	27/04/2023
Civil Misc. No. 112/2021, Entzar Warsi Vs Nagar Palika Parishad.	27/04/2023

Applying Date

Date	27/04/2023
-------------	------------

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	beyond doubt.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	

Self Attested R. M. Singh

01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes, Officer is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Members of Bar.														
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes, Officer is cool minded and does not lose temper in the court.														
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No any report has been made against the private character of the officer.														
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:															
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes, Officer has fixed cause list properly.														
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes, sufficient number of cases has been fixed by the Officer to keep himself engaged during full court hours.														
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Officer has avoided unnecessary adjournments.														
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	<p>More than 5 years old cases disposal- 114 Cases More than 10 years old cases disposal- 29 Cases Action Plan 2022-23 vide D.O. No. C-148/CF/(B)/2022 Dated 26.03.2022</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Target Categories Wise:-</th> <th>Achievement</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Categories Wise:-</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sessions Trial = 25</td> <td>Sessions Trial = 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Criminal Appeal = 10</td> <td>Criminal Appeal = 04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Civil Appeal = 10</td> <td>Civil Appeal = 03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Execution = 10</td> <td>Arbitration</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Execution = 10</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Target Categories Wise:-	Achievement	Categories Wise:-		Sessions Trial = 25	Sessions Trial = 20	Criminal Appeal = 10	Criminal Appeal = 04	Civil Appeal = 10	Civil Appeal = 03	Execution = 10	Arbitration	Execution = 10	
Target Categories Wise:-	Achievement															
Categories Wise:-																
Sessions Trial = 25	Sessions Trial = 20															
Criminal Appeal = 10	Criminal Appeal = 04															
Civil Appeal = 10	Civil Appeal = 03															
Execution = 10	Arbitration															
Execution = 10																
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Out of 01 execution and 38 arbitration execution cases, 38 arbitration execution cases has been decided by the Officer.														
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes														
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Nil														
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable														
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes, Judgement of the Officer on facts and on law is sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language.														
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:															
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper and Very Good														
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper and Very Good														
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper and Very Good														
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	<p>Outturn (Disposal) of Officer is above 100% and sufficient. Units required - 770.32 Units Unit achieved - 1146.40 Units Percentage of Work done - 148.82% Work done by final disposal of cases as directed by C.L. No.11/IV-h-14/2022 dated 18.08.2022 with effect from 01.09.2022 - 644.50 Units Percentage Work done by final disposal - 83.67%</p>														
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:															
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	114 cases (including Civil and Criminal)														
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	1 Case														
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	1 Case														
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil														
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Officer has good administrative control with full tact and capacity.														
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Relation with the members of the Bar is Cordial and Balanced.														
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Behaviour of the Officer with brother Officer is Cordial.														

*Self Attested
R. M. Singh*

6

01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes, Officer has made regular inspections of his court and officer with full capacity and with effectiveness.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Officer is punctual & regular in sitting on the dais during court hours.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes Officer is amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Behaviour towards women is respectful and also sensitive toward women.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Very Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	He has sound health.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	VINAI KUMAR DWIVEDI (UP6541) 31.05.2023

Overall assessment	
Karunesh Singh Pawar(HON'BLE JUDGE)	<p>*The officer has decided 114 cases of five years old against the total target of 167 cases and he has disposed of 29 cases of ten years old against the total target of 63 cases w.e.f. 1.4.2022 to 31.3.2023. The total percentage of work during the period under assessment is good. The quality of judgments enclosed, on facts and law both, seem to be good, sound and expressed in good language, with discussion of case laws of higher court(s).</p> <p>I have noticed that in spite of the order(s) passed by Supreme Court and this Court, the officer has rejected all anticipatory bail applications, barring a few (one or two), contrary to the governing principles for grant of bail, contributing increase in pendency of the cases, in this court which is already overburdened. I advised the officer to entertain bail in the light of various judgments of Supreme Court, however, the officer did not pay any heed.</p> <p>The officer is temperamental. He is amenable to mood swings. He lacks working with others as team. Needs to improve his working.</p> <p>Keeping in view the quality of judgments and overall performance, the entry given by the District Judge as 'Very Good' is modified to 'Average'.</p> <p>25/09/2023 16:54:10</p>
Overall assessment	Average
Integrity	*

self Attested.
R. M. Singh



Ram Milan Singh <rmsingh978@gmail.com>

Representation dated 18.10.2023 of Ram Milan Singh P.O. MACT Balrampur, then 1st ADJ Etawah, against downgrading of the category in the Annual Confidential Remarks for the period 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023.

Ram Milan Singh <rmsingh978@gmail.com>
To: rg@allahabdhightcourt.in

Wed, Oct 18, 2023 at 9:34 PM

Respected Sir,

Please find attached Representation dated 18.10.2023 of Ram Milan Singh P.O. MACT Balrampur, then Additional District and Sessions Judge Court No.- 01, District Etawah, alongwith covering/request letter dated 18.10.2023 as well as copy of the ACR of the year 2022-2023, against downgrading of the category of the undersigned in the Annual Confidential Remarks for the period 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 by the Hon'ble Administrative Judge, Etawah.


From,

**Ram Milan Singh, I.D. No. UP-5681
Presiding Officer,
Motor Accident Claims Tribunal,
District Balrampur (U.P.)**

3 attachments

 **Covering Letter.pdf**
235K

 **Representation Letter.pdf**
1717K

 **1-acr.pdf**
2574K