

<b>SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION</b>	
<b>Case Id :</b> A00051412022	<b>Employee No.:-</b> 3438
<b>3 MONTHS COMPLETION</b>	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

<b>SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I</b>			
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	PRABHAT KUMAR DUBEY	
3.	Designation	Judicial Magistrate	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/12/2019 (2 Years 4 Months and 15 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Sant Kabir Nagar <b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b> 1. Kasia-Kushi Nagar-Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.) 2. SantKabirN at Khalilabad-Judicial Magistrate	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	NIL	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Statement enclosed.	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	1- Add. Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Kasia, Kushinagar (From 01-04-2021 to 12-04-2021) 2- Judicial Magistrate, Sant Kabir Nagar (From 13-04-2021 to 31-03-2022)	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	195	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	(I) Quarterly Court And Office Judicial Magistrate Sant Kabir Nagar 29-06-2021&30-06-2021 (II) Quarterly Court And Office Judicial Magistrate Sant Kabir Nagar 27-09-2021&28-09-2021 (III) Quarterly Court And Office Judicial Magistrate Sant Kabir Nagar 24-12-2021 (IV) Quarterly Court And Office Judicial Magistrate Sant Kabir Nagar 30-03-2022&31-03-2022	
14.	Remarks if any	Nil	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Statement enclosed.	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	1336 Cases	

<b>SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II</b>		
1.	<b>Brief description of duties</b>	As a Additional Civil Judge (J.D.)Kasia, Kushi Nagar from 01-04-2021 to 12-04-2021 As a Judicial Magistrate Sant Kabir Nagar from 13-04-2021 to 31-03-2022
2.	<b>Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.</b>	
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	1200	1837.11
2A.	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.</b>	
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	Fixed short dates, issue summons, Warrant, Notice U/S 350 CrPC and Case take up every fixed date.	
2A(i)	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>	
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	4630	957
2A(i)	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>	
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>

	4630	957	
<b>2B.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Fix short dates, issue summons, warrant, notice u/s 350 CrPC regularly, hearing on priority basis without giving unnecessary adjournment.		
<b>2B(i)</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	1751	178	
<b>2B(i)</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		
<b>i).</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	1751	178	
<b>2C.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Fix short dates, issue summons, warrant, notice u/s 350 CrPC regularly, hearing on priority basis and taken up of such case on every fixed date.		
<b>2C(i)</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		
<b>).</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	91	11	
<b>2C(i)</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		
<b>i).</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	91	11	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Nil	Nil	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Nil	Nil	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
		One Day online sensitization Programme date 01-08-2021  One Day Fourth Round Cluster Training Programme by Way of Workshop Refresher and Orientation Course. date 26-09-2021  Refresher Training Programme For Officers of Civil Judge (JD) Cadrem clubbed with 2 Days Advance Training on CIS 3.1 and One Day Mediation Training for Referral Judges from 08-11-2021 to 12-11-2021	
<b>6.</b>	<b>Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Nil	Nil	

<b>Attachments</b>	
<b>Attachment</b>	<b>Uploading Date</b>
Self Assessment Submission Letter	28/04/2022
Self Assessment Part-I	30/04/2022
Self Assessment Part-II	30/04/2022
List of Oldest Cases decided from 01-04-2021 to 31-03-2022	30/04/2022
*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.	

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Criminal Complaint No 4043/2015 Sumit Kumar Pathak v Jagdamba Mishra	28/04/2022
Criminal Case 13/2012 State v Mohvali	28/04/2022
Criminal Case 1147/2020 State v Bhanu Pratap Pandey	28/04/2022

Applying Date	
Date	28/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:		
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	INTEGRITY OF OFFICER IS BEYOND DOUBT.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes, he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	YES, HE IS COOL MINDED AND DOES NOT LOSE TAMPER IN COURT.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No adverse reported against his private character during the assessment year.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes, he has fixed the cause list properly.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes he has fixed sufficient number of cases to keep him engaged during full court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes, the officer avoided unnecessary adjournments.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	The officer has shown disposal of 16 warrant trial old than 10 years, 18 warrant trials old than 5 years on contested basis and 126 cases otherwise, which are old more than more 5 years. The disposal of oldest case is sufficient.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	N/A
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	N/A
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	N/A
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N/A
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes, his judgements on facts and on laws and evidence are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language. .
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	He has full marshalling of facts. Facts well discussed in judgements.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Evidence well appraised.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper application of law mentioning supporting law.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	The officer has done 1837.11 unit work against 1200 units prescribed for a very good officer. It is 153.09%, which is adequate.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	50 cases
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	The officer has shown no such case
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	N/A
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	He has proper control over his office.

<b>01 (i).</b>	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	His relation with members of bar is cordial.
<b>01 (j).</b>	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Behavior of officer to brother officers is good and relation is cordial.
<b>01 (k).</b>	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes, the officer has made regular inspections of his court and office which are full and effective.
<b>01 (l).</b>	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes, the officer is punctual and regular in sitting on dais in court during court hours.
<b>01 (m).</b>	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes, he is amenable to the Distt. Judge and other superior officers.
<b>01 (n).</b>	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	He is respectful and sensitive towards the women.
<b>2.</b>	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Very Good
<b>3.</b>	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound
<b>4.</b>	Other remarks, if any:	The officer is hard working, courteous and submissive. His integrity is certified. He is very good officer in my opinion.
<b>5.</b>	Name of the District Judge:	LAKSHMI KANT SHUKLA UP6549 18.05.2022

<b>Overall assessment</b>	
<b>Manish Kumar( HON'BLE JUDGE )</b>	I agree with the remarks given by the District Judge. The judgments are well drafted by the Officer. His relations with the Bar is cordial. During the period under assessment, nothing adverse has been reported against him. I found him to be very good officer. <b>30/07/2022 14:06:34</b>
<b>Overall assessment</b>	Very Good
<b>Integrity</b>	Certified