SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION		
Case Id : A00001352022	Employee No.:-2405	
3 MONTHS COMPLETION		
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes	

	SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I			
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022		
2.	Name of the Officer	PAWAN KUMAR SHARMA		
3.	Designation	A.D.J. (POCSO)		
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	17/02/2018 (4 Years 1 Months and 19 Days)		
5.	Place of Posting	Sultanpur Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available		
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	OFFICER INCHARGE NAZARAT		
7.	Year wise break up of cases	ANNEXURE ATTACHED ALONG		
8.	Courts held during the financial year	POCSO COURT IN SULTANPUR AND E.C ACT IN BANDA		
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL		
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	110		
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL		
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	ZERO		
13.	Inspections	ANNEXURE ATTACHED	Attachment Available	
14.	Remarks if any	NIL		
15.	Details of the works by the officer	ANNEXURE ATTACHED ALONG	Attachment Available	
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	18 CASES		

	SELF A	ASSESSMENT FORM PART II	
l.	Brief description of duties	PRESIDING OFFICER OF EXCLUSIVE POCSO COURT	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	100	50	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	SHORT DATES FIXED		
2A(i ).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	120	30	
2A(i i).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	SHORT DATES ISSUED		
2B(i ).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL		
2B(i i).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons	e with more than 65 years of ago	

	Target	Achievements	
	ISSUED SHORT DATES		
2C(i ).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	10	3	
2C(i i).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	20	8	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	CORONA EFFECT, FREQUENT STRIKES BY ADVOCATES		
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL		
	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
5.		If so, give details.	
5.		If so, give details.  Achievements	
5.	other organization during the period in question?		
	other organization during the period in question?  Target  ATTENDED AND PARTICIPATED IN CLUSTER  TRAINING PROG		
6.	other organization during the period in question?  Target  ATTENDED AND PARTICIPATED IN CLUSTER TRAINING PROG  Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Mem	Achievements	

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
CRIMINAL SESSION TRIAL CONVICTED	05 <mark>/04</mark> /2022
CRIMINAL SESSION TRIAL CONVICTED-2	05/04/2022
CIVIL APPEAL	05/04/2022
SESSION TRIAL POCSO HOSTILE	12/04/2022
SESSION TRIAL CONTESTED ACQUITAL	12/04/2022

Applying Date	
Date	05/04/2022

01-Remark	ss given by the District Judge regarding:	
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Certified, beyond the reasonable doubt
Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all rereason(s) & supporting material.		wely lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact,
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The officer has avoided unnecessary adjournments.

01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Year wise break up has not been annexued along with details on eservices JO portal but as per hard copy of self assement submitted to my office, the officer has disposed <b>44 criminal cases</b> of the year 2014(4 cases), 2015(19 cases), 2016(21 cases) & <b>31 civil cases</b> of the year 2012(11 cases),2013(7 cases), 2014(4 cases), 2015(4 cases),2016(5 cases). Thus it transpire that the officer has tried to do the best for the disposal of old cases.	
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	As per details, the officer has decided 05 contested execution case.	
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes	
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	NIL	
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	NA	
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes	
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated	ed in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Outstanding	
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Very Good	
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Outstanding	
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	The disposal of work is adequate. The officer achieved total 1841 Unit against out of actual target unit 508.48 The percentage is 361%.	
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	116 cases	
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	NIL	
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	16 M.C.A. decided on Compromise	
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	NIL	
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Outstanding	
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Very Good	
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Outstanding	
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Four quarterly regular inspections have been conducted.	
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	The officer is regular and punctual in sitting on the dais in court during court hours	
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes	
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Outstanding	
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Outstanding	
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good	
4.	Other remarks, if any:	The Presiding Officer is honest sincere and very hard worker . He has fully devoted to his duty as very good judicial officer. Whatever the judicial or administrative work assigned to the officer, he has completed it within stipulated period with herculean effort. His devotion to the duty as a judicial officer is commandable, appreciable and praiseworthy.	
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh Rai (UP6523) 22.08.2022	

Overall assessment		
Siddhartha Varma( HON'BLE JUDGE )	Sri Pawan Kumar Sharma Additional District Judge (POCSO) Sultanpur (2021-22) Perused the Annual remarks recorded by the then District Judge, Sultanpur in respect of the officer for the year 2021-22 alongwith the self-assessment form of the officer. As per Annual remarks recorded by the District Judge, Sultanpur, disposal of the officer is 1841 units, out of actual target 508.48 units, thus, I find it was adequate. His control over the office was proper and tactful and relations with member of the Bar as well as brother officers were cordial. His Judgements were sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language. He disposed of sufficient number of old and execution cases. The District Judge has assessed him as 'Outstanding' officer and he has certified the integrity of the officer. I have no reason to differ from the remarks given by the District Judge. The officer is rated as 'Outstanding' and his integrity is Certified.  Justice Siddhartha Varma the then A.J., Sultanpur  03/09/2022 14:17:20	
Overall assessment	Very Good	
Integrity	Certified	

